Sahakyan L. S. PhD in Philology

ABSTRACT

Toponyms represent persistent linguistic facts, which have major historical and political significance. The rulers of the Ottoman Empire and the Republic of Turkey realized the strategic importance of the toponyms and carried out consistent policies towards their distortion and appropriation. Aiming to assimilate the toponyms of the newly conquered territories, the Ottoman authorities translated them into Turkish from their original languages or transformed the local dialect place-names by the principle of contamination to make them sound like Turkish word-forms. Other methods of appropriation included the etymological misinterpretation and renaming and displacing the former toponyms altogether. The focus of the present article is the place-name transformation policies of the Ottoman Empire and its successor, the Republic of Turkey. The decree by the Minister of War Enver Pasha issued on January 5, 1916 with the orders to totally change the "non-Muslim" place-names is for the first time presented in English, Armenian and Russian translations. The article also deals with the artificially created term of "Eastern Anatolia" as an ungrounded, politicized substitute for Western Armenia, the political objectives of the pro-Turkish circles as well as the consequences of putting the mentioned ersatz term into circulation.

In August 2009, during his visit to Bitlis, in the District of Bitlis (a formerly Armenian city Baghesh in the south-western part of Western Armenia), Turkish President Abdullah Gul said publicly that the original name of the present-day Gyouroymak province was "Norshin", which, he claimed, was in Kurdish. This statement should not be considered as a slip of the tongue; it represents traditional Turkish policies of Turkification and Kurdification of original Armenian toponyms. Norshin is purely an Armenian toponym both by its components "Nor"+"shen", which mean "a new settlement", and as a pattern to form place-names. All toponyms (villages, settlements, residential areas, etc.) with the component "shen" are indisputably Armenian as Martunashen, Vasakashen, Getashen, Vankshen, Hamshen, Verishen and the like.

-

^{*} This is an updated version of L. Sahakyan's article, which was first published by the ARARAT Center for Strategic Research in Armenian and Russian, respectively on September 18, 2009 (http://blog.ararat-center.org/?p=284) and November 19, 2009 (http://blog.ararat-center.org/?p=331).

It should be noted that, besides being linguistically stable phenomena, toponyms are valuable also as bearers of historical information. As such, they can have an effect on current ethnopolitical conflicts, if applied with the aim of distorting and manipulating the historical evidence. This truly strategic significance of the toponyms has not gone unnoticed: the ruling circles of the Ottoman Empire and those of its successor state, the Republic of Turkey, as once again confirmed by the recent reports in the BBC and the Turkish media², have devised and implemented consistent policies to falsify the origins and appropriate, through various ways and methods, the Armenian toponyms in the territory under their control.

The Turkic tribes invaded and settled in various parts of Armenia from the second half of the 11th century to the 15th century and later the Ottoman authorities were changing original Armenian place-names in several ways. First, they were translating their meanings into Turkish such as Tantsout (place with a lot of pear-trees) into Armoudlou, Aghbyurashen (a village of springs) into Kyankendi, Karmrik (based on the word karmir, meaning "red" in Armenian) into Kezelja, Tsaghkadsor (a ravine of flowers) into Darachichek, etc.

Second, some Armenian toponyms, which had already been transformed somewhat from their original shape under the influence of local dialects, were converted to sound like a word with Turkic roots and pronunciation, thus utilizing the principle of contamination. Thus Armtick (meaning roots in Armenian) was turned to Armoudi, Odzounkhach (a cross+snake) to Ouzounhach, Kyouropaghat (a title which goes back to Latin "curator palatii," which was given to especially Armenian governors by the Byzantine Emperors) to Gyurbaghdi, Karhatavan (settlement where stone in cut) to Karadivan, Jeghopourkents (place with a lot of walnut-trees) to Chopurgens, etc.

Third, a widely-spread method of distortion was to give new names to old settlements in an attempt to bury their ethnic affiliation in oblivion^{3.} Even Christian Armenian sanctuaries were given new names. Thus, the famous Armenian monastery Varagavank was renamed Yedikiliseh (meaning seven churches in Turkish), while the Holy Echmiadzin, the center of the Armenian Church, where the Supreme Armenian Catholicos resided, was turned into "Ouchkiliseh" (Three churches). According to our estimates, several dozens of settlements have been named by the word "kiliseh" or "Gharakiliseh" in both Western and Eastern Armenia.

Fourth, the attempts to give Turkish etymological explanations to the Armenian toponyms represented yet another method of their appropriation campaign. Such faulty experiments were carried out, in particular, by Evliya Celebi, the Ottoman court historian of the 17th century, whose interpretations have often served as a basis for modern Turkish scholars. Here is one example. In his Book of Travels (Seyahatname), the old Armenian place-name Bayberd or Baberd (which through dialect and foreign lexical influences has undergone sound interchange and consequently was pronounced as

Baybourd) is etymologically explained as "bay" (meaning rich in Turkish) + "yourd" (settlement in Turkish)⁴. In fact, this name includes two ancient Armenian components Bay + berd, which respectively mean a den or an impregnable shelter and a stronghold or a fortress. As an ancient fortress, Baberd was mentioned by Movses Khorenatsi as early as in the 5th century⁵. Place-names with the ending "berd" have been scattered throughout all Armenia, as Tsamakaberd, Amberd, Vzhnaberd, Kharberd, Baghaberd, etc⁶.

Evliya Celebi went further to "reveal" that the original Armenian river name of Jorokh is a distorted form of the Turkish Joui-rouh, which according to him means "the river of the soul". In fact, the name $\alpha npnp$ ("Tchorokh") originates from the Armenian verb $\alpha npnp$ ("tsorel"- "tsorogh") (flowing) in which the initial α ("ts") has been transformed to α ("tch") through sound interchange, a phenomenon peculiar to the Armenian language, as in "tsanatsel > tchanachel", and "tskhni > tchkhni". Evliya Chelebi links to the Persians the name of the town Zarishat9, which was actually built by the Armenian royal dynasty of Eruanduni (Orontids) (570 BC-200 BC). He derives the town name of "Akn" from the name of a Byzantine princess "Egin" however, "Akn" is a purely Armenian word meaning "eye", "spring" and "pit" In the place name of "Pertek", which is a dialectal deformation of the original "Berdak" (a small fortress), Celebi tries to find the Mongolian equivalent for the word "eagle" α

It is irrefutable that all the above-mentioned toponyms and others in Armenia have been recorded in ancient historical sources far earlier than any Turkic and Kurdish elements appeared on the Armenian Highland, which they gradually did only from the second half of the 11th century onwards.

The "corrections" introduced by Celebi pursued far-reaching purposes of Ottomanizing the newly occupied territories. Evliya Chelebi was a state official, who in addition participated in Ottoman expansionist invasions. Thus, his etymological explanations had clear geopolitical motives.

Around the middle of the 19th century the Turkish authorities decided not only to distort or change the names of Armenian provinces, regions and villages, but also to eliminate altogether the name Armenia. This policy was pursued especially after the Russo-Turkish war of 1877-1878, when the Armenian Question was included into the agenda of international diplomacy and the European powers started exploiting it to derive various concessions from Turkey.

The government of sultan Abdul Hamid II substituted the name Armenia with such terms as "Kurdistan" or "Anatolia", fallaciously. Starting from 1880 the name Armenia was forbidden to be used in official documents¹³. The Sublime Porte thus wanted to make everyone believe that the Armenian Question did not exist: if there was no Armenia, then there was no Armenian Question.

Historians are familiar with the plan of "solving" the Armenian Question with the assistance of England put forward by Kiamil Pasha, the pro-British Ottoman Grand Vizier and Commander-in-chief during the reign of sultan Abdul Hamid II: "If in Europe we have warmed a serpent (i.e. the Balkan peoples - L.S.) in our bosom, we should not do the same in Asian Turkey. Common sense tells us to do away with all these elements that can pose the same threats to us in the future and become the cause and a tool of foreign interference. Now, today, at least Britain's interests demand that our territories in Asia Minor be safe from foreign meddling and all sources that may give others a pretext to meddle in our affairs. We, as well as the British not only do not recognize the word "Armenia", but we must smash to smithereens all jaws which dare to pronounce that word. To reach our sacred goal it is therefore imperative and the state law demands [from us] to make any suspicious elements unfit, sweep forever from the face of the earth this Armenian nation, to annihilate them recklessly and for good" (the emphasis is mine - L.S.)¹⁴.

By deliberately distorting them, the Ottoman authorities were ascribing Armenian and Greek place-names to Turkish or Kurdish origin. At that stage, the Kurdish ethnic factor was used by the Ottoman rulers, for the Kurds were not yet viewed as a threat to the Ottoman Empire. Taking advantage of their religious fanaticism, in the 1890s sultan Abdul Hamid, who was also known as "the father of the Kurds" (Bavê Kurda)¹⁵, organized the Armenian massacres through the Hamidiye regiments formed out of Kurdish brigands and the regular Turkish army soldiers.

During Abdul Hamid's reign all Turkish and Kurdish resettlements were given new names, which were the names of nomadic tribes or various Ottoman sultans such as Hamidiye, Reshidiye, Aziziye, Mahmoudiye, etc. This policy became especially manifest during the reign of the Young Turks (1908-1918).

The government of Young Turks also attached great importance to the changing of "non-Muslim" place-names. They replaced many toponyms, some named after the Ottoman sultans, with their own names such as Enveriye, Shevketiye, Mahmoutshevket-Pasha and the like¹⁶. The "Resolution About the Resettlement of Refugees" ("Iskân-I Muhacirin Nizamnamesi"), a document adopted on May 13, 1913¹⁷, served the specific Young Turk policy of total Turkification. The next step was made by Enver Pasha, the Young Turks' Minister of War, on January 5, 1916¹⁸.

Enver Pasha's decree sent to the Turkish military-political authorities demanded that all place-names of Armenian, Greek, Bulgarian and other non-Muslim origins in the Ottoman Empire be transformed into Turkish ones¹⁹. Below is the translation of his Decree (Emirname):

DECREE

1. It is important to change into Turkish all names of provinces, regions, villages, mountains and rivers belonging to Armenian, Greek, Bulgarian and other non-Muslim

peoples. Making use swiftly of this favorable moment, we beseech your help in carrying out this order.

- 2. Cooperating with military commanders and administrative personnel within the boundaries of your jurisdiction, respective lists of name changes should be formed of provinces, regions, villages, etc. and be forwarded to military headquarters as soon as possible. After being studied and approved, these lists of proposed changes should be sent to the Ministry of the Interior and the Communications Ministry for generalization and implementation.
- 3. It is imperative that the new names reflect the history of our hard-working, exemplary and praise-worthy military. The glorified events of our present and past war experiences should, by all means, be mentioned. In case this is not possible, names of those who had highly moral principles and who have fallen rendering invaluable services to their country should be remembered; or names should be found that are appropriate to the given area's specific crop, product, trade or geographical situation.

Last but not least, teachers at schools in different parts of our Fatherland should find appropriate topics to teach about the given territory's glorious history, climate, crop, trade and culture. It should be borne in mind that any sudden change of a conventional name into an inconvenient or improper one may bring about the continuation of using the old name by the population. Therefore, new names should be chosen taking all this into consideration. In case such principles cannot be observed, then Ereghli, for example, should be turned into Erikli or Erakli, Gallipoli into Veliboli in order to maintain the roots of old names.

Enver, Deputy Commander-in-Chief, 23 Kanun-i Evvel, 1331 /1916/

Inspired by Enver's decree, the prominent military officer Huseyin Avni (Alparslan) Bey, the author of several articles about the Turkish language and culture, wrote: "If we want to be the owner of our country, then we should turn even the name of the smallest village into Turkish and not leave its Armenian, Greek or Arabic variants. Only in this way can we paint our country with its colors" .²⁰

As we see, he even goes a step further than his minister by suggesting that Arabic place-names also must undergo changes. Enver Pasha's decree mentioned only "Armenian, Greek, Bulgarian and other non-Muslim peoples". This testifies to the fact that during the Ottoman period, when the sultan was considered the spiritual head (Caliph) of all Muslims, the Arabic and Kurdish toponyms were not yet regarded as threatening to the authorities. However, it should be remembered that the overwhelming majority of the names of places (where the Kurds infiltrated) in Western Armenia were Armenian in origin with, at times, some aspects of local dialect or foreign linguistic influences. After the Armenian Genocide, these toponyms have been attributed to Kurds.

During WWI the Armenian, Greek and Bulgarian toponyms were the first to be turned into Turkish. The Antranos caza in Bursa, for example, was turned into Orhanelli, Mikhalich was renamed Karajabey, the village Dimitri into Touran, the Rum village in Chorum into Yeni (new) Chamlejay. But a few months later, on June 15, 1916 the Ottoman Military Headquarters disapproved these changes and argued that on the new maps these new names were causing confusion in military correspondence²¹.

Having been dispossessed of its original population, Western Armenia continues to lose, along with many other historical and cultural values, its millennia-old Armenian place-names. They are falsely declared Kurdish or Turkish. Haroun Tuncel, a Turkish historian, has admitted that "One cannot find in Turkey a scientific work that would deal with the origins of ancient toponyms for the simple reason that the person undertaking such an arduous task should be knowledgeable of the local dialects of several languages, including Persian, Arabic, Armenian, Zaza, Kourmanji, Assyrian-Aramaic, Sumerian and Akkadian... for any name considered Kurdish may well be either Sumerian, Akkadian or Turkish [?] and any name considered Turkish may be either Arabic, Armenian or Akkadian in its origin"²².

In an article, titled "28 thousand toponyms were changed. Nobody knows which one comes from which language"²³, Ş. Türker has included among Kurdish names such indisputably Armenian toponyms as Van, Antep (Aintap)²⁴, Kharpet (Kharberd), Erzingan (<Eriza), Kilis (which is a distorted version of the word "Yekeghetsi", meaning Church), etc²⁵. It remains a mystery why and how the Muslim Kurds came to name their settlements Church (Kilis)?

The process of "nationalization" of toponyms was continued by the Kemalists, who were the ideological successors of the Young Turks. It gained momentum during the Republican period. Starting from 1923 the entire territory of Western Armenia was officially renamed "Eastern Anatolia"²⁶. After the Kurdish rebellions in 1925, 1927 and 1936 in the eastern part of the Republic of Turkey, the Turkish authorities started renaming the Kurdish and Zaza settlements as well. As early as 1935 the Interior Minister Shukru Kayan put forward a draft resolution to rename Dersim into Tunceli. It is worth noticing that in February 2009 Sharafettin Halis, a deputy in the Turkish Parliament from the Democratic Society Party (DTP), proposed that Tunceli be granted its former name of Dersim; he argued that people cannot forget this name as it has become sacred for them and was used both in their daily lives and in their songs, tales and novels. The proposal was, however, labeled a "manifestation of separatism" by the Turkish Minister of Justice²⁷.

In 1940, the Turkish government issued a circular letter (No. 8589) which called for changing into Turkish all toponyms in foreign languages or with foreign roots, but the outbreak of World War II temporarily impeded its implementation.

A special article about changes of toponyms was included in the 1949 Provincial administrative law (II Idaresi Kanunu). Furthermore, a "Specialized Organization for Renaming of Toponyms" ("Ad Değiştirme İhtisas Kurulu") was initiated in 1957. This organization renamed 653 settlements in Erzrum [Arzan (Unδίι) ar-Rum], 169 in Adana, 366 in Erzinjan (Yerznka<Eriza), 224 in Adyaman, 70 in Moughla, 88 in Afion, 70 in Eskishehir, 297 in Moush, 374 in Aghre (Ararat), 279 in Gaziantepe, 24 in Nevshehir, 99 in Amasia, 167 in Giresoun (Kerasoun), 647 in Nighdeh, 193 in Ankara, 343 in Gyumushkhaneh, 134 in Ordou, 168 in Antalya, 128 in Hakkari, 105 in Rizeh, 101 in Ardvin, 117 in Hatay (Alexandretta/Iskenderun), 117 in Sakaria, 69 in Ayden, 185 in Sparta, 110 in Balekesir, 112 in Ichel, 392 in Siirt (Sghert), 32 in Bilejik, 21 in Istanbul, 59 in Sinop, 247 in Bingyol (Byurakn), 68 in Izmir (Smyrna), 406 in Sivas (Sebastia), 236 in Bitlis, 398 in Kars, 19 in Tekirdagh, 182 in Bolou, 295 in Kastamonu, 245 in Tokat (Eudokia), 49 in Bourdour, 86 in Kayseri (Cesaria), 390 in Trebizond, 136 in Boursa, 35 in Krklarel, 273 in Dersim, 53 in Chanakkaleh, 39 in Kershehir, 389 in Shanli Ourfa (Ourha), 76 in Chankere, 26 in Kojayeli, 47 in Oushal, 555 in Chorum, 217 in Malatia, 156 in Zongouldak, 20 in Edirne, 647 in Mardin, 555 in Diarbekir, 83 in Manisa, 383 in Elazegh (Kharberd), and 105 in Kahraman Marash²⁸.

After research work on 75,000 toponyms, the "Specialized Organization" changed 28,000 names, among which 12.000 were village names. According to H. Tunçel's estimates, 12,211 villages were renamed during the period of 1940-2000, which constitutes approximately 35 per cent of the villages²⁹.

Turkish historian Ayse Hyur writes that during the reign of the Democratic Party ugly, humiliating, insulting or derisive names, even if they were Turkish, were subjected to changes. Village names with lexical components meaning red (kizil), bell (çan), church (kilise) were all changed. To do away with "separatist notions", the Arabic, Persian, Armenian, Kurdish, Georgian, Tatar, Circassian, and Laz village names were also changed³⁰. From 1981 to 1983, the names of settlements on the Eastern and Western parts of the Black Sea also underwent changes.

Bilir, the author of "Let Tunceli Be Named Dersim", in an article published in the August 19, 2009 issue of "Bir Gun" daily, noted that besides giving new names to the settlements, the Turkish authorities altered also the phonetic pronunciations of those old names to make them sound like Turkish words, as, for instance, Chinchiva to Shenyouva. This method of changing a toponym, as has already been mentioned previously, was suggested by Enver Pasha as early as 1916: "...change Ereghli into Erikli or Erakli, Gallipoli into Velipolou in order to maintain the old name roots" This phenomenon, however, has deeper roots. Similar cases of Ottomanization-Turkification of Armenian toponyms were present in the 16th century Ottoman Geographical Registers³². It is ironic to note that in the ongoing process of turning the so called Kurdish toponyms into Turkish ones some toponyms have been restored to their

imaginary "old" Turkish versions, which are actually ancient and medieval Armenian place-names. Thus Pertag (Berd+ak) has been renamed Pertek, allegedly its "old" Turkish name, Esper (Sper)>Ispir, Erdekhan>Ardahan, Shakh>Shatakh, Kers>Kars, Zedkan> Elishkirt, which is the phonetically deformed variant of Alashkert<Vagharsh+a+kert, Geghi>Keghi, in both of which we have the word Gyugh-Gegh meaning village, Guimguim>Vardo, etc³³.

Modern Turkish historiography has greatly contributed and supported this systematic program of changing, distorting and appropriating "non-Muslim" toponyms in Turkey. Upon the publication of the works of chroniclers and archival materials of the earlier period of the Ottoman Empire, Turkish historians have made use of their rich stock of falsifications and have distorted Armenian toponyms en masse³⁴. Armenia or coarsely and retroactively Ermenistan have been replaced with Anatolia"35. The following highlights one such example. In his "Jihan Numa" Kyatib Celebi, a famous Ottoman chronicler of the 17th century, had a special chapter, titled "About the Country Called Armenia". When, however, this book was republished in 1957 its modern Turkish editor H. Selen changed this title into "Eastern Anatolia" ³⁶. The fact, however, is that Armenia together with its boundaries was unequivocally mentioned in the works of Ottoman historians and chroniclers. An excerpt from the said chapter of Kyatib Celebi's Jihan Numa illustrates clearly the falsifications of modern Turkish historians.

"Hamdullah says. The Armenia vilayet consists of two parts - Great and Minor. ...Great Armenia extends well into Iran and is known by the name of Touman Akhlat. It borders Armenia Minor, Rum, Diarbekir, Kurdistan, Azarbaijan (Atropatene)³⁷ and Aran. Its length covers the area from Erzen-el-Rum (Erzrum) to Salmas, while its width - from Aran to the end of Akhlat vilayet. Its capital is Akhlat. In my opinion Great Armenia at present consists of the Van and Erzrum vilayets, while Armenia Minor - of Adana and Marash eyalets. In the Takvim-el-Bouldan³⁸, the following cities are mentioned in Armenia: Elbistan³⁹, Adana, Arjesh, Bitlis, Barda, Bilekan, Tiflis, Akhlat, Debil, Sultaniye, Sis, Tarsus, Malatia, Van, Vostan, Moush, Erzen-el-Rum and Malazkert⁴⁰.

While Celebi mentioned only part of the territory of Armenia⁴¹, the fact that the Ottoman historian admitted the existence of Armenia as a country speaks for itself. Armenia is referred to by other Ottoman authors of the 17th century as well. The official court historian Evliya Celebi mentions it as Armenistan⁴². Munejjim Basi⁴³, another Turkish historian of the same century, also wrote about the vast country of Armenia, including into it the cities of Kherd Bert (i.e. Kharberd - L.S.), Erzinkan, Moush, Egin (Akn), Melazjerd (Manazkert), Bitlis, Akhlat, Arjesh, Vostan, Shirvan and the capital Debil (i.e. Dvin)⁴⁴. From the descriptions of these historians, it becomes evident that in the 17th century official Ottoman historiography recognized the existence of the occupied Great Armenia, and acknowledged it by its internationally accepted name of

Armenia (Ermenistan). While Cilicia with its Adana and Marash eyalets was recognized by them as Armenia Minor. Thus, in the 17th century when the Armenian Question was not as yet included into the agenda of international diplomacy, the terms Anatolia or Eastern Anatolia were never used to indicate Armenia. Furthermore, the "Islamic World Map" of the 16th century⁴⁵ and other Ottoman maps of the 18th and 19th centuries have clearly indicated Armenia (Ermenistan) on a specific territory as well as its cities⁴⁶.

Armenia (ارمنستان) and Anatolia (عاناطول) are clearly differentiated in the map published in Constantinople in 1803-1804⁴⁷. The Ottoman authors were using the term Armenia till the end of the 19th century. One example is Osman Nuri, the historian of the second half of the 19th century, who mentions Armenia repeatedly in his three-volume "Abdul Hamid and the Period of His Reign"⁴⁸.

It is more than obvious that the Ottoman historians and chroniclers in contrast to the modern Turkish ones, knew very well Armenia's location and did not "confuse" it with Anatolia*.

The word Anatolia means "sunrise" or "east" in Greek. This name was given to the Asia Minor peninsula approximately in the 5th or 4th centuries B.C. During the Ottoman Empire the term "Anadolou" included the north-eastern vilayets of Asia Minor with Kyotahia as its center⁴⁹. The numerous Armenian, European, Ottoman, Russian, Persian, Arabic, Georgian and other primary sources did not confuse the term Armenia with Anatolia. This testifies, inter alia, to the fact that even after the loss of its statehood the Armenian nation still constituted a majority in its Homeland, which, up to the end of the 19th century, was recognized by the Ottoman occupants as well.

Conclusion

The Turkish authorities realize that Armenian toponyms are the product of the several millenia civilization and vivid evidence of the indigenous presence of Armenians in Western Armenia. The extermination of the native population, however, did not stop

^{*} Therefore, at present Ronald Suny (and some others) wrongly substitute the term *Western Armenia* with that of the ersatz "Eastern Anatolia" (Ronald Grigor Suny, Looking Toward Ararat: Armenia in Modern History, Bloomington, Indiana University Press, 1993, pp. 7, 18, 67, 106 The Armenian People from Ancient to Modern Times, vol. 1, New York, St. Martin's Press, 1997, pp. 22, 228, 320, vol. II, pp. 127, 136; Ayvazyan A.: The History of Armenia as Presented in American Historiography, a critical survey, Yerevan, 1998, pp. 37-40). Even if this ersatz term of "Eastern Anatolia" has somehow been put into circulation in Western scientific circles under the influence of systematic Turkish lobbying and falsifications and at times also due to the lack of knowledge, it is unacceptable for us, because the substitution of Western Armenia with the term "Eastern Anatolia" would mean voluntary renunciation of our Homeland, rejection of our centuries-old historical and cultural heritage, denial of the Armenian Genocide, burial into oblivion of its consequences and, last but not least, rendering support to the Turkish negationist position towards the rights of the Armenian nation to Western Armenia (Refer to Armen Ayvazyan's "Western Armenia vs. Eastern Anatolia", Europe and Orient (Journal of the Institute Tchobanian, Paris), No. 4, 2007).

with the Armenian Genocide; it was followed by the destruction of Armenian historical and cultural heritage, including the Armenian toponyms.

The policy of Turkification of toponyms in the Ottoman Empire and the Republic of Turkey has gone through several stages:

- 1. Up to the end of the 19th century, Turkish officials and historians still continued to use the names "Armenia" or "Ermenistan". At the same time, they were appropriating and changing the place names of occupied territories.
- 2. After the Russo-Turkish War of 1877-1878, when the Armenian Question became an international issue, the Turkification of Armenian as well as other Christian toponyms has been carried out more consistently.
- 3. This process intensified during the Young Turks and the Kemalist regimes, when a full-scale Turkification policy of toponyms targeted all non-Turkic nations.
- 4. During the present stage, decades after eliminating Western Armenia of its native Armenian population, falsification of toponyms still remains an important constituent part of Turkish demographic policies.

Toponyms are not only linguistic facts, but also accurate and objective historical evidence. The ancient Armenian place-names are explicit and emphatic linguistic evidence, which reveal the entire truth about the true native owners of the Armenian Highland. This is why the protection, maintenance and restoration of Armenian toponyms have invaluable strategic significance today.

- 1. Kadir Konuksever, "Kürt açılımı ve Kürt isimleri", BBC Türkçe, 12 Ağustos, 2009. http://www.bbc.co.uk/turkce/haberler/2009/08/090812_kurdish_names.shtml.
- 2. Muradoğlu A., Ahi Mesut ve Norşin.., Yeni şafak, 11.08.2009: Enver Alper Güvelin, Norşin: Psikolojik eşiğin aşılması, Γαзετα Yeni şafak, 16.08.2009:
- 3. For more details refer to L. Sahakyan's The Toponyms and Demography of Bardzr Haik Provinces of Baberd, Sper and Derzhan in the 16th century Ottoman Register Books {Barts Hayki Baberd. Sper Derzhan gavarneri tekhanunnern u zhokhvrdagrutyuni XVI dari osmanyan ashkharagir matyannerum} published by "Lousakn" Publishers, Yerevan, 2007, pp. 83-84.
- 4. Celebi, Evliya: Turkish Sources about Armenia, Armenians and Other Trans-Caucasian Peoples {Turkakan akhbyurneri Hayastani, hayeri yev Andrkovkasi myus zhokhvurdneri masin, Evliya Chelebi} translated into Armenian from the original with a foreword and commentaries by A.Kh. Safrastyan, vol. 3, published by the Arm SSR Academy of Sciences, 1967, p.127.
- 5. Movses Khorenatsi, The History of Armenia {Hayots patmutyun}, Yerevan, 1999, p. 104.
- 6. For a detailed etimological analysis of Baberd, see L. Sahakyan's above mentioned monograph, pp.130-131.
- 7. Celebi, Evliya: Turkish Sources... {Turkakan akhbyurneri...}, p.127.
- 8. Ajaryan, H.: Armenian Etymological Dictionary {Hayeren atmatakan bararan}, vol. 2, p.469. See also New Wordbook of the Haykazyan Language {Nor bargirk haykazyan lezvi}, vol. 1, Yerevan, State Univ.y Publ. House, p.1026.
- 9. Celebi, Evliya: Turkish Sources... {Turkakan akhbyurneri}, vol.3, p. 120.
- 10. Ibid, p.155.
- 11. Ajaryan, H. Armenian Etymological Dictionary {Hayeren atmatakan bararan}, Yerevan, 1971, State Univ.y Publ. House, vol. 1, p. 106-108.

- 12. Celebi, Evliya: Turkish Sources...{Turkakan akhbyurneri...}, vol. 3, p. 157.
- 13. Modern History of Armenia in the Works of Foreign Authors (Novaya istoriya Armenii b trudax sovremennix zarubezhnix avtorov), edited by R. Sahakyan, Yerevan, 1993, p.15 (in Russian).
- 14. Tserents, National Theory: "The Ottoman Monarchy, Turkish Armenians and Russian Armenians" {"Azgayin tesutyun, Osmanyan Inknakalutyun, tachkahayk yev rusahayk"}, Pords, Tpkhis, 1897, N VII-VIII, pp. 204–205. Modern History of Armenia in the Works of Foreign Authors, p.17 (in Russian).
- 15. Frat N., "Vulpes Vulpes Kurdistanica," Günlük, 17.8.2009, (www.gunlukgazetesi.com).
- 16. Frat N., Ibid.
- 17. Ayşe Hür, "Tez zamanda yer isimleri değiştirile," Taraf , 01.03.2009.
- 18. Modern Turkish historian Aishe Hyur, by the way, writes that the measures taken to systematically change non-Turkish names were sped up during World War I ("Bin Yerin İsmi Değişti, Hangi İsim Hangi Dile ait?" www.kenthaber.com/Haber/Genel/Dosya/gundem/28-bin-yerin-ismi-değişti).
- 19. Başbakanlık Osmanlı Arşivi, Dâhiliye Nezâreti, İUM, nr. 48/17, lef: 2. M. Şükrü Hanioğlu, Enver Paşa, DIA, XI, İstanbul, 1995, ss. 261-264. A. Yüksel, Doğu Karadeniz Araştırmaları, İstanbul, 2005, ss. 21-22.
- 20. H. Tirebolulu {Huseyin Avni} Alparslan, Trabzon İli Lâz mı? Türk mü?, Giresun, 1339. s. 17.
- 21. Aktar A, "Yer isimlerini Turkleştirmek...", Taraf, 23 Ekim, 2009.
- 22. Türker Ş., "28 bin yerin ismi değişti, Hangi ismin hangi dile ait olduğu bilinmiyor!", Vatan, 16.08.2009, www.esoyle.com/2009/08/30/28-bin-yerin-ismi-değişti.
- 23. Türker Ş., op. cit.
- 24. The original toponyms are given in parentheses.
- 25. Refer to the same source.
- 26. Soviet Armenian Encyclopedia (Sovetakan haykakan hanragitaran), Yerevan, 1974, p.327. Also A Concise Armenian Encyclopedia (Haykakay hamarot hanragitaran), Yerevan, 1990, pp. 192–193.
- 27. Bilir O., "Tunceli, Dersim Olsun" Tekilfini Ekimde yeniden," Bir Gün, 2009, 19 Agustos. Refer also to www.birgun.net
- 28. Bilir O., Ibid
- 29. Tunçel H., "Türkiye'de İsmi Deĝiştirilen Köyler," Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, Firat Universitesi, 2000, cilt 10, sayı 2.
- 30. Türker S., "28 bin yerin ismi değişti...,", Vatan, 16 Agustos, 2009.
- 31. See Yuksel A., Dogu Karadeniz Arastirmalari, Istanbul, 2005, s. 21-22.
- 32. For the details, see Lusine Sahakyan, Toponyms and Demography of Bardzer Hayk Provinces of Baberd, Sper and Derjan in the 16th century Ottoman Register Books {Barts Hayki Baberd. Sper Derzhan gavarneri tekhanunnern u zhokhvrdagrutyuni XVI dari osmanyan ashkharagir matyannerum}, pp. 77–108.
- 33. O. Bilir, "Tunceli, Dersim Olsun" Tekilfini Ekimde yeniden," Bir Gün, 19 Agustos 2009. Refer also to www.birgun.net.
- 34. See L. Sahakyan, Toponyms and Demography, op. cit., pp. 71-108, 130-135.
- 35. Ibid.
- 36. For details refer to A. Papazian's Turkish Documents about Armenia and Armenians (XVI–XIX cc) {Turkakan vaveragreri Hayastani yev hayeri masin (16-19-rd darer)}, Yerevan, 1999, p. 125.
- 37. Azerbaijan Atrpatakan, a province of Iran.
- 38. Takvim-al-Buldan" is the Statistical Data- book of Abul Fida, an Arab historiographer and geographer. It served as a source book for Kyatib Calabi (Turkish Sources about Armenia, Armenians and Other Trans-Caucasian Peoples (Turkakan akhbyurneri Hayastani, hayeri yev Andrkovkasi myus zhokhvurdneri masin,, vol. 2, Yerevan, p. 258).
- 39. Elbistan-Albistan, a town in Cilicia in the Zeytun caza of the Marash province.
- 40. Turkish Sources...{Turkakan akhbyurneri...}, vol.2; Kyatib Celebi, Jihan Numa, pp. 29-30.

- 41. Refer also to A. Papazian's Turkish Documents about Armenia and Armenians (XVI–XIX cc) {Turkakan vaveragreri Hayastani yev hayeri masin (16–19–rd darer)}, pp.112–114, 121–122.
- 42. Эвлия Челеби, Книга путешествия. Предисловие А. П. Григорьева. Примечания и комментарии А. П. Григорьева, А. Д. Желтякова. Выпуск 2, Изд. ""Наука", Москва, 1979, с. 102.
- 43. Refer to Munejjim Basi: Turkish Sources...}, vol.2, p.183.
- 44. lbid., pp.199-200. In Arabic and Turkish sources the toponym Dvin has been distorted and written in various ways like Debil (refer to vol. 2 of Dictionary of Toponyms of Armenia and the Adjacent Regions, Yerevan, 1988, p. 68) Douin, Dabil, Adabin and Douviy (refer to S. Vartanyan's The Capitals of Armenia {Hayastani mayrakakhaknere}, Yerevan, 1995, p. 109.)
- 45. "The Islamic World Map" was drawn in the 1570s. Its diameter is 28.5 cm, and it is kept in the Bodlian Library, Oxford, manusc. Var.317 f9v-10r (Refer to R. Galchian's "Armenia in World Cartography", Yerevan, 2005, p.148.
- 46. The Second Map of the "Mediterranean Region" (reprinted in R. Galchian, ibid., p. 228).
- 47. "Asian Turkey" was printed in 1803/1804. Size: 72×54 cm, British Library, London-OIOC 14999, vol. 2 (2), f.18. The second map in "The Mediterranean Region", size: 80×58 cm, British Library, London-OIOC 14999, vol. 2 (2), f.5.,"The Ottoman Country", published in1867, size:42×29 cm, The British Library, London-Maps 42.d.1, f.2 (Refer to R. Galchian's monograph, ibid. pp. 226, 240 and 246).
- 48.The Turkish Sources {Turkakan aghbyurner}. Vol. 4. Transl. from the original by A. Kh. Safrastyan and G. H. Santurjyan. Yerevan, 1972, pp. 126, 131, 133, 136, 165, 167, 172, 175, 180, 184, 188, 190£
- 49. Soviet Armenian Encyclopedia {Sovetakan haykakan hanragitaran}, vol.1, Yerevan, 1974, p. 373. For details about Turkish attempts to change the place name of "Western Armenia" with that of "Eastern Anatolia" see Zograbyan L. N., {Orfografia Armyanskogo Nagoriya}, Yerevan, 1979, p. 14-15. See also E. Danielyan's article titled "Issues of Ancient Armenian History in Historiology" {"Hin Hayastani patmutyan hayetsakargayin himnahartseri patmagrutyan mej"}, published in Patma-banasirakan Handes (Historico-Philological Magazine), 2003, N3, pp. 30-37, as well as his article titled "Armenia and Armenian Geographical Names: A Scientific Assignment to Protect the Armenian Natural and Historical Environment" {Hayasann u haykakan tekhanunneri, hayots bnapatmakan mijavayri pashtpanutyan gitakan aradzadrank"}, published in VEM, an all-Armenian magazine, 2009, N1 (26), pp. 13-15.