¿ U 3 U U S U Ն Ի ԳԻՏՈՒԹՅՈՒՆՆԵՐԻ ԱՉԳԱՅԻՆ ԱԿԱԴԵՄԻUНАЦИОНАЛЬНАЯ АКАДЕМИЯ НАУК АРМЕНИИNATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF ARMENIAДОКЛАДЫՉԵԿՈՒՅՅՆԵՐREPORTS

111 State

2011

MATHEMATICS

 $N_{\circ} 2$ 

УДК 517

#### A. T. Apozyan

# On Rejection of $GM_d$ Conjecture

# (Submitted by corresponding member of NAS RA A.A.Sahakyan 14/11 2011)

Keywords: geometric characterization, maximal hyperplane, fundamental polynomial,  $GC_n$  set, natural lattice

1. Introduction. Let us start with some notation. Denote

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_d^{\alpha_d}, |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_d.$$

Denote also by  $\prod_n = \prod_n (\mathbb{R}^d)$  the space of polynomials in d variables of total degree not exceeding n:

$$\Pi_n^d = \left\{ p(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le n} a_{\alpha} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha}, a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}, \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}$$

We have that

$$N := N(n, d) := dim \prod_{n=1}^{d} = \binom{n+d}{d}.$$

The interpolation problem with a set of knots

$$\mathcal{X}_s := \{ \mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}, ..., \mathbf{x}^{(s)} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$

and  $\prod_{n=1}^{d}$  is called poised if for any data  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$  there is a unique polynomial  $p \in \prod_{n=1}^{d}$  called interpolation polynomial, such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) = c_k, k = 1, 2, ..., s.$$
 (1)



These conditions give a system of s linear equations with N unknowns (the coefficients of the polynomial) The poisedness means that this system has a unique solution for any right side values. This implies the following necessary condition for the poisedness:

$$s = N$$

ie, the number of equations equals the number of unknowns. From now on we will assume that this condition holds.

A polynomial  $p_A^{\bullet} \in \Pi^d$  is called fundamental for the knot  $A = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}) \in \mathcal{X}$ , with  $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_N$ , if

$$p_A^*(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}) = \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \le j \le N,$$

where  $\delta_{jk}$  is the symbol of Kronecker. Note that the interpolation problem is poised if and only if all interpolation knots have fundamental polynomials. Let us also mention that in the case of poisedness all fundamental polynomials are unique, since they are interpolation polynomials. It is worth mentioning that in this case the fundamental polynomials are of exact degree n. Indeed, otherwise, if the degree of a fundamental polynomial  $p_A$  is less than n, then multiplication of  $p_A^*$  by a linear polynomial vanishing at A would give a nontrivial polynomial in  $\Pi_n^d$  vanishing on  $\mathcal{X}_i$ , contradicting the poisedness of  $\mathcal{X}_i$ .

We denote by the same letter, say  $h_i$ , the hyperplane, and the polynomial from  $[l_i^d]$ 

which gives rise to the hyperplane.

Definition 1.1. A shift of linear space of dimension k in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is called k-dimensional flat or k-flat.

For example a point, line and hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are a 0, 1 and d - 1 flats, respectively. We accept that the empty set is (-1)-flat.

A k-flat h we denote by  $h\{k\}$ . In the case of hyperplane, i.e., k = d - 1, we also use the notation  $h := h\{d - 1\}$ . As we will see, each k-flat can contain no more than N(n, k)knots of a  $GC_n$  set.

Definition 1.2. Let  $h\{k\}$  be a k-flat. A set of knots  $\mathcal{X} \subset h\{k\}$  is said to satisfy geometric characterization for  $\prod_{n=1}^{k} (GC_n \text{ for short})$ , if

1.  $\#\mathcal{X} = N(n,k)$ 

2. For each fixed knot  $A \in \mathcal{X}$  there are no more than n (k - 1)-flats  $h_1^A, h_2^A, \dots, h_m^A$  $(m = m_A \leq n)$  in  $h\{k\}$  whose union contains all the knots of X but A.

In the case of 2 we say that the knot A uses the (k-1)-flats  $h_1^A, h_2^A, \dots, h_k^A$ .

In particular, in the case of  $h\{k\} = \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e., k = d,  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a  $GC_n$  set if  $\#\mathcal{X} = N$  and for each knot  $A \in \mathcal{X}$  there are no more than n hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  whose union contains all the knots of X but A. Let us note that the condition 2 in this case means that the fundamental polynomial for the knot A is a product of linear factors:

$$n^{\circ} = \alpha \cdot b^{A} \cdot b^{A} \cdot b^{A}$$





where  $h_{k}^{A}$  are the hyperplanes used by A and  $\gamma_{A}$  is a constant. Thus, each  $GC_{n}$  set is  $\prod^{d}$ -poised. Therefore, the number of hyperplanes used by any knot in  $GC_{n}$  sets is exactly n, i.e.,  $m_{A} = n$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{X}$ .

M. Gasca and J.I. Maeztu made the following conjecture on  $CC_n$  sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

GM - conjecture. (See [1]) If  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a GC<sub>n</sub> set, then there exists a line, which pusses through n + 1 knots of X.

C de Boor generalized this for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

 $GM_d$  - conjecture. (See [2]) If  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a  $GC_n$  set, then there exists a hyperplane which passes through N(n, d - 1) knots of X.

Above mentioned line and hyperplane are called maximal

In this paper we provide an example of  $GC_n$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  with no maximal hyperplane thus rejecting this conjecture. Let us start with generalization of the concept of maximal According to [3] Lemma 2.1 for each k-flat  $h\{k\} \#(h\{k\} \cap \mathcal{X}) \leq N(n,k)$ .

Definition 1.3. A k-flat  $h\{k\}$  is called maximal for  $GC_n$  set X, if  $h\{k\}$  contains N(n,k) knots of X.

Thus, each line passing through n + 1 knots of  $\mathcal{X}$  is a maximal line. i.e. maximal 1-flat or  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Next we bring the definition of natural lattices of Chung and Yao [4]

Definition 1.4. Assume that the set of n + d hyperplanes  $H = \{h_1, h_2, ..., h_{n+d}\}$  is in general position. The set of all N(n, d) intersection knots of each d hyperplanes from H. is called a natural lattice of degree n in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or briefly  $NL_n$ .

It is easily seen that every  $NL_n$  is  $GC_n$  and each hyperplane  $h_1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n + d_i$  is maximal for  $NL_n$ . Furthermore, n + d is the maximal number of maximal hyperplanes any set can have.

Definition 1.5. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a  $GC_n$  set. We say that X has default r or that X is an r-lattice, if the number of maximal hyperplanes of X equals n + d - r.

Thus,  $NL_n$  is 0 - lattice, more  $NL_n$  lattices are characterized by the fact that they are 0 - lattices

In [3] we give the characterization of 1-lattices in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Next we are going to describe it. We start with natural lattice of degree n - 1, i.e., intersection knots of n + d - 1 maximal hyperplanes. We call these knots black. Then we add  $\binom{n+d-1}{d-1}$  arbitrary knots one by one on each intersection line of the maximal hyperplanes and call them white knots. We put a restriction on white knots. Namely we require that they are not lying on a hyperplane



109

2. An example of  $GC_2$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^6$  without maximal hyperplanes. First we present some preliminaries. Let us start with the following result of Carnicer and Gasca in [5].

Theorem 2.1. If every planar  $GC_n$  set for  $n \leq \nu$  has a maximal line. then every such set has at least three maximal lines.

In [2] C. de Boor made a natural conjecture concerning this result.

Conjecture 2.1. If every  $GC_n$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  has a maximal hyperplane, then every such  $GC_n$  set has at least d + 1 maximal hyperplanes.

In the same paper C. de Boor provides a counterexample  $GC_2$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with just 3 maximal planes thus rejecting the above conjecture in the case d = 3. He that the construction of the example with an 1-lattice of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with 4 maximal planes. Then he shifts one of the white points from a maximal hyperplane so that the resulting set is again  $GC_2$ , and in this way making it non-maximal (see Figure 1).





Figure 1:  $GC_2$  sets with 4 and 3 maximal planes.

Next by exploiting the idea of C. de Boor, we are going to construct  $GC_2$  set without maximal hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .

We start with the definition of  $\Delta_2$ -structure:

Definition 2.6. (See [6]) Six nodes in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are said to have  $\Delta_2$ -structure. if three nodes are the vertices of a triangle, and the remaining three lie one by one on the lines containing the sides of the triangle.

Definition 2.7. Let x be a black knot of a  $\Delta_2$ -structure. We say that we do the movement toward the knot x if we move the white knot lying on the line passing through other two black knots of the  $\Delta_2$ -structure to the line passing through other two white knots.





Figure 2: The movement toward the knot A

In the Figure 2 the movement is done toward the knot A

Assume that  $\mathcal{X}$  is 1-lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , i.e., 7 black knots and 21 white knots one by one in lines connecting each two black knots. Notice that each black knot uses only one maximal hyperplane which is passing through the remaining black knots. In view of the Definition 2.7, by the movement toward some black knot, in a certain plane containing a  $\Delta_2$ -structure we turn the maximal hyperplane used by that knot into non-maximal.

Definition 2.8. We say that two  $\Delta_2$ -structures are independent from each other or

just independent. if they have no more than one common knot, which is black for both.

Let us denote black knots of  $\mathcal{X}$  by  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, A_7$ . We can mention 7 independent  $\Delta_2$ -structures. These are:  $\delta_1 := (A_1, A_2, A_3), \delta_2 := (A_1, A_4, A_5), \delta_3 := (A_1, A_6, A_7)$ . =  $(A_2, A_4, A_6), \delta_5 := (A_2, A_5, A_7), \delta_6 := (A_3, A_4, A_7), \delta_7 := (A_3, A_5, A_6)$  As we see every black knot belongs to just three  $\Delta_2$ -structures (see Figure 3).



Figure 3: The movement toward the knot A



Now to get another  $GC_2$  set we do movements in all above mentioned  $\Delta_2$ -structures toward each black knot. For example, we can do the following movements: toward the knot  $A_1$  in  $\delta_1$ ,  $A_4$  in  $\delta_2$ ,  $A_6$  in  $\delta_3$ ,  $A_2$  in  $\delta_4$ ,  $A_5$  in  $\delta_5$ ,  $A_7$  in  $\delta_6$ ,  $A_3$  in  $\delta_7$ . Let us denote the resulted set by  $\mathcal{X}'$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** The set X' is a  $CG_2$  set.

Note that in view of the construction all maximal hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{X}$  are not maximal for  $\mathcal{X}'$  But it is not excluded that  $\mathcal{X}'$  may have other maximal hyperplanes.

Proposition 2.2. The set X' has maximal hyperplane H if and only if all 21 white knots lie on H.

Proposition 2.3. There is an 1-lattice of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{R}^6 X_0$  such that  $X'_0$  has no maximal hyperplane. Moreover  $X_0$  can be obtained from any 1-lattice X by moving some white knot along the intersection line containing it.

In such way we reject  $GM_d$  - conjecture for  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . Note that for  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \ge 6$ ) there are at least d+1 pairwise independent  $\Delta_2$ -structures. Hence we can construct similar counterexample. for  $\mathbb{R}^d$   $(d \ge 6)$ .

In [7] we provide

Conjecture 2.2. Each  $GC_n$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  has at least  $\binom{d-1}{l}$  maximal lines.

Note that it also holds for the set X' constructed in this section. Indeed, each movement makes a non-maximal line from maximal one and makes another maximal line from one non-maximal

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to Professor Hakop Hakopian for helpful discussions on the subject of the paper.

Institute of Mathematics of NAS RA

### A. T. Apozyan

On Rejection of  $GM_d$  Conjecture

An example of  $GC_2$  set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  without maximal hyperplanes rejecting the conjecture  $GM_d$  is provided.

## Ա. Տ. Ափոգյան

 $GM_d$  վարկածի հերքման վերաբերյալ

Բերված է GC2 բազմության օրինակ P տարածությունում, որը չունի ասքսիմա

# նիսներիարթություն՝ այդրդիսով հերքելով GMa վարկածը։

112

#### А. Т. Апозян

#### Об опровержении гипотезы $GM_d$

Приведен пример множества GC<sub>2</sub> в R<sup>6</sup> без максимальных гиперплоскостей, который опровергает гипотезу GM<sub>d</sub>.

#### References

- 1. Gasca M., Maeztu J.I. Numer. Math. 1982 V. 39. P 1-14
- 2. de Boor C. Numer. Alg. 2007. V. 45. P. 113-125.
- 3. Apozyan A.T. East J. Approx. 2010. V 16. N 3. P 235-246.
- 4. Chung K.C., Yao T.H. SIAM J. Numer. Anal 1977. V. 14 P 735-743
- 5. Carnicer J.M., Gasca M. Curve and Surface Design Saint-Malo. 2002 P 11-

30.

- 6. Ktryan G Jaen J. Approx 2010. V 2 N 1 P. 129-143
- 7. Апозян А.Т. Изв НАН Армении. Математика 2011 Т 46 N 2 C 13-26

