

CONJUGATE TRANSFORMS ON DYADIC GROUP

U. GOGINAVA, A. SAATASHVILI

United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, Abu Dhabi, UAE
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, United States
E-mails: *zazagoginava@gmail.com; saata@mit.edu*

Abstract. In this paper we study the properties of the Lebesgue constant of the conjugate transforms. For conjugate Fejér means we will find necessary and sufficient condition on t for which the estimation $E|\tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f| \leq cE|f|$ holds. We also prove that for dyadic irrational t , $L \log L$ is the maximal Orlicz space for which the estimation $E|\tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f| \leq c_1 + c_2 E(|f| \log^+ |f|)$ is valid.

MSC2010 numbers: 42C10; 60G42.

Keywords: conjugate Walsh transform; Martingale transform; convergence in norm.

1. DYADIC HARDY SPACES AND CONJUGATE TRANSFORMS

Let \mathbb{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$, the set of all integers by \mathbb{Z} and the set of dyadic rational numbers in the unit interval $\mathbb{I} := [0, 1)$ by \mathbb{Q} . In particular, each element of \mathbb{Q} has the form $\frac{p}{2^n}$ for some $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq p < 2^n$.

Denote by Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let G be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denote by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called the dyadic group. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(x) & : = G, \quad I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\ & : = \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}, \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

These sets are called the dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G , $I_n := I_n(0)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). For every finite set E the number of elements in E we denote by $|E|$, i. e. $|E| := (E)^\#$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G$ denote $r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}$, the k -th Rademacher function on dyadic group G .

Denote the dyadic expansion of $t \in \mathbb{I}$ by

$$t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_j}{2^{j+1}}, \quad t_j = 0, 1.$$

In the case of $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ choose the expansion which terminates in zeros. For $t \in \mathbb{I}$ we denote $\rho(t) := (t_0, t_1, \dots) \in G$.

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic intervals $\{I_n(x) : x \in G\}$ is denoted by A^n , more precisely, $A^n := \sigma\{I_n(x) : x \in G\}$. The expectation and the conditional expectation operators relative to A^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are denoted by E and E_n , respectively.

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space L_p is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := (E|f|^p)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < +\infty).$$

Denote by $f = (f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ martingale with respect to $(A^n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [18, 19]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f^{(n)}|.$$

In case of $f \in L_1$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |E_n f|.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space H_p consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

For a martingale

$$f \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f^{(n)} - f^{(n-1)}), \quad f^{(-1)} = 0,$$

the conjugate transforms are defined by

$$\tilde{f}^{(t)} \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(\rho(t)) (f^{(n)} - f^{(n-1)}),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{I}$ is fixed.

Note that $\tilde{f}^{(0)} = f$. As is well known, if f is an integrable function, then conjugate transforms $\tilde{f}^{(t)}$ do exist almost everywhere, but they are not integrable in general.

The following equation holds ([18, 19])

$$\left\| \tilde{f}^{(t)} \right\|_{H_p} = \|f\|_{H_p} \quad (0 < p < \infty, t \in \mathbb{I}).$$

Furthermore, Khintchin's inequality implies that

$$\|f\|_{H_p}^p \sim \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left\| \tilde{f}^{(t)} \right\|_{H_p}^p dt \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

Let $Q(L) = Q(L)(\mathbb{I})$ be the Orlicz space [9] generated by the Young function Q , i.e. Q is convex continuous even function such that $Q(0) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{Q(u)}{u} = +\infty, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{Q(u)}{u} = 0.$$

This space is endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{Q(L)(\mathbb{I})} = \inf\{k > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{I}} Q(|f|/k) \leq 1\}.$$

In particular, if $Q(u) = u \log(1 + u)$, $u > 0$ then the corresponding space will be denoted by $L \log^+ L(\mathbb{I})$.

2. WALSH SYSTEM AND CONJUGATE FEJÉR MEANS

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $m = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_i 2^i$, where $m_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$), i.e. m is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote $|m| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : m_j \neq 0\}$, that is, $2^{|m|} \leq m < 2^{|m|+1}$.

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_m(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{m_k} = r_{|m|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|m|-1} m_k x_k} \quad (x \in G, m \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The Walsh-Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x).$$

Recall that ([14], [8])

$$(2.1) \quad D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in G \setminus I_n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad D_n(x) = w_n(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k (D_{2^{k+1}}(x) - D_{2^k}(x)).$$

Let $x \in I_j \setminus I_{j+1}$. Then from (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$D_n(x) = w_n(x) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} n_k 2^k - n_j 2^j \right).$$

Hence,

$$|D_n(x)| = \alpha_j(n),$$

where

$$\alpha_j(n) = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} n_k 2^k - n_j 2^j \right|.$$

The partial sums of the Walsh-Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_M f := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \widehat{f}(i) w_i,$$

where the number $\widehat{f}(i) = E(f w_i)$ is said to be the i th Walsh-Fourier coefficient of the function f . It is easy to see that $E_n(f) = S_{2^n}(f)$.

For any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is possible to write n uniquely as $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k 2^k$, where $n_k = 0$ or 1 for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This expression will be called the binary expansion of n and the numbers n_k will be called the binary coefficients of n .

Define the variation of an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with binary coefficients $(n_k : k \in \mathbb{N})$ by

$$V(n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |n_k - n_{k-1}| + n_0.$$

The Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series is defined as follows

$$\sigma_n f := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k f \quad (n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

If $f \in L_1$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(E_n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N})$ then the Walsh-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{f}(i) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(f^{(k)} w_i).$$

The Walsh-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(E_n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ obtained from f .

Let

$$\beta_0(t) := r_0(\rho(t)), \beta_k(t) := r_n(\rho(t)) \text{ if } 2^{n-1} \leq k < 2^n.$$

Then the n th partial sums of the conjugate transforms is given by

$$\widetilde{S}_n^{(t)} f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k(t) \widehat{f}(k) w_k \quad (t \in \mathbb{I}, n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

Let $2^N \leq n < 2^{N+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{S}_n^{(t)} f &= r_0(\rho(t)) \widehat{f}(0) w_0 + \sum_{l=1}^N r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f) \\ &\quad + r_{N+1}(\rho(t)) (S_n f - E_N f) \\ &= (-1)^{t_0} E f + \sum_{l=1}^N r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f) \\ &\quad + r_{N+1}(\rho(t)) (S_n f - E_N f) = f * \widetilde{D}_n^{(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{D}_n^{(t)} = \sum_{i=-1}^{N-1} (-1)^{t_{i+1}} (D_{2^{i+1}} - D_{2^i}) + (-1)^{t_{N+1}} (D_n - D_{2^N}), D_{2^{-1}} = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $(-1)^{t_l} = 1 - 2t_l$. Then for $\tilde{D}_n^{(t)}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} &= \sum_{i=-1}^{N-1} (1 - 2t_{i+1}) (D_{2^{i+1}} - D_{2^i}) + (1 - 2t_{N+1}) (D_n - D_{2^N}) \\ &= D_n - 2 \sum_{i=-1}^{N-1} t_{i+1} (D_{2^{i+1}} - D_{2^i}) - 2t_{N+1} (D_n - D_{2^N}). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$m := \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} t_{i+1} 2^i < 2^N.$$

Then from (2.2) we get

$$\tilde{D}_n^{(t)} = D_n - 2w_m D_m - 2t_{N+1} (D_n - D_{2^N}) - 2t_0.$$

The conjugate $(C, 1)$ -means of a martingale f are introduced by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{S}_k^{(t)} f \quad (t \in \mathbb{I}, n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The notation $a \lesssim b$ in the proofs stands for $a < c \cdot b$, where c is an absolute constant.

3. TWO SIDES ESTIMATION OF LEBESGUE CONSTANT OF CONJUGATE WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

Denote by L_n the lebesgue constants of the Walsh system:

$$L_n := \int_G |D_n| d\mu.$$

These constants were studied by many authors. For the trigonometric system it is important to note that results of Fejür and Szegx, the letter gave in [16] an explicit formula for Lebesgue constants, namely,

$$L_n = \frac{16}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4k^2 - 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2k(2n+1) - 1} \right).$$

Along with the trigonometric system the Walsh-Paley system is also severely studied for its importance in applications. The most properties of Lebesgue constants with respect to the Walsh-Paley system were obtained by Fine in [2]. In ([14], p. 34), the two-sided estimate

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{V(n)}{8} \leq L_n \leq V(n)$$

is proved. In [10], Lukomskii presented the estimate $L_n \geq V(n)/4$. Malykhin, Telyakovskii and Kholshchevnikova [11] improved the estimation (3.1) and proved the following

Theorem MTK. *For any positive integer n , the two-sided inequality*

$$\frac{V(n)+1}{3} \leq L_n < V(n)$$

is valid. Here the factors $1/3$ and 1 are sharp.

We would like to mention the work of Astashkin and Semenov [1] in which the sharp two-sided estimate for Lebesgue constants with respect to Walsh-Paley system are obtained.

The Walsh-Fejér kernels were studied by Toledo [17], in particular the following is proved

$$\sup \{ \|K_n\|_1 : n \in \mathbb{P} \} = \frac{17}{15}.$$

Denote by $L_n^{(t)}$ the lebesgue constants of the conjugate transforms:

$$L_n^{(t)} := \int_G \left| \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} + 2t_0 \right| d\mu.$$

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2^N \leq n < 2^{N+1}$ we define

$$T(n, m) := \{i : n_i \neq n_{i-1}, m_i = m_{i-1}, i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1\}.$$

In this section we study the properties of the Lebesgue constant of the conjugate transforms.

Theorem 3.1. *Let n is positive integer and*

$$m = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} t_{i+1} 2^i, \quad 2^N \leq n < 2^{N+1}$$

and $t \in \mathbb{I}$. The two-sides inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left(\frac{1}{2} |T(n, m)| + \frac{1}{3} V(n) - 1, \frac{1}{4} |T(n, m)| + \frac{2}{3} V(m) - 1 \right) \\ & \leq L_n^{(t)} \leq 2V(m) + |T(n, m)| + 2 \end{aligned}$$

is valid.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad L_n^{(t)} &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_i \setminus I_{i+1}} \left| \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} + 2t_0 \right| d\mu + \int_{I_N \setminus I_{N+1}} \left| \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} + 2t_0 \right| d\mu + \\ &+ \int_{I_{N+1}} \left| \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} + 2t_0 \right| d\mu := J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{I_i \setminus I_{i+1}} \left| \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} + 2t_0 \right| d\mu \\
 &= \sum_{x_{i+1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_N=0}^1 \int_{I_{N+1}(0, \dots, 0, x_i=1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_N)} \left| \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} + 2t_0 \right| d\mu \\
 &= \sum_{x_{i+1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_N=0}^1 \int_{I_{N+1}(0, \dots, 0, x_i=1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N)} |(1 - 2t_{N+1}) D_n - 2w_m D_m| d\mu \\
 &= \sum_{x_{i+1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{N-1}=0}^1 \left(\int_{I_{N+1}(0, \dots, 0, x_i=1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)} |(1 - 2t_{N+1}) D_n - 2w_m D_m| d\mu \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{x_{i+1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{N-1}=0}^1 \left(\int_{I_{N+1}(0, \dots, 0, x_i=1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, 1)} |(1 - 2t_{N+1}) D_n - 2w_m D_m| d\mu \right) \\
 &= \sum_{x_{i+1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{N-1}=0}^1 \left(\int_{I_{N+1}(0, \dots, 0, x_i=1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)} (|(1 - 2t_{N+1}) D_n - 2w_m D_m| + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. |(1 - 2t_{N+1}) D_n + 2w_m D_m|) d\mu \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{|a - b| + |a + b|}{2} = \max\{|a|, |b|\}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{I_i \setminus I_{i+1}} \left| \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} + 2t_0 \right| d\mu &= \int_{I_i \setminus I_{i+1}} \max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\} d\mu \\
 &= \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.3) \quad J_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}}.$$

For J_2 and J_3 we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad J_2 &= \int_{I_N \setminus I_{N+1}} |D_n - 2w_m D_m - 2t_{N+1}(D_n - D_{2^N})| d\mu \\
 &= \frac{|2^N - n' - 2m - 2t_{N+1}(2^N - n' - 2^N)|}{2^{N+1}} \\
 &= \frac{|2^N - n' - 2m + 2t_{N+1}n'|}{2^{N+1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $n = 2^N + n', n' < 2^N$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} J_3 &= \int_{I_{N+1}} |D_n - 2w_m D_m - 2t_{N+1} (D_n - D_{2^N})| d\mu \\ &= \frac{|n - 2m - 2t_{N+1} (n - 2^N)|}{2^{N+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.2)-(3.5) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{D}_n^{(t)} + 2t_0 \right\|_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \\ &+ \frac{|2^{N+1} - n - 2m + 2t_{N+1} (n - 2^N)|}{2^{N+1}} + \frac{|n - 2m - 2t_{N+1} (n - 2^N)|}{2^{N+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $A(n) = \{i : |n_i - n_{i-1}| = 1\}$ and

$$S(n) := \sum_{i \in A(n)} \frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}}.$$

First, we prove that $S(n) \geq S(n(e))$, where

$$n(e) = n_N 2^N + \dots + n_{e+1} 2^{e+1} + n_{e-1} 2^e + \dots + n_0 2^1$$

and $n_e = n_{e-1} \neq n_{e+1}$. Set $A_e(n) = \{i : i \in A(n), i > e\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} S(n) - S(n(e)) &= \sum_{i \in A_e(n)} \left(\frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}} - \frac{\alpha_i(n(e))}{2^{i+1}} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i \in A(n) \setminus A_e(n)} \left(\frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}} - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}(n(e))}{2^{i+2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}} - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}(n(e))}{2^{i+2}} = 0, \quad i \in A(n) \setminus A_e(n),$$

we get

$$S(n) - S(n(e)) = \sum_{i \in A_e(n)} \left(\frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}} - \frac{\alpha_i(n(e))}{2^{i+1}} \right).$$

For $i > e$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(n) - \alpha_i(n(e)) &= |n_0 2^0 + \dots + n_{i-1} 2^{i-1} - n_i 2^i| \\ &- |n(e)_0 2^0 + \dots + n(e)_{i-1} 2^{i-1} - n(e)_i 2^i| \\ &= |n_0 2^0 + \dots + n_{i-1} 2^{i-1} - n_i 2^i| \\ &- |n_0 2^1 + \dots + n_{e-1} 2^e + n_{e+1} 2^{e+1} + \dots + n_{i-1} 2^{i-1} - n_i 2^i|. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $n_i = 1$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(n) - \alpha_i(n(e)) &= 2^i - n_0 2^0 - \dots - n_{i-1} 2^{i-1} \\ &\quad - (2^i - n_0 2^1 - \dots - n_{e-1} 2^e - n_{e+1} 2^{e+1} - \dots - n_{i-1} 2^{i-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} n_j 2^j - n_e 2^e. \end{aligned}$$

Let now consider the case when $n_i = 0$. Then we have

$$\alpha_i(n) - \alpha_i(n(e)) = - \left(\sum_{j=0}^{e-1} n_j 2^j - n_e 2^e \right).$$

So, we get following

$$\alpha_i(n) - \alpha_i(n(e)) = (2|n_i - n_e| - 1) \left| \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} n_j 2^j - 2^e n_e \right|.$$

And finally, since $n_{e+1} \neq n_e$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(n) - S(n(e)) &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} n_j 2^j - 2^e n_e \right| \sum_{i \in A_e(n)} \frac{(2|n_i - n_e| - 1)}{2^{i+1}} \\ &> \frac{1}{2^{e+2}} - \sum_{i=e+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of function $V(n)$ it is easy to see that $V(n) = V(n(e))$. If we continue this process it is easy to see that we will get

$$n' = \sum_{i=0}^{|n'|-1} n'_i 2^i,$$

where, for $0 \leq i < |n'|$ $n'_i + n'_{i+1} = 1$. First, we suppose that $n'_0 = 0$. Set $n' = (010101\dots)$. Then we can write $V(n) = V(n')$ and $S(n) \geq S(n')$. Now, we calculate $S(n')$. We suppose that $V(n') = 2s$. It is easy to see that

$$\alpha_{2m}(n') = 2^1 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{2m-1} = \frac{2^{2m+1} - 2}{3}$$

and

$$\alpha_{2m-1}(n') = |2^1 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{2m-3} - 2^{2m-1}| = \frac{2^{2m} + 2}{3}.$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad S(n') &= \sum_{m=1}^s \frac{\alpha_{2m}(n')}{2^{2m+1}} + \sum_{m=1}^s \frac{\alpha_{2m-1}(n')}{2^{2m}} \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^s \frac{1}{2^{2m+1}} \frac{2^{2m+1} - 2}{3} + \sum_{m=1}^s \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \frac{2^{2m} + 2}{3} \\
 &= \frac{2}{3}s - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{m=1}^s \frac{1}{2^{2m+1}} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{m=1}^s \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \\
 &= \frac{2}{3}s + \frac{1}{9} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2s}}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we suppose that $n'_0 = 1$. Set $n' = (101010\dots)$. Then we can write

$$\alpha_{2m}(n') = |2^0 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{2m-2} - 2^{2m}| = \frac{2^{2m+1} + 1}{3}$$

and

$$\alpha_{2m-1}(n') = 2^0 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{2m-2} = \frac{2^{2m} - 1}{3}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad S(n') &= \sum_{m=0}^s \frac{\alpha_{2m}(n')}{2^{2m+1}} + \sum_{m=1}^s \frac{\alpha_{2m-1}(n')}{2^{2m}} \\
 &= \frac{2}{3}s + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{18} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2s}}\right) > \frac{2}{3}s.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.6) and (3.7), we conclude

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{S(n)}{V(n)} \geq \frac{S(n')}{V(n')} > \frac{1}{3}.$$

Since $T(n, m) \cap A(m) = \emptyset$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad &\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \\
 &\geq \sum_{i \in A(m) \setminus \{N\}} \frac{2\alpha_i(m)}{2^{i+1}} + \sum_{i \in T(n, m)} \frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}} \\
 &= \sum_{i \in A(m)} \frac{2\alpha_i(m)}{2^{i+1}} + \sum_{i \in T(n, m)} \frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}} - \frac{2\alpha_N(m)}{2^{N+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.8) we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{i \in A(m)} \frac{2\alpha_i(m)}{2^{i+1}} = 2S(m) > \frac{2}{3}V(m).$$

It is easy to see that if $n_i \neq n_{i-1}$, then $\alpha_i(n) \geq 2^{i-1}$. So, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{i \in T(n, m)} \frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}} \geq \frac{1}{4}|T(n, m)|.$$

Combining (3.9)-(3.11) we have

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \geq \frac{2}{3}V(m) + \frac{1}{4}|T(n, m)| - 1.$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \\ & \geq \sum_{i \in A(n) \setminus \{N, N+1\}} \frac{\alpha_i(n)}{2^{i+1}} + \sum_{i \in T(m, n)} \frac{2\alpha_i(m)}{2^{i+1}} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{3}V(n) + \frac{1}{2}|T(m, n)| - \frac{\alpha_N(N)}{2^{N+1}} - \frac{\alpha_{N+1}(N)}{2^{N+2}} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{3}V(n) + \frac{1}{2}|T(m, n)| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13) we have

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \max\left(\frac{1}{2}|T(n, m)| + \frac{1}{3}V(n) - 1, \frac{1}{4}|T(n, m)| + \frac{2}{3}V(m) - 1\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \leq L_n^{(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove upper estimation. First, we prove

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i \in A(m) \cup T(n, m)} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $A(m) \cup T(n, m) = \{r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s\}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \sum_{j=r_i}^{r_{i+1}-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_j(n), 2\alpha_j(m)\}}{2^{j+1}}.$$

Let $n_i = n_{i+1}$ for some i . Then it is easy to see that

$$\alpha_{i+1}(n) = |2^{i+1}n_{i+1} - 2^i n_{i..} - 2^0 n_0| = |2^i n_i - 2^{i-1} n_{i-1..} - 2^0 n_0| = \alpha_i(n).$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{j=r_i}^{r_{i+1}-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_j(n), 2\alpha_j(m)\}}{2^{j+1}} \leq \frac{\max\{\alpha_{r_i}(n), 2\alpha_{r_i}(m)\}}{2^{r_i}}.$$

Hence (3.15) is proved. Since $\alpha_i(n) \leq 2^i$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\alpha_i(n) \leq 2^{i-1}$ ($i \notin A(n)$) from (3.15) we have

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \leq 2 \sum_{i \in A(m)} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \\ + 2 \sum_{i \in T(n,m)} \frac{\max\{\alpha_i(n), 2\alpha_i(m)\}}{2^{i+1}} \leq 2|A(m)| + |T(n,m)|.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{|2^{N+1} - n - 2m + 2t_{N+1}(n - 2^N)|}{2^{N+1}} \\ + \frac{|n - 2m - 2t_{N+1}(n - 2^N)|}{2^{N+1}} \leq 2.$$

From (3.16) and (3.17)

$$(3.18) \quad L_n^{(t)} \leq 2V(m) + |T(n,m)| + 2.$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.18) we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

4. UNIFORMLY BOUNDEDNESS OF CONJUGATE FEJÉR MEANS

The first result with respect to the a.e. convergence of the Walsh-Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ is due to Fine [3]. Later, Schipp [12] showed that the maximal operator $\sigma^* f := \sup_n |\sigma_n f|$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$, from which the a. e. convergence follows by standard argument. Schipp's result implies by interpolation also the boundedness of $\sigma^* : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$). This fails to hold for $p = 1$ but Fujii [4] proved that σ^* is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space H_1 to the space L_1 (see also Simon [13]). Fujii's theorem was extended by Weisz [20]. Namely, he proved that the maximal operator $\sigma^* f$ and the conjugate maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}_*^{(t)}$ are bounded from the martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p for $p > 1/2$. Simon [15] gave a counterexample, which shows that this boundedness does not hold for $0 < p < 1/2$. In [7] (see also [6], [5]) the first author proved that the maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}_*^{(t)}$ is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$.

Weisz [20], [21] considered the norm convergence of conjugate Fejér means. In particular, the following is true

Theorem A (Weisz). *If $t \in \mathbb{I}$ then*

$$\left\| \tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f \right\|_{H_p} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p}, \quad (f \in H_p),$$

whenever $p > 1/2$.

Since $\|f\|_{H_1} \lesssim 1 + E(|f| \log^+ |f|)$ and $E|f| \leq \|f\|_{H_1}$, Theorem A implies that the following is true.

Theorem B. *Let $f \in L \log L$ and $t \in \mathbb{I}$. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad E \left| \tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f \right| \lesssim 1 + E (|f| \log^+ |f|).$$

On the other hand, for $t = 0$ we have following estimation.

Theorem C. *Let $f \in L_1$. Then*

$$(4.2) \quad E \left| \tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f \right| = E |\sigma_n f| \lesssim E |f|.$$

In this paper we find necessary and sufficient condition on t for which the estimation (4.2) holds for conjugate Fejér means. We also prove that for dyadic irrational t , $L \log L$ is maximal Orlicz space for which the estimation (4.1) is valid.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $f \in L_1$. Then $E \left| \tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f \right| \lesssim E |f|$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $t \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $Q(L)$ be an Orlicz space for which $Q(L) \not\subseteq L \log L$. Then*

$$\sup_A \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^A}^{(t)} \right\|_{Q(L) \rightarrow L_1} = \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $2^A \leq n < 2^{A+1}$. Then we can write

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^A \sum_{k=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} \tilde{S}_k^{(t)} f + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=2^A}^{n-1} \tilde{S}_k^{(t)} f.$$

Since for $2^{m-1} \leq k < 2^m$ ($E_{-1} f = 0$)

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_k^{(t)} f &= r_0(\rho(t)) \hat{f}(0) w_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f) \\ &\quad + r_m(\rho(t)) (S_k f - E_{m-1} f) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f) + r_m(\rho(t)) (S_k f - E_{m-1} f) \end{aligned}$$

from (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_n^{(t)} f &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^A 2^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^A r_m(\rho(t)) \sum_{k=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} (S_k f - E_{m-1} f) \\ &\quad + \frac{n-2^A}{n} \sum_{l=0}^A r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f) \\ &\quad + \frac{r_{A+1}(\rho(t))}{n} \sum_{k=2^A}^{n-1} (S_k f - E_{m-1} f) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^A 2^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad & + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^A r_m(\rho(t)) (2^m \sigma_{2^m} f - 2^{m-1} \sigma_{2^{m-1}} f) \\
 & - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^A r_m(\rho(t)) 2^{m-1} E_{m-1} f \\
 & + \frac{n-2^A}{n} \sum_{l=0}^A r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f) \\
 & + \frac{r_{A+1}(\rho(t))}{n} (n \sigma_n f - 2^A \sigma_{2^A} f) \\
 & - \frac{r_{A+1}(\rho(t))}{n} (n-2^A) E_{m-1} f =: \sum_{j=1}^6 J_j f.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(4.6) \quad E |E_m f| \leq E |f|$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad E |\sigma_n f| \lesssim E |f|$$

we can write

$$(4.8) \quad E |J_j f| \lesssim E |f|, j = 2, 3, 5, 6.$$

For $J_1 f$ we can write

$$J_1 f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^A 2^{m-1} \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f,$$

where

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f := \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r_l(\rho(t)) (E_l f - E_{l-1} f).$$

Since $E_l f = f * D_{2^l}$ we have

$$(4.10) \quad \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f = f * \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r_l(\rho(t)) (D_{2^l} - D_{2^{l-1}}) := f * \tilde{D}_{2^m}^{(t)},$$

where

$$\tilde{D}_{2^m}^{(t)} := \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} r_l(\rho(t)) (D_{2^l} - D_{2^{l-1}}).$$

It is easy to see that $r_l(t) = (-1)^{t_l} = 1 - 2t_l$. Then for $\tilde{D}_{2^l}^{(t)}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{2^l}^{(t)} &= \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (1 - 2t_l) (D_{2^l} - D_{2^{l-1}}) \\ &= D_{2^{m-1}} - 2 \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} t_l (D_{2^l} - D_{2^{l-1}}) \\ &= (1 - 2t_{m-1}) D_{2^{m-1}} - 2 \sum_{l=0}^{m-2} (t_l - t_{l+1}) D_{2^l}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(4.11) \quad f * \tilde{D}_{2^m}^{(t)} = (1 - 2t_{m-1}) E_{m-1} f - 2 \sum_{l=0}^{m-2} (t_l - t_{l+1}) E_l f.$$

$t \in \mathbb{Q}$ imply that

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |t_l - t_{l+1}| < \infty.$$

From (4.6) we get

$$E \left| \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f \right| \lesssim \left(|2t_{m-1} - 1| + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |t_l - t_{l+1}| \right) E |f| \lesssim E |f|.$$

Consequently,

$$(4.12) \quad E |J_1 f| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^A 2^{m-1} E \left| \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f \right| \lesssim E |f|.$$

Combining (4.5)-(4.12) we complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Since $t \notin \mathbb{Q}$ there exists a sequences $\{p_i : i \in \mathbb{P}\}$ and $\{q_i : i \in \mathbb{P}\}$ such that

$$0 \leq q_1 \leq p_1 < q_2 \leq p_2 < \cdots < q_A \leq p_A < \cdots$$

and

$$t_j = \begin{cases} 1, & q_i \leq j \leq p_i \\ 0, & p_i < j < q_{i+1} \end{cases}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_A &: = \bigcup_{t_j=0 \text{ or } 1, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p_A\} \setminus \{q_1, p_1, \dots, q_A, p_A\}} \\ &I_{p_A+1}(t_0, \dots, t_{q_1-1}, 0, t_{q_1+1}, \dots, t_{p_1-1}, 0, t_{p_1+1}, \dots, t_{q_A-1}, 0, t_{q_A+1}, \dots, t_{p_A-1}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Define the function

$$f_A(x) := 2^{2A} \mathbb{I}_{\Delta_A}(x),$$

where \mathbb{I}_E is characteristic function of the set E . It is easy to see that

$$(4.13) \quad \mu(\Delta_A) = \frac{2^{p_A+1-2A}}{2^{p_A+1}} = \frac{1}{2^{2A}}.$$

We can write (see (4.5) and (4.9))

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.14) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} f_A &= \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{2^{p_A+1}} 2^{m-1} \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{2^{p_A+1}} r_m(\rho(t)) (2^m \sigma_{2^m} f_A - 2^{m-1} \sigma_{2^{m-1}} f_A) \\
 &- \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{2^{p_A+1}} r_m(\rho(t)) 2^{m-1} E_{m-1} f_A =: F_1 f_A + F_2 f_A + F_3 f_A.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.6), (4.7) and (4.13) we have

$$(4.15) \quad E |F_j f_A| \lesssim E |f_A| \lesssim 1, j = 2, 3.$$

Set

$$\tilde{\Delta}_i := I_{p_i+1}(x_0, \dots, x_{q_1-1}, 0, x_{q_1+1}, \dots, x_{p_1-1}, 0, x_{p_1+1}, \dots, x_{q_i-1}, 0, x_{q_i+1}, \dots, x_{p_i-1}, 1).$$

Suppose that $x \in \tilde{\Delta}_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, A$. Then

$$E_a f_A(x) = 2^a \int_{I_a(x)} f_A(s) d\mu(s) = 0, a > p_i.$$

Therefore, for $m > p_A$ we obtain (see 4.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.16) \quad \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A(x) &= -2 \sum_{a=0}^{p_i} (t_a - t_{a+1}) E_a f_A(x) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^i [2E_{q_k-1} f_A(x) - 2E_{p_k} f_A(x)] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^i [2^{q_k+2A} \mu(I_{q_k-1}(x) \cap \Delta_A) - 2^{p_k+1+2A} \mu(I_{p_k}(x) \cap \Delta_A)].
 \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to calculate that

$$\mu(I_{q_k-1}(x) \cap \Delta_A) = \frac{2^{p_A - (q_k-1) - 2(A-k+1)}}{2^{p_A+1}} = 2^{-q_k - 2(A-k) - 2}$$

and

$$\mu(I_{p_k}(x) \cap \Delta_A) = \frac{2^{p_A - p_k - [2(A-k)+1]}}{2^{p_A+1}} = 2^{-p_k - 2(A-k) - 2}.$$

Hence, from (4.16) for $m \geq p_A + 1$ and $x \in \tilde{\Delta}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A &= \sum_{k=1}^i [2^{q_k+2A} \cdot 2^{-q_k - 2(A-k) - 2} - 2^{p_k+1+2A} \cdot 2^{-p_k - 2(A-k) - 2}] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^i [2^{2k-2} - 2^{2k-1}] = -\frac{2^{2i} - 4}{3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for $x \in \tilde{\Delta}_i$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=p_A+1}^{2p_A+1} 2^{m-1} \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A = -\frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=p_A+2}^{2p_A+1} 2^{m-1} \frac{2^{2i}-4}{3} \\ & = -\frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} (2^{2p_A+1} - 2^{p_A+1}) \frac{2^{2i}-4}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E \left| \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A \right| \leq E |E_m f_A| + 2 \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} E |E_a f_A| \lesssim m E |f_A| \lesssim m$$

and

$$F_1 f_A = \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{p_A+1} 2^{m-1} \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A + \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=p_A+2}^{2p_A+1} 2^{m-1} \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.18) \quad E |F_1 f_A| & \geq E \left| \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=p_A+2}^{2p_A+1} 2^{m-1} \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A \right| \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{p_A+1} 2^{m-1} E \left| \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A \right| \\ & \geq \sum_{i=1}^A \int_{\tilde{\Delta}_i} \left| \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=p_A+1}^{2p_A+1} 2^{m-1} \tilde{E}_m^{(t)} f_A \right| d\mu \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2^{2p_A+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{p_A+1} 2^{m-1} m \\ & \gtrsim \sum_{i=1}^A 2^{2i} \mu(\tilde{\Delta}_i) - \frac{p_A 2^{p_A}}{2^{2p_A+1}} \gtrsim A. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.14), (4.15) and (4.18) we have

$$(4.19) \quad E \left| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} f_A \right| \gtrsim A.$$

Let

$$(4.20) \quad Q(2^{2A}) \geq 2^{2A}, \quad A \geq A_0.$$

By virtue of estimate (see ([9])) $\|f_A\|_{Q(L)} \leq 1 + E|Q(f_A)|$, from (4.13) and (4.20) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} f_A \right| &\leq \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} \right\|_{Q(L) \rightarrow L_1} \|f_A\|_{Q(L)} \\
 &\leq \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} \right\|_{Q(L) \rightarrow L_1} (1 + E|Q(f_A)|) \\
 &= \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} \right\|_{Q(L) \rightarrow L_1} (1 + Q(2^{2A}) \mu(\Delta_A)) \\
 &= \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} \right\|_{Q(L) \rightarrow L_1} \left(1 + \frac{Q(2^{2A})}{2^{2A}} \right) \\
 &\lesssim \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} \right\|_{Q(L) \rightarrow L_1} \frac{Q(2^{2A})}{2^{2A}}, A \geq A_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (4.19) we have

$$(4.21) \quad \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_A+1}}^{(t)} \right\|_{Q(L) \rightarrow L_1} \gtrsim \frac{A 2^{2A}}{Q(2^{2A})}, \quad A \geq A_0.$$

The fact that $Q(L) \not\subseteq L \log L$ is equivalent to the condition

$$\overline{\lim}_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u \log u}{Q(u)} = \infty.$$

Then there exists $\{u_k : k \in \mathbb{P}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_k \log u_k}{Q(u_k)} = \infty, \quad u_{k+1} > u_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

and a monotonically increasing sequence of positive integers $\{A_k : k \in \mathbb{P}\}$ such that

$$2^{2A_k} \leq u_k < 2^{2(A_k+1)}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{2^{2A_k} A_k}{Q(2^{2A_k})} \gtrsim \frac{u_k \log u_k}{Q(u_k)} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, from (4.21) we conclude that

$$\sup_k \left\| \tilde{\sigma}_{2^{2p_{A_k}+1}}^{(t)} \right\|_{Q(L) \rightarrow L_1} = \infty.$$

Theorem 4.2 is proved. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable remarks and suggestions.

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

- [1] S. V. Astashkin, E. M. Semenov, "Lebesgue constants of a Walsh system and Banach limits" [in Russian]; translated from *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **57**, no. 3, 512 – 526 (2016), *Sib. Math. J.* **57**, no. 3, 398 – 410 (2016).
- [2] N. J. Fine, "On the Walsh functions", *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **65** (3), 372 – 414 (1949).
- [3] N. J. Fine, "Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series", *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **41**, 558 – 591 (1955).

- [4] N. J. Fujii, “Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **77**, 111 – 116 (1979).
- [5] U. Goginava, “The maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz- Fejér means of the d -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series”, East J. Approx. **3**, no. 12, 295 – 302 (2006).
- [6] U. Goginava, “The martingale Hardy type inequality for Marcinkiewicz- Fejér means of two-dimensional conjugate Walsh-Fourier series”, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), **27**, no. 10, 1949 – 1958 (2011).
- [7] U. Goginava, “The maximal operator of the (C, α) means of the Walsh-Fourier series”, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput. **26**, 127 – 135 (2006).
- [8] B. I. Golubov, A. V. Efimov and V. A. Skvortsov, Series and Transformations of Walsh [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow, (1987); English transl.: Kluwer Acad. publ. (1991).
- [9] M. A. Krasnoselski, Ya. B. Rutitski, Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces [in Russian], Moscow (1958).
- [10] S. F. Lukomskii, “Convergence of multiple Walsh series in measure and in L ”, East J. Approx. **3** (3), 101 – 116 (1997).
- [11] Yu. V. Malykhin, S. A. Telyakovskii and N. N. Kholshchevnikova, “Integrability of the sum of absolute values of blocks of the Fourier-Walsh series for functions of bounded variation”, Translation of Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **290**, 323 – 334 (2015). Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **290**, no. 1, 306 – 317 (2015).
- [12] F. Schipp, “Certain rearrangements of series in the Walsh series”, Mat. Zametki **18**, 193 – 201 (1975).
- [13] P. Simon, “Investigation with respect to the Vilenkin system”, Ann. Univ. Sci. Sect. Math. (Budapest) **27**, 87 – 101 (1985).
- [14] F. Schipp, W.R. Wade, P. Simon and J. Pol, Walsh Series, an Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis. Adam Hilger, Bristol, New York (1990).
- [15] P. Simon, “Cesaro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh system”, Monatsh. Math. **131**, 321 – 334 (2000).
- [16] G. Szegx, “Über die Lebesgueschen Konstanten bei den Fourierschen Reihen”, (German) Math. Z. **9**, no. 1 - 2, 163 – 166 (1921).
- [17] R. Toledo, “On the boundedness of the L_1 -norm of Walsh- Fejér kernels”, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **457**, no. 1, 153 – 178 (2018).
- [18] F. Weisz, Martingale Hardy Spaces and Their Applications in Fourier Analysis, Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1994).
- [19] F. Weisz, Summability of Multi-Dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2002).
- [20] F. Weisz, “Cesaro summability of one and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series”, Anal. Math. **22**, 229 – 242 (1996).
- [21] F. Weisz, “ (C, α) summability of Walsh-Fourier series”, Anal. Math. **27**, no. 2, 141 – 155 (2001).

Поступила 25 октября 2019

После доработки 05 апреля 2020

Принята к публикации 10 июля 2020