¿ЦЭЦUSUЪР ФРОЛЬВОРЪЪСРР ЦОФЦЭРЪ ЦЧЦЭВИРНАЦИОНАЛЬНАЯ АКАДЕМИЯ НАУК АРМЕНИИNATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF ARMENIAДОКЛАДЫОБЧЛРВОЪРРОКТА

1000	111	2011	No 3

MATHEMATICS

УДК 517 927 4, 519 632

A. G. Arakelyan, R. H. Barkhudaryan, M. P. Poghosyan

Finite Difference Scheme for Two-Phase Obstacle Problem

(Submitted by academician A B Nersessian 25/11 2011)

Key words: free boundary, two-phase membrane, two-phase obstacle, finite difference

Introduction. Mathematical setting of the problem and known results. Let $n \ge 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open subset with Lipschitz-regular boundary. Suppose

we are given functions $g: \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \lambda^+, \lambda^- : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that g is continuous and takes both positive and negative values over $\partial\Omega$, and λ^\pm are Lipschitz-continuous functions satisfying

 $\lambda^{+}(x) \geq 0, \quad \lambda^{-}(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{+}(x) + \lambda^{-}(x) > 0, \quad x \in \Omega$

The two-phase obstacle problem, or the two-phase membrane problem, consist of minimizing the cost functional

$$\exists (v) := \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + \lambda^* \max(v, 0) + \lambda^- \max(-v, 0) \right] dx \tag{1}$$

over the set of admissible "deformations" $\mathbf{K} = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega) : v - g \in H_0(\Omega) \}$.

It is straightforward to see that the ∂ is coercive, convex and lowersemicontinuous over $H^1(\Omega)$, resulting the existence of the unique minimum point u of the functional on the affine subspace K C

Writing down the Euler-Lagrange equation for (1), we'll obtain

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \lambda^{-1} \cdot \chi_{\{u>0\}} = \lambda^{-1} \cdot \chi_{\{u<0\}}, \quad x \in \Omega \\ u = g, \qquad x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where stands for the characteristic function of the set A. It is easy to see (cf. [1]), that the solution (in the weak sense) of (2) must coincide with the minimizer $u \in \mathbf{X}$ of (1).



Problem (2) is an example of Free Boundary Problem. Roughly speaking, we have to solve $\Delta u = \lambda^*$ on the set $\{u > 0\}$ and $\Delta u = -\lambda^-$ on $\{u < 0\}$, but the sets $\{u > 0\}$ and $\{u < 0\}$, the two phases for this problem, are not known a priori, and need to be determined along the solution u. The free boundary for this problem consist of two parts- $\partial\{u > 0\} \cap \Omega$ and $\partial\{u < 0\} \cap \Omega$.

The two-phase obstacle problem (1) has been studied from different viewpoints. As it has been mentioned above, the existence of minimizers is straightforward and is obtained by the direct methods of calculus of variations. The optimal C_{m}^{++} regularity for the solution to (2) has been proved by Ural'tseva [2] in the case when the coefficients λ^{\pm} are assumed to be constant, and the result was extended by Shahgholian [3] for Lipschitz-regular coefficients and by Lindgren. Shahgholian and Edquist [4] for Hölder-regular coefficients. The regularity and the geometry of the free boundary has been studied by Shahgholian. Ural tseva and Weiss [5], [6], Andersson, Matevosyan and Mikayelyan [7].

As to numerical solution of two-phase obstacle problem, Bozorgnia in his recent paper [8] discussed three algorithms for numerical solution of two-phase obstacle problem. The first algorithm constructs an iterative sequence converging towards the solution. The second algorithm uses the regularization method to construct an approximation for the solution, and the third is based on Finite Element Method But here the first and the third methods lack of convergence proofs, and for the second method only estimates for the difference between the regularized solutions and exact solution are given.

Here, in this paper, we use the regularization method to obtain a smooth opposiimation for two-phase obstacle problem, approximate the later by Finite Difference Scheme (FDS).

1. Finite difference scheme. Degenerate elliptic equations and viscosity solutions. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and for twice differentiable function $u \ \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ let Du and D^2u denote the gradient and Hessian matrix of u, respectively Also let the function F(x, r, p, X) be a continuous real-valued function defined on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times S^n$, with S^n being the space of real symmetric n = n matrices. Write

 $\mathbb{F}[u](z) \cong F(z, u(z), Du(z), D^2u(z))$

We consider the following second order fully nonlinear partial differential equation:

$$\mathcal{F}[u][\pi] = 0, \quad \pi \in \Omega.$$
 (A)

Definition 1. The equation (3) is degenerate elliptic if

$$F(x,r,p,X) \leq F(x,s,p,Y)$$
 whenever $r \leq s$ and $Y \leq X$.

where $Y \leq X$ means that X - Y is a nonnegative definite symmetric matrix



Definition 2. u is called viscosity subsolution of (3), if it is upper semicontinuous and for each $\in C^2(\Omega)$ and local maximum point $z_0 \in \Omega$ of $u - \varphi$ we have

$$F(x_0, u(x_0), D\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0)) \leq 0.$$

Definition 3. $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is called viscosity supersolution of (3), if it is lower semicontinuous and for each $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega)$ and local minimum point $x_0 \in \Omega$ of $u - \varphi$ we have

$$F(x_0, u(x_0), D\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0)) \geq 0.$$

Definition 4. $u \quad \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called viscosity solution of (3), if it is both viscosity subsolution and supersolution (and hence continuous) for (3).

The notion of viscosity solution was first introduced in 1981 by Crandall and Lions (see [9] and [10]) for first order Hamilton-Jacobi equations. It turns out that this notion is an effective tool also in the study of second order (elliptic and parabolic) fully nonlinear problems. There is a vast literature devoted to viscosity solutions by now, and for the general theory the reader is referred to [11], [12] and references therein.

Min-Max reformulation of the problem. Now we consider the following nonlinear problem, which we will refer as the Min-Max form of the two-phase obstacle

$$\begin{cases} \min(-\Delta u + \lambda^+, \max(-\Delta u - \lambda^-, u)) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

If we introduce a function $F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times S^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(x,r,p,X) = \min(-trace(X) + \lambda^+, \max(-trace(X) - \lambda^-, r)),$$

then the equation in (4) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F}[u](x) = F(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad (5)$$

(1)

and by solution to (4) we mean a function $u \in C(\Omega)$ which is a viscosity solution to (5) in the above-mentioned sense and satisfies u = g along the boundary $\partial \Omega$ It is easy to see that equation (5) is degenerate elliptic.

The following Propositions shows the connection between the problems (1) and (2). The first part of this proposition can be easily verified by using corresponding definitions, and the second part can be found in [1].

Proposition 1. If u is the solution (in the weak sense) to (2), then it is a viscosity solution to (4). Moreover, u a.e. satisfies (4).



Uniqueness of the discrete solution Now we are going to construct a Finite Difference Scheme (FDS) for one- and two-dimensional two-phase obstacle problems basing on the Min-Max form (4). For the sake of simplicity, we will assume that $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ in one-dimensional case and $\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ in two-dimensional case in the rest of the paper, keeping in mind that the method works also for more complicated domains.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer, h = 2/N and

$$x_1 = -1 + ih, y_1 = -1 + ih, i = 0, 1, ..., N$$

We are interested in computing approximate values of the two-phase obstacle problem solution at the grid points x_1 or (x_1, y_2) in one- and two-dimensional cases respectively. We will develop the one-dimensional and two-dimensional cases parallelly in this section, hoping that the same notations for this two cases will not make confusion for reader. We use the notation u_1 and $u_{1,2}$ (or simply u_0 , where o is one- or two-dimensional index) for finite-difference scheme approximation to $u(r_1)$ and $u(x_1, y_2)$, $\lambda^{\pm} = \lambda^{\pm}(x_1)$ and $\lambda^{\pm} = \lambda^{\pm}(x_1, y_2)$, $g_1 = g(x_1)$ and $g_{1,2} = g(x_1, y_2)$ in oneand two-dimensional cases, respectively, assuming that the functions g and λ^{\pm} are extended to be zero everywhere outside the boundary Ω and outside Ω , respectively. In this section we will use also notations $u = (u_{\alpha})$, $g = (g_{\alpha})$ and $\lambda^{\pm} = (\lambda_{\alpha}^{\pm})$ (not to be confused with functions u, g and λ^{\pm}). Also we will write $(a_0) \leq (b_0)$ in \exists if $a_n \leq b_0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$.

Denote

$$N = \{i : 0 \le i \le N\}$$
 or $N = \{(i, j) : 0 \le i, j \le N\}$.

$$\mathbb{N}^{o} = \{\iota : 1 \leq \iota \leq N-1\}$$
 or $\mathbb{N}^{o} = \{(\iota, j) : 1 \leq \iota, j \leq N-1\}$

in one- and two- dimensional cases, respectively, and

 $\partial N = N \setminus N^{\circ}$.

In one-dimensional case we consider the following approximation for Δ operator: for any node $i \in \mathbb{N}^{o}$,

$$L_{h}u_{1}=\frac{u_{1-1}-2u_{1}+u_{1+1}}{h^{2}}$$

and for two-dimensional case we introduce the following 5-point stencil approximation for Δ operator:

$$L_h u_{i,j} = \frac{u_{i-1,j} + u_{i+1,j} - 1u_{i,j} + u_{i,j-1} - u_{i,j}}{h^2}$$

for any $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^{o}$.

Applying the finite difference method to (4), we obtain the following nonlinear system:

227

It is not clear a priori, that this system have a solution, or, in the case of existence, this solution is unique. To this end, we consider the following functional

$$J_h(v) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(L_h v, v \right) + \left(\lambda^+, v \lor 0 \right) - \left(\lambda^-, v \land 0 \right) - \left(L_h g, v \right)$$

defined on the finite dimensional space

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ v \in \mathcal{H} : v_{\alpha} = 0, \alpha \in \partial \mathcal{N} \}.$$

where

$$\mathbb{H} = \{ v = (v_{\alpha}) : v_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

Here $v \lor 0 = \max(v, 0)$, $v \land 0 = \min(v, 0)$ and for $w = (w_{\alpha})$ and $v = (v_{\alpha})$, $\alpha \in N$, the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) is defined by

$$(211, 21) = \sum 11 - 21 - 21$$

Lemma 1. The element $u \in \mathcal{H}$ solves (6) if and only if $\bar{u} = u - g$ solves the following minimization problem:

$$\tilde{u} \in \mathfrak{X}$$
: $J_h(\tilde{u}) = \min_{v \in \mathfrak{X}} J_h(v)$ (7)

Lemma 2. The nonlinear system (6) has a unique solution.

2. Convergence of approximation scheme. In this section we develop the convergence theory for the above-mentioned finite difference scheme for one- and two-dimensional cases. To do this, we first prove comparison principles for continuous and discrete equations, then, using the regularization technique, we obtain the error estimate for FDS.

Comparison principles for continuous and discrete nonlinear systems.

Lemma 3. Let Ω be a bounded domain and $v_1, v_2 \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$. If

$$[\mathcal{F}[v_1] \leq \mathcal{F}[v_2]$$
 a.e. in Ω and $v_1 \leq v_2$ on $\partial \Omega$,

then

$$v_1 \leq v_2$$
 in Ω .



Lemma 4. Suppose $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. If

 $\mathcal{F}_h[v_1] \leq \mathcal{F}_h[v_2]$ in Ω_h and $v_1 \leq v_2$ on $\partial \Omega_h$,

then

 $v_1 \leq v_2$ in Ω_h .

Regularization and error estimatation. The technique developed in this section applies for any dimension *n*. The idea comes from [13] and [14], where in the first article the author obtains some estimates for the rate of convergence of finite difference approximation for degenerate parabolic Bellman's equations, and in the second paper the method is developed to obtain the optimal convergence rate for finite difference approximation to American Option valuation problem

Let $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a function satisfying

 $\beta(z) = 1, z \ge 1; \qquad \beta(z) = 0, z \le -1;$

 $\beta'(z) \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$

and $\beta_r(x) = \beta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by u^{ϵ} the solution of the following auxiliary problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u^{\varepsilon} = \lambda^{+} \cdot \beta_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}) - \lambda^{-} \cdot \beta_{\varepsilon}(-u^{\varepsilon}) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u^{\varepsilon} = g & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(8)

Lemma 5. If u is the solution of two-phase membrane problem, and u^e is the regularized solution (i.e. the solution of (8)), then

$$|u-u^{\varepsilon}|\leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 6. If u^{ϵ} is the solution of (8), then

$$|\mathcal{F}[u^{\epsilon}]| \leq \varepsilon \quad m \quad \Omega.$$

The next lemma plays an essential role in obtaining FDS approximation error estimate for regularized solution u^{ϵ} , since it is well-known that this error can be estimated using fourth-order partial derivatives of u^{ϵ} . For the proof of this lemma we need to impose a regularity assumption on λ^{\pm} .

Lemma 7. If $\lambda^{\pm} \in C^3(\Omega)$, then there exists a constant C > 0, independent of ε such that for every i = 1, ..., n,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^4 u^{\epsilon}}{\partial x_i^4}(x) \right| \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^6}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Lemma 8. There exists a constant C > 0, independent of ε , such that

$$|\Delta u^{\epsilon}(x) - \Delta_h u^{\epsilon}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{9/2}}h^2, \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$



To proceed, we denote by u_h the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_h[u_h] = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_h \\ u_h = g & \text{on } \partial \Omega_h. \end{cases}$$
(9)

From above mentioned lemmas we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $\lambda' \in C^3(\Omega)$, and u and u_h are the solutions of (4) and (9), respectively. Then there exists a constant K > 0, independent of h, such that

$$|u(x) - u_h(x)| \le K \cdot h^{2/7}, \quad x \in \Omega_h$$

In particular, $u_h \rightarrow u$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Institute of Mathematics of NAS RA

А. Г. Аракелян, Р. Г. Бархударян, М. Р. Погосян

Метод конечных разностей для двухфазной задачи препятствий

Предлагается алгоритм решения *двухфазной задачи с препяпіствием* методом конечных разностей. Доказана сходимость метода и получена оценка погрешности для приближения методом конечных разностей.

Ա.Գ. Առաքելյան, Ռ. Տ. Բարխուդարյան, Մ.Պ. Պողոսյան

Վերջավոր տարբերությունների մեթոդը երևփուլ խոչընդուրի խնդրի համար

Առաջարկվում է *երկփուլ խոչընդուտի խնդրի* մուրավոր լուծման վերջավոր տարբերությունների մեթոդը Բերված է առաջարկվող մեթոդի սխալանքի գնահատականը եվ ապացուցված է մեթոդի զուգաւնիտությունը։

A. G. Arakelyan, R. H. Barkhudaryan, M. P. Pogosyan

Finite Difference Scheme for Two-Phase Obstacle Problem

An algorithm to solve the *two-phase obstacle problem* by finite difference method is proposed. An error estimate for finite difference approximation is obtained and the convergence of proposed algorithm is proved. 230

References

- [1] Weiss G. S. Interfaces Free Bound 2001. V 3. N 2 P. 121-128.
- [2] Uraltseva N. N. J. Math. Sci. (New York) 2001 V. 106 N 3 P 3073 3077 Function theory and phase transitions.
- [3] Shahgholian H. Comm Pure Appl. Math 2003. V. 56. N 2 P 278 281
- [4] Lindgren E., Shahgholian H., Edquist A. Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire 2009. V. 26. N. 6. P. 2359 – 2372.
- [5] Shahgholian H., Uraltseva N., Weiss G. S. Int Math Res Not IMRN 2007 V 8 Art ID rnm026, 16.
- [6] Shahgholian H., Weiss G. S. Adv. Math 2006. V 205. N 2. P. 487 503.
- [7] Andersson J. Malevosyan N., Mikayelyan H Ark Mat 2006 V 44 N 1 P 1-15
- [8] Bozorgnia F Applied Numerical Mathematics. 2011 V 61 N I P 92-107
- [9] Crandall M. G., Lions P-L Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1983. V. 277. N 1 P 1 42
- [10] Crandall M. G., Evans L. C., Lions P L Trans Amer Math Soc. 1984 V 282 N 2 P 487 – 502
- [11] Crandall M. G., Ishii H., Lions P.-L. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 1992. V. 27. N.L. P. 1-67.
- [12] Caffarelli L. A., Cabre X. Fully nonlinear elliptic equations, vol. 43 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications American Mathematical Society Providence, RI, 1995.
- [13] Hu B., Liang J., Jiang L. J. Comput. Appl Math 2009 V 230. N 2. P. 583-599.
- [14] Krylov N. V Probab Theory Related Fields 2000 V. 117. N 1 P 1-16

