

INTERNATIONAL Scientific Journal  
ISSN: 2579-2822

# AGRISCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

ARMENIAN NATIONAL AGRARIAN UNIVERSITY



ԱԳՐՈՎԻՏՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ ԵՎ ՏԵԽՆՈԼՈԳԻԱ

ՀԱՅԱՍՏԱՆԻ ԱԶԳԱՅԻՆ ԱԳՐԱՐԱՅԻՆ ՀԱՄԱԼՍԱՐԱՆ

АГРОНАУКА И ТЕХНОЛОГИЯ

НАЦИОНАЛЬНЫЙ АГРАРНЫЙ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ АРМЕНИИ



**4/88**  
**2024**



ANAU FOUNDATION

ՀԱԱՀ ՀԻՄՆԱԴՐԱՄ

ФОНД НАУА

#### ԽՄԲԱԳՐԱԿԱՆ ԽՈՐՀՈՒՐԴ

|                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Նախագահ                 | Վ.Է. Ուռուտյան                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Գլխավոր խմբագիր         | Հ.Ս. Ծախեցյան                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Խորհրդի<br>կազմ         | Մ.Ս. Ազադ (Հնդկաստան), Ֆ. Արիոն (Ռումինիա), Ե.Վ. Բելովա (Ռուսաստան), Ի. Բոբոջոնով (Գերմանիա), Ա. Դյակոն (Լեհաստան), Ի. Դյուրիչ (Գերմանիա), Գ. Կուտելիա (Վրաստան), Ջ. Յանֆ (Գերմանիա), Վ. Հեբոյան (ԱՄՆ), Վ. Հովհաննիսյան (ԱՄՆ), Կ.Լ. Մանուելյան Ֆուստե (Իտալիա), Ն. Մերենդինո (Իտալիա), Ս. Մինտա (Լեհաստան), Վ.Ի. Նեչաև (Ռուսաստան), Դ. Ների (Իտալիա), Ա. Շանոյան (ԱՄՆ), Պ. Պիտտիա (Իտալիա), Ա.Ռ. Սագուես (Իսպանիա), Յ.Վ. Կերտակովա (Ռուսաստան), Ա.Ֆ. Զուխադա (Իսպանիա)<br>Ա.Յ. Աբովյան, Ս.Ս. Ավետիսյան, Գ.Հ. Գասպարյան, Ս.Հ. Դավեյան, Գ.Մ. Եղիազարյան, Ա.Մ. Եսոյան, Հ.Ս. Զաքարյան, Ա.Կ. Խոջոյան, Գ.Ռ. Համբարձումյան, Է.Ս. Դադարյան, Ս.Վ. Մեղոյան, Ս.Ժ. Չիտչյան, Դ.Ա. Պիպոյան, Գ.Ժ. Սարգսյան, Ա.Ռ. Սիմոնյան, Ա.Զ. Տեր-Գրիգորյան, Պ.Ա. Տոնապետյան, Ա.Զ. Փեփոյան |
| Պատասխանատու խմբագիր    | Գ.Վ. Մնացականյան                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Խմբագիր-սրբագրիչներ     | Ս.Ա. Եղիազարյան, Մ.Ժ. Դադարյան, Ս.Ռ. Պետրոսյան, Ա.Շ. Սուքիասյան                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Համակարգչային ձևավորում | Կ.Ս. Վարդանյան                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Վարչական օգնական        | Ա.Մ. Մովսիսյան                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

#### РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ

|                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Председатель               | В.Э. Урутян                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Главный редактор           | Г.С. Цпнецян                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Состав<br>редколлегии      | М.С. Азад (Индия), Ф. Арион (Румыния), Е.В. Белова (Россия), И. Бободжонов (Германия), Ю.В. Вертакова (Россия), Дж. Ганф (Германия), А. Диакон (Польша), И. Дюррич (Германия), Г. Кутелиа (Грузия), А.Ф. Куэсада (Испания), Н. Мерендино (Италия), С. Минта (Польша), Д. Нери (Италия), В.И. Нечаев (Россия), В. Ованнисян (США), П. Питтия (Италия), А.Р. Сагуэс (Испания), К.Л. Мануэляна Фусте (Италия), В. Хебойан (США), А. Шаноян (США)<br>А.Ю. Абовян, С.С. Аветисян, Г.Р. Амбарцумян, Г.А. Гаспарян, С.А. Давеян, Г.М. Егизарян, А.М. Есоян, Г.С. Закоян, Э.С. Казарян, С.В. Мелоян, А.З. Пепоян, Д.А. Пипоян, Г.Ж. Саркисян, А.Р. Симонян, А.Дж. Тер-Григорян, П.А. Тонапетян, А.К. Ходжоян, Т.Ж. Читчян |
| Ответственный редактор     | Г.В. Мнацаканян                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Редакторы-корректоры       | С.А. Егизарян, М.Ж. Казарян, С.Р. Петросян, А.Ш. Сукиасян                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Компьютерный дизайн        | К.С. Варданян                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Административный ассистент | А.М. Мовсисян                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |

#### EDITORIAL BOARD

|                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chairman                 | V.E. Urutyan                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Editor-In-Chief          | H.S. Tspnetsyan                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Editorial Committee      | F. Arion (Romania), M.S. Azad (India), Ye.V. Belova (Russia), I. Bobojonov (Germany), I. Djurić (Germany), A. Dyjakon (Poland), J. Hanf (Germany), V. Heboyan (USA), V. Hovhannisyan (USA), G. Kutelia (Georgia), C.L. Manuelian Fusté (Italy), N. Merendino (Italy), S. Minta (Poland), V.I. Nechaev (Russia), D. Neri (Italy), P. Pittia (Italy), A.F. Quesada (Spain), A.X. Roig Sagués (Spain), A. Shanoyan (USA), Yu. Vertakova (Russia)<br>A.Yu. Abovyan, S.S. Avetisyan, T.Zh. Chitchyan, S.H. Daveyan, G.H. Gasparyan, E.S. Ghazaryan, G.R. Hambardzumyan, A.K. Khojoyan, S.V. Meloyan, A.Z. Pepoyan, D.A. Pipoyan, G.Zh. Sargsyan, A.R. Simonyan, A.J. Ter-Grigoryan, P.A. Tonapetyan, G.M. Yeghiazaryan, A.M. Yesoyan, H.S. Zafoyan |
| Associate Editor         | G.V. Mnatsakanyan                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Editor-Proofreaders      | M.Zh. Ghazaryan, S.R. Petrosyan, A.Sh. Sukiasyan, S.A. Yeghiazaryan                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Computer Design          | K.S. Vardanyan                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Administrative Assistant | A.M. Movsisyan                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

☎ (+374 12) 56-07-12, (+374 10) 58-19-12

✉ [agriscience@anau.am](mailto:agriscience@anau.am)

URL: <https://journal.anau.am>

Հասցե՝ Երևան 0009, Տերյան 74

Адрес: Ереван 0009, Терян 74

Address: 74 Teryan, Yerevan 0009

International Scientific Journal

ISSN: 2579 - 2822

# AGRISCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Armenian National Agrarian University

ԱԳՐՈՔԻՏՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ ԵՎ ՏԵԽՆՈԼՈԳԻԱ

Հայաստանի ազգային ագրարային համալսարան

АГРОНАУКА И ТЕХНОЛОГИЯ

Национальный аграрный университет Армении

4/88 2024

Երևան Yerevan Ереван  
2024

# CONTENTS

## Agricultural Engineering

|                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                           |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Seryozha Papyan,<br>Gevorg Harutyunyan                       | Research and Development of a Mechanism for Fixing the Hydraulic Cylinder for Controlling the External Working Element of a Garden Milling Machine and Determining its Optimal Parameters | 279 |
| Arshaluys Tarverdyan,<br>Albert Grigoryan,<br>Artur Altunyan | Justification of the Software Kinematic Parameters of a Horticultural Autonomous Robotic Platform                                                                                         | 284 |
| Gurgen Yeghiazaryan,<br>Razmik Danielyan                     | Dynamics of Soil Moisture Deficit and its Impact on Irrigation Water Demand: A Case Study of Kotayk Region                                                                                | 291 |
| Aramayis Yesoyan,<br>Gevorg Karapetyan                       | Analysis of Results from Experimental Research on a Combined Plow for Flat Tillage and Parameter Optimization                                                                             | 297 |

## Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness

|                                                               |                                                                                      |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Paruyr Efendyan,<br>Tigran Hovhannisyan,<br>Nane Khudaverdyan | Comparative Analysis of Cadastral and Market Values of Agricultural Plots in Armenia | 303 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|

## Agronomy and Agroecology

|                                          |                                                                                                                                                     |     |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Karen Gharakhanyan,<br>Meruzhan Galstyan | The Impact of Soil Tillage Methods, Fertilizers of Various Origins and Bentonite on the Yield and Quality of Winter Wheat                           | 308 |
| Igor Sereda                              | Monitoring the Onset of Phenological Phases “Stem Elongation” and “Heading” of Winter Wheat in the Republic of Armenia Using Remote Sensing Methods | 317 |
| Gayane Shaboyan                          | The Study of Lentil Samples from Global Collection in Different Agroclimatic Conditions of Ararat and Gegharkunik Regions                           | 326 |

## **Veterinary Science and Animal Breeding**

- Viktor Abrahamyan,  
Liana Grigoryan,  
Valeri Grigoryan,  
Armine Ghazaryan
- Sodium Hypochlorite as an Effective Means of Combating *Dermanyssus Gallinae* Mites in Chickens 333
- Susanna Mirzabekyan,  
Razmik Mkhitarian,  
Lilit Malkhasyan,  
Astghik Pepoyan
- Physicochemical Properties and Health Implications of Cow's Milk from Armenian Manufacturers 337

## **Food Science and Technology**

- Natalya Harutyunyan,  
Lena Stepanyan,  
Lena Malkhasyan,  
Astghik Pepoyan
- Dietary Trials and Gut Candidate Phyla Radiation Bacteria: The Effect of Placebo on the Prevalence of *Saccharibacteria* in Healthy Armenian Women and Women with Familial Mediterranean Fever 345



# Research and Development of a Mechanism for Fixing the Hydraulic Cylinder for Controlling the External Working Element of a Garden Milling Machine and Determining its Optimal Parameters

Seryozha Papyan<sup>ID</sup>, Gevorg Harutyunyan<sup>ID</sup>

Armenian National Agrarian University

[sergeypapayan5@gmail.com](mailto:sergeypapayan5@gmail.com), [gevorgh148@gmail.com](mailto:gevorgh148@gmail.com)

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

control system,  
rotation angle,  
sensor,  
slider,  
trunk bypass

## ABSTRACT

The article is devoted to improving the operation of the control system of the remote soil-cultivating working element of the garden milling machine (patent of the Republic of Armenia, No. 2993 A, 16.02.2016), as a result of which it becomes possible to bypass the working element of thick-trunked trees and trees deviated from the row line, as well as slippages and other obstacles, with simultaneous near-trunk processing. Based on the research of the Scientific Research Institute for Agricultural Mechanization and Automation of the Armenian National Agrarian University (ANAU), a control system for the remote working body of a tillage machine (patent of the Republic of Armenia, AM20230106Y, 01.07.2024.) has been developed and implemented. To fix the hydraulic cylinder piston in intermediate positions, depending on the total value  $t$  of the tree trunk diameter, the width of the protective zone and the amount of overlap of the treatment, a mechanism for adjusting the length of the slider was investigated and developed and its optimal parameters were determined. For the purpose of practical regulation of the slider length for specific values of  $t$ , a corresponding nomogram was constructed.


## Introduction

It is known that technical means intended for processing inter-trunk and near-trunk spaces in orchards and vineyards are equipped with control systems for the remote working element of mechanical, hydraulic, hydromechanical and other types (patent of the Republic of Armenia, AM20230106Y, 01.07.2024, patent of the Republic of Armenia, No. 2993 A, 16.02.2016, Balasanyan,

1985, Grigoryan and Altunyan, 2018, Petrosyan, et al., 2017, Manaenkov, et al., 2017). Research conducted by the Scientific Research Institute for Agricultural Mechanization and Automation of the Armenian National Agrarian University (ANAU) showed that the main drawback of these systems is the inability of the working element to bypass thick-trunked trees and trees deviating from the line, as well as sleepers and other obstacles, while simultaneously performing near-trunk processing

(Weidong Jia, et al., 2024, Grigoryan and Altunyan, 2018, CN105766098A, 13.04.2016., EP 3 824 708 A1, 26.05.2021., Petrosyan, et al., 2018). It was also revealed that the main reason for this is that the control system of the remote working element does not provide for the possibility of fixing the piston of the hydraulic cylinder of the control of this element also in the middle positions, depending on the total value of the trunk diameter, the width of the protective zone and the amount of deviation from the row of trees (patent of the Republic of Armenia, AM20230106Y, 01.07.2024).


Taking into account the above, a control system for the working body of a tillage machine (patent of the Republic of Armenia, AM20230106Y, 01.07.2024) was developed, the design diagram of which is shown in Fig. 1.



**Figure 1.** Structural diagram of the control system of the remote working element 1. machine frame, 2. device for fixing the hydraulic cylinder in intermediate positions, 3. working body, 4. sensor rod, 5. hydraulic cylinder, 6. hydraulic distributor, 7, 8, 9. sensors, (10. Tree) (composed by the authors).

It should be noted that one of the main components of the proposed control system for the remote working body is the device for fixing the hydraulic cylinder in intermediate positions (2 in Fig. 1). Field tests of the developed model for a garden milling machine (patent of the Republic of Armenia, No. 2993 A, 16.02.2016), with the account of the Control system for the working body of a tillage machine (patent of the Republic of Armenia, No. AM20230106Y, 01.07.2024), showed a number of shortcomings of this device; in particular, there is no precise method for

adjusting the position of the slider relative to the sensor (8, Fig. 1) based on the rotation angle of the external working body's rack. Additionally, the device's parameters, such as the slider's size and adjustment limits, are not well-substantiated. In this regard, we have developed a mechanism for securing the hydraulic cylinder (5, Fig. 1) in intermediate positions, with the calculation scheme for determining its optimal parameters shown in Fig. 2.



**Figure 2.** Calculation scheme for determining the parameters of the hydraulic cylinder fixation mechanism in intermediate positions 1. machine beam, 2. leading link of the four-link mechanism, 3. working element, 4. driven link of the four-link mechanism, 5. slider, 6. slider length adjustment mechanism, 7. slider contact, 8. sensor, 9. sensor stand. I - the working body processing the space between tree trunks, II - the working body bypassing the tree trunk (composed by the authors).

During the processing of the inter-trunk space (Fig. 1, position I), the hydraulic cylinder (5) remains in the open position (with the rod at its farthest extreme) based on a signal from sensor 7 (Fig. 1). In this state, the contact (7) of the slider (5) is positioned at a certain distance from sensor 8 (Fig. 1, 2). When the working element (3) encounters a tree trunk (as detected by the probe making contact with the tree), it rotates by an angle  $\alpha$ , (Fig. 1, position II). As the slider's contact reaches the sensor's (8) action zone, the sensor transmits a signal, causing the distributor (6, Fig. 1) to halt the flow of liquid to the hydraulic cylinder (5, Fig. 1). This action fixes the piston's position at an intermediate stage. For thick tree trunks or trees deviating from the row, the probe rotates at a larger angle, activating sensor 9 (Fig. 2). In this case, sensors 7 and 8 disengage, and the hydraulic cylinder moves into the closed position.

## Materials and methods

The main parameters of the mechanism for fixing the rod of the control cylinder of the working element in intermediate positions are the lengths of the links of the four-link mechanism ( $m$ ,  $s$ , are set based on design considerations, respectively 80 and 100 mm), the length of the slider  $\ell_s$ , the width and length of the contact of the slider ( $a$  and  $c$ ), the distance of the rack of the sensor for fixing the cylinder in intermediate positions from the center of rotation of the rack of the working element  $\ell$ , the length of the sensor rack  $H$ , the adjustment range of the slider length  $\Delta\ell_s$  (Fig. 2).

It is obvious that with a change in the total value  $t = e + 0.5d + b$  ( $e$  is the overlap value during inter-trunk processing,  $d$  is the tree diameter,  $b$  is the width of the protective zone), the position of the working body stand will also change (it turns in the opposite direction by an angle of  $\alpha_i$ , i.e.  $\alpha_i = f(t)$ ), and the length of the slider  $\ell_s$  will change accordingly. In this case, it will be necessary to increase this length for small values of  $t$ , and decrease it for large values of  $t$ . Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the pattern and changing range of  $\ell_s$  depending on the angle of rotation of the working body rack  $\alpha_i$  ( $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \varphi$ ), where zero corresponds to the open position of the hydraulic cylinder when processing the inter-trunk strip, and  $\varphi$  corresponds to the closed position (set value,  $\varphi = 41^\circ$ ). To determine the above-mentioned parameters, we use the calculation scheme (Fig. 2).

Taking into account the fact that when the working body rack rotates, the slider contact moves in the directions of the  $x$  and  $y$  axes, we determine the values of these movements (Danielyan, 2016, Weidong Jia, et al., 2024):

$$\Delta x_i = x_A - x_{Ai}, \Delta y_i = y_{Ai} - y_A, \quad (1)$$

where


$$x_A = m \sin \varphi, x_{Ai} = m \sin(\varphi - \alpha_i), \\ y_A = m \cos \varphi, y_{Ai} = m \cos(\varphi - \alpha_i).$$

Inserting the values  $x_A$ ,  $x_i$ ,  $y_A$ ,  $y_i$  into expression (1) we obtain:

$$\Delta x_i = m[\sin \varphi - \sin(\varphi - \alpha_i)], \\ \Delta y_i = m[\cos(\varphi - \alpha_i) - \cos \varphi]. \quad (2)$$

## Results and discussions

Figure 3 shows graphs of the change in the above-mentioned movements of the slider in the  $x$  and  $y$  directions and the value of  $\Delta x_i$  depending on the rotation angle of the working body rack  $\alpha_i$ .



**Figure 3.** Dependencies of changes in the slider displacement  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  and the value of  $\Delta x_i$  depending on the angle  $\alpha_i$ , at  $m=80$  mm,  $\varphi=41^\circ$  (composed by the authors).

Analysis of the graphs shows that with an increase in the angle  $\alpha_i$ , the movement of the slider in the direction of the  $x$ -axis decreases, and in the direction of the  $y$ -axis it increases with different intensities and is 1.28 mm/deg and 0.479 mm/deg. respectively, while the intensity of the increase  $\Delta x_i$  is 1.28 mm/deg. It should be noted that as a result of numerous field measurements we conducted, the established range of values of  $t$  is (64.4-181.5 mm), at which the rod of the hydraulic cylinder for controlling the working body should be fixed in intermediate positions. Calculations performed for the given milling machine parameters ( $\varphi = 41^\circ$ ), stand length (580 mm), and remote working element diameter (320 mm), along with the structurally selected values of  $m$  and  $s$ , showed that the minimum value of  $t$  corresponds to  $\alpha_i = 11^\circ$ , while the maximum is  $31^\circ$ . Therefore, to establish the adjustment interval of the slider length  $\Delta\ell_s$ , it is necessary to proceed from the condition  $11^\circ \leq \alpha_i \leq 31^\circ$  (shaded area, Fig. 3), while it is necessary to take into account that the largest size  $\ell_{smax}$  of the slider length corresponds to the lower limit of  $\alpha_i$ , and the smallest size  $\ell_{smin}$  to the upper limit. The length of the slider can be determined by the expression:

$$\ell_{si} = \ell_o + \Delta x_i, \quad (3)$$

where  $\ell_o$  is the design size (50 mm). Therefore:

$$\Delta\ell_s = \ell_{smax} - \ell_{smin}.$$

By determining the maximum and minimum values of  $\Delta x_i$  (respectively: 38.59 mm and 12.48 mm, shaded area,



Fig. 3), we obtain:

$$\ell_{smin} = \ell_0 = 50 \text{ mm},$$

$$\ell_{smax} = \ell_0 + \Delta x_{imax} - \Delta x_{imin} = 50 + 38.59 - 12.48 = 76.1 \text{ mm},$$

therefore:

$$\Delta \ell_s = \ell_{sma} - \ell_{smin} = 76.1 - 50 = 26.1 \text{ mm}.$$

From Figure 3 we receive:


$$\ell = s - m \sin \varphi + \ell_{smax}, H = m \cos \alpha_{imax}. \quad (4)$$

Putting the corresponding values into formula 4, we obtain:  $\ell = 123.6 \text{ mm}$ ,  $H = 68.5 \text{ mm}$ .

The width of the slider contact is set based on design considerations - 5 mm, and the value of the length is determined by the expression:

$$c = 2(y_{imax} - y_{imin}) = 2(78.5 - 68.5) = 20 \text{ mm}.$$

In view of practical regulation of the slider length for a specific total value  $t$ , it is convenient to use the nomogram shown in Fig. 4.



**Figure 4.** Nomogram for determining the length of the slider for specific values of  $t$  and  $\alpha_i$  in practice (composed by the authors).

## Conclusion

A mechanism has been developed for fixing the hydraulic cylinder of the control system of the external working body of a garden milling machine in intermediate positions.

The intervals of changes  $t$  ( $64.4 \leq t \leq 181.5 \text{ mm}$ ) and  $\alpha_i$  ( $11^\circ \leq \alpha_i \leq 31^\circ$ ) are established, according to which the

rod of the control cylinder of the remote working body should be fixed in intermediate positions.

A mathematical relationship was derived between the rotation angle of the remote working body and the main parameters of the cylinder locking mechanism in intermediate positions, and its optimal parameters were established:  $m = 100 \text{ mm}$ ,  $S = 100 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\ell = 123.6 \text{ mm}$ ,  $H = 68.5 \text{ mm}$ ,  $c = 20 \text{ mm}$ ,  $a = 5 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\ell_{smax} = 76.1 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\ell_{smin} = 50 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\Delta \ell_s = 26.1 \text{ mm}$ .

A nomogram for determining the length of a slider for specific values of  $t$  and  $\alpha_i$  in practice has been developed.

## References

1. Balasanyan, O.G., (1985). Justification and development of working parts of a cultivator for working on slopes. dis. for the academic degree of Ph.D., - 136 p. (in Russian).
2. Control system of the working organ of the tillage machine. Patent of the RA, AM20230106Y, 01.07.2024. [https://old.aipa.am/search\\_mods/patents/view\\_item.php?id=897YAM20230106Y&language=en](https://old.aipa.am/search_mods/patents/view_item.php?id=897YAM20230106Y&language=en).
3. Danielyan, L.E. (2016). Higher Mathematics, Textbook, Yerevan, ANAU, - 188 p. (in Armenian).
4. Grigoryan, Sh.M., Altunyan, A.V. (2018). The analysis of kinematics of mobile tiller with trunk-protecting rim bypassing rigid obstacles bulletin of National Agrarian University of Armenia (second report, final), N 3, - pp. 33-39.
5. Hydraulic servo system of obstacle avoidance machine for orchard cultivator, CN105766098A, 13.04.2016.
6. Inter-Row Machine, EP 3 824 708 A1, 26.05.2021.
7. Machine for tilling soil between the trees. Patent of the RA, N 2993 A, 16.02.2016. [https://old.aipa.am/search\\_mods/patents/view\\_item.php?id=2993AAM20150099&language=ru](https://old.aipa.am/search_mods/patents/view_item.php?id=2993AAM20150099&language=ru).
8. Manaenkov, K.A., Koldin, M.S., Arkova, Zh.A., (2017). Improving soil cultivation in tree trunk strips of intensive gardens. Technologies of food and processing industry, N3 (17), - pp. 28-34 (in Russian).
9. Petrosyan, D., Altunyan, A., Grigoryan, A., Kobelyan, V. (2018). Analysis of kinematic of mobile cutter with trunk-protecting rim during bypassing stiff barriers. Bulletin of National Agrarian University of Armenia, N3.

10. Petrosyan, D., Grigoryan, A. Altunyan, A. (2017). Kinematics of Stem-Protective Rim of the Tiller for Inter-Trunk Soil Cultivation in Orchards, Bulletin of National Agrarian University of Armenia, N3, - pp. 56-60.
11. Weidong Jia, Kaile Tai, Xiaowen Wang, Xiang Dong and Mingxiong Ou (2024). Design and Simulation of Intra-Row Obstacle Avoidance. Shovel-Type Weeding Machine in Orchard, Agriculture 14, 1124.

---

**Declarations of interest**

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

---

*Received on 15.10.2024*

*Revised on 04.11.2024*

*Accepted on 30.12.2024*



## Justification of the Software Kinematic Parameters of a Horticultural Autonomous Robotic Platform

Arshaluys Tarverdyan<sup>ID</sup>, Albert Grigoryan<sup>ID</sup>, Artur Altunyan<sup>ID</sup>

Armenian National Agrarian University

[arshaluystar@gmail.com](mailto:arshaluystar@gmail.com), [algrig1968@mail.ru](mailto:algrig1968@mail.ru), [artur\\_altunyan@mail.ru](mailto:artur_altunyan@mail.ru)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

*angles correlation,  
kinematic analysis,  
multifunctional agrorobot,  
running gear,  
turning angles*

### ABSTRACT

The article discusses the issues related to the development and creation of a multifunctional horticultural robotic platform. The necessity of developing a robotic platform best suited to the soil and climatic conditions of the Republic of Armenia is substantiated. A multifunctional robotic platform has been proposed and developed for the cultivation of vineyards and orchards. It is designed for inter-row and inter-vine (inter-trunk) soil cultivation, weed mowing and shredding, fertilization, pest and disease detection, assessment, and chemical control. The platform is equipped with four independently controlled wheels. Kinematic and force analysis of the running gear of the developed robotic platform has been conducted. As a result of these analyses, expressions have been derived that will serve as the foundation for programming the electronic-computer control system of the platform's running gear. These expressions will be used to control the torque movement and angular velocity of all the driving wheels, as well as the rotation of the wheel axes during turning. This approach enables the creation of a robotic platform's running gear that ensures high mobility, adherence to the designated route, and prevents wheel slippage and skidding during turns. This aspect is particularly important for ensuring the reliability and longevity of the machine, as well as minimizing the damage caused to the cultivated soil by the wheels.

### Introduction

The current trends of agricultural development, both globally and in the Republic of Armenia, are determined by the following key factors: the need for increased production of foodstuffs driven by the sharp growth in population, the limited availability of arable lands

worldwide, and the necessity to mitigate the irreversible environmental damage caused by the intensification of traditional agrarian production.

It is evident that under the conditions shaped by emerging challenges and demands, the primary direction for agricultural development is the enhancement of efficiency

through the digitalization, automation, and robotization of production processes. Initial steps in this direction were taken at the end of the last century, and these approaches have become widely adopted in the current phase.

Advancements in mechanical design, sensor technologies, electronics, and algorithms in the fields of planning and management offer extensive opportunities to perform a wide range of field activities using autonomous robotic platforms (Zagazheva, Berbekova, 2021; Skvortsov, et al., 2018; Bak and Jakobsen, 2004; Abrosimov and Raykov, 2022).

Robotic platforms possess undeniable advantages, which account for their rapidly increasing demand. These advantages primarily include sensitivity to field-specific conditions, precise operation without operator intervention, low metal consumption, minimal environmental impact, and the ability to perform technological processes over extended periods at relatively low speeds. Ultimately, these features ensure high productivity and efficiency (Akimov, 2017; Cho, et al., 2002; Tillett, 1991; Abrosimov and Raykov, 2022).

The development of reliable robotic platforms capable of operating for extended periods with minimal human intervention under the changing conditions of cultivated fields is a critical and urgent issue at the current stage (Godin, et al., 2020; Bak and Hans Jakobsen, 2004; Tillett, 1991).

Although robotic technology began to be introduced into agriculture 25–30 years ago, its widespread and large-scale application gained momentum only in the past decade. According to the International Federation of Robotics, between 2015 and 2020, the global production and deployment of robotics for agricultural purposes increased 30-fold compared to the entire preceding period ([www.ifr.org/worldrobotics/](http://www.ifr.org/worldrobotics/), [www.rossaprimavera.ru](http://www.rossaprimavera.ru)).

Current trends in the development and adoption of robotics indicate that by 2026, the scale of its deployment will increase approximately 20 times compared to 2020, with the market capacity projected to reach \$16.6 billion USD ([www.rossaprimavera.ru](http://www.rossaprimavera.ru), [www.bloomberg.com](http://www.bloomberg.com)).

It is worth mentioning that the United States and European Union countries account for the largest share of these volumes, while the development, production, and implementation of robotics in the CIS region are comparatively lower (Abrosimov and Raykov, 2022).

According to leading international developers and manufacturers, robotics used in crop production is categorized into five main groups (Abrosimov and Raykov, 2022; Blasco, et al., 2002):

- soil cultivation (sampling, plowing, cultivation);
- sowing, seedling, rootstock planting;
- crop care (weeding, irrigation, spraying, introduction of fertilizers and herbicides, special care for vineyards and orchards, as well as nurseries);
- assessment and monitoring of parameters such as maturity stage, waste, physical damage, bacterial infection, and other characteristics of agricultural products including size, shape, and quality;
- harvesting (gathering, sorting, distribution, processing, and recycling).

From the perspective of the discussed issue, according to international classification (Abrosimov and Raykov, 2022), small autonomous agricultural robots used in crop production, weighing no more than one ton, are of particular interest. These robots are equipped with remote or semi-automatic control systems, and are outfitted with positioning systems that ensure centimeter-level accuracy. They also feature the ability to attach various implements that perform different functions for different crops.

The technical and software requirements for agricultural robots include marginal dimension and weight specifications, movement speed, accuracy of agrotechnological operations, communication mode and ease of interaction with the operator, the technical vision system, the ability to attach suspended technological installations, and the capability to overcome potential obstacles in the field.

The operational requirements for agricultural robots include reliability, passability, adaptability to various working conditions, type of drive system, energy efficiency, the ability to adjust routes through software under different field conditions, and minimal environmental impact (Abrosimov and Raykov, 2022; [www.dijital.gov.ru](http://www.dijital.gov.ru)).

In summary, the characteristics of prospective small agricultural robots, which are expected to have high market demand, must meet the following requirements (Abrosimov and Raykov, 2022; Blasco et al., 2002; Cho et al., 2002):

- a working resource of at least 5–6 hours, with a reliable electric motor and battery;
- it must be equipped with a vision system that works in complex geophysical and natural conditions, including the ability to navigate rough terrain;
- the ability to form and adjust the route with or without the operator's assistance;

- wireless communication with the operator, and the ability to operate autonomously in case of signal loss;
- capability to recognize and solve situational and coordination issues in the field;
- the ability to attach various suspended tools and facilities;
- ease of maintenance and repair, with minimal requirements for tools and specialists.

Though agricultural robots are being widely adopted in the agri-food systems of many countries, their utilization in the Republic of Armenia remains relatively low. The primary reason for this is the high market price of agricultural robots. Additionally, it is important to note that these robots are often designed and developed for other soil and climatic conditions. As mentioned earlier, this factor is critically important for the effective operation of agricultural robots.

One of the solutions to the problem raised in the current situation is the development of a cost-effective, multifunctional robotic platform that is optimally adapted to the soil and climatic conditions of the republic. Based on studies, analyses, and comparative evaluations, a four-wheel robotic platform with all-wheel steered was selected. This design minimizes lateral slippage, which, in turn, reduces wear on the running gear and decreases damage to the soil being cultivated (Bak and Jakobsen, 2004; Torii, 2000).

An objective is set up to develop a robotic platform designed to perform tasks such as soil cultivation in the inter-row spaces of orchards and vineyards, mowing or removing weeds, and carrying out chemical treatments (spraying) to combat pests and diseases.

It has been confirmed that four-wheel robotic platforms with independently controlled wheels are the most suitable for performing the specified agrotechnical tasks in row crops. These platforms excel at maintaining a fixed direction of movement relative to the rows (Toda, et al., 1999; Orebäck and Christensen, 2003).


The recommended robotic platform is designed with three main components: a control system (or station), the robotic platform itself, and tools and devices for performing agrotechnological processes. Communication between the platform and the control system is wireless, allowing a single control system (station) to simultaneously manage multiple robotic platforms within the same field.

The current study focuses on the investigation of the robotic platform's driving train system, as mobility serves as the foundation for all other functional technical and technological characteristics.

## Materials and methods

The conceptual diagram of the robotic platform under development is shown in Figure 1. It consists of the platform frame (1), four driving wheels (2), autonomous wheel drive units (3), and wheel steering units (4).

The drive units (3) ensure the movement of the robotic platform and include an electric motor, a gear transmission case (reducer), and a microelectronic control block for the motor. The steering of the wheels is accomplished through a separate steering module (4), which includes a steering electric motor and its electronic control module. The wheel steering unit is positioned above the wheel shaft to create a mechanism with two degrees of freedom.



**Figure 1.** The conceptual diagram of the recommended robotic platform's running system (*composed by the authors*).

The electronic-computer control unit manages the wheel steering (the rotation of the axles) around the vertical axis based on a software algorithm for the kinematic relationship of the steering angles. It also controls the torque (or current) of the four driving wheel electric motors. The electronics of the steering servomechanism provide feedback/reverse power link/ based on the steering rotation angle. This is a fundamental principle of the agrirobot's structural conceptual design (Bak and Jakobsen, 2004; Toda, et al., 1999; Torii, 2000).

As previously mentioned, the first step in the development and creation of the agrirobot is to identify the relationship and values of the kinematic parameters for stable movement and steering, under which lateral wheel slippage, a highly undesirable phenomenon, must be eliminated.

The movement of an agrirobot in the field (in orchards or vineyards) during the implementation of agrotechnological




processes can be categorized into two types: linear movement within inter-row spaces, which involves a flat, parallel motion of the robot platform, and torque movement at the ends of the rows.

Regarding the flat parallel movement of the robot platform, it is executed and maintained in a stable condition through the software management and synchronization of the same rotational torque and angular velocity on all four independently driven wheels. Notably, if one or more wheels deviate from the designated path due to an obstacle, the software must restore the robot platform to its predefined trajectory after overcoming the obstacle. In other words, no turn should occur without an explicit command from the wheel rotation control system.

As for the torque movement of the robot platform, it is of particular interest in the context of the discussed problem. In general, various schemes are employed for the turning of wheeled vehicles. Each scheme features a unique turning kinematics, primarily determined by the position of the instantaneous center of rotation (ICR).

The closer the ICR (Instantaneous Center of Rotation) is to the vehicle's longitudinal axis, the smaller the turning radius, thereby enhancing the platform's maneuverability. From this perspective, preference should be given to a scheme where all wheels are steerable. The closer the ICR (Instantaneous Center of Rotation) is to the vehicle's longitudinal axis, the smaller the turning radius, thereby enhancing the platform's maneuverability. From this perspective, preference should be given to a scheme where all wheels are steerable.



**Figure 2.** RA, Syunik marz, Syunik, pome fruit perennial plantings, February, 2018/2021. (composed by the authors).

The kinematic scheme of the robot platform with all-wheel turning control is presented in Figure 2.

Existing agricultural robots feature systems controlled by various steering mechanisms, which partially define their areas of application, as well as their degrees of mobility, flexibility, and maneuverability. The proposed design of the autonomous robotic framework allows for the implementation of all existing steering mechanisms, as all four wheels are independent and controlled. Additionally, each wheel can rotate up to a 90-degree angle. These characteristics provide optimal conditions for addressing issues related to the tracking and trajectory programming of the agricultural robot's path, offering a broad scope for the development of efficient algorithms within software control, unconstrained by structural solutions. Another important aspect is the design of the wheel suspension system, which enhances the vehicle's stability and allows it to be tested under varying dynamic loading conditions and speeds. The design and structural solutions extend beyond these elements, providing a broad research field for comprehensive and effective testing.

## Results and discussions

When conducting a kinematic analysis of the robot platform's turning, it is important to specify the type of tires based on their stiffness. In the case of flexible tires, the side slip of the wheels must be taken into account, as it significantly affects the angular parameters. Since the robot platform is designed to use tires of a rigid class, this side slip can be neglected, and it can be assumed that the wheels roll without slipping during the turn.

Based on the objectives of the discussed issue, it is important to establish a connection between the turning angles ( $\theta$ ) of the wheels.

Let us assume that the turning angles of the front wheels are ( $\theta_1$ ) and ( $\theta_2$ ), with an average turning angle of  $\alpha_1$ , similarly, the turning angles of the rear wheels are ( $\theta_3$ ) and ( $\theta_4$ ), with an average angle of  $\alpha_2$ . The lateral velocity of the front section is  $V_{12}$ , and that of the rear section is  $V_{34}$ . If we consider  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\alpha_1$  to be positive for the front section, then for the rear section, they are considered negative. According to the scheme represented in Figure, for the front wheels we have:

$$\operatorname{ctg} \theta_1 = \frac{R + 0.5B}{0.5L}; \quad \operatorname{ctg} \theta_2 = \frac{R - 0.5B}{0.5L}, \quad (1)$$

or

$$\operatorname{ctg} \theta_1 - \operatorname{ctg} \theta_2 = \frac{2B}{L}, \quad (2)$$

For the rear wheels, considering that during the turn they rotate in the opposite direction around the vertical/longitudinal axis, the relationship between  $\theta_3$  and  $\theta_4$  will be:

$$\operatorname{ctg} \theta_3 - \operatorname{ctg} \theta_4 = \frac{2B}{L}, \quad (3)$$


The boundary values of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  ( $\theta_3$  or  $\theta_4$ ) are determined by expression (1). In the case of linear motion  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0$ , and when  $R = -0.5B$ ,  $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ; when  $R = 0.5B$ ,  $\theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Considering that the midpoint (E) of the robot platform's front section moves with a velocity at an angle relative to the  $X_1$  axis (Fig. 2), and the corresponding point M of the rear section moves with a velocity  $V_2$  at an angle of  $\alpha_2$ , the radius of curvature for the turn, based on the scheme will be:

$$R = \frac{L}{\operatorname{tg} \alpha_1 + \operatorname{tg} \alpha_2}. \quad (4)$$

Since the version with rigid wheels is being considered, we can practically assume that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$ , in that case:

$$R = \frac{L}{2 \operatorname{tg} \alpha}. \quad (5)$$



**Figure 3.** The diagram of forces acting on the robotic platform's drivetrain (composed by the authors).

For a given  $L$ ,  $\alpha$  should take on a boundary value such that  $R > \frac{B}{2}$ , otherwise, the ICR (Instantaneous Center of Rotation) will fall within the platform's dimensions of  $B \times L$ , which is undesirable from the perspective of unstable turning. This is also mentioned in other studies dedicated to the analysis of the driving/running mechanisms of robot platforms (Bak and Jakobsen, 2004; Örebäck and Christensen, 2003; Litvinov, 1971).

From the final expression (5), we can determine the turning angle ( $\alpha$ ) and the angular velocity ( $\omega$ ).

$$\operatorname{tg} \alpha = \frac{L}{2R} \text{ and } \omega = \frac{V}{R} = \frac{V \cdot 2 \operatorname{tg} \alpha}{L}. \quad (6)$$

To assess the stability of the robot-platform's movement, it is necessary to also study the forces acting on the frame during turning. Since turning can occur at relatively higher speeds in the robot-platform's transportation mode, the side slippage of the wheels must be also considered, as a result of which the velocity vectors  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  deviate from their initial directions by  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$ , respectively. The diagram in Fig. 3 illustrates the schematic of the forces acting on the robot-platform's running section.

When performing the force analysis, we assume that the driving and resistance forces acting on the wheels are balanced.

In that case, a centrifugal force acts at the center of mass of the platform  $P_c = \frac{mv^2}{R}$ , while lateral reaction forces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  act on the wheels. Assuming that the equivalent lateral reaction forces the wheels are applied at the front and rear points of E and M, let's decompose these forces in the directions of the longitudinal ( $x_1$ ) and transverse ( $y_1$ ) axes. From the diagram (Fig. 3), it follows that:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} F_1' &= P_c' \frac{b}{L} = P_c' \cos \gamma \cdot \frac{b}{L} \approx \frac{mv^2 \cdot b}{R' L}, \\ F_2' &= P_c' \frac{a}{L} = \frac{mv^2}{R'} \cdot \frac{a}{L} \cos \gamma \cdot \frac{b}{L} \approx \frac{mv^2}{R'} \cdot \frac{a}{L} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (7)$$

where  $R'$  is the turning radius accounting for the wheels lateral slippage. From the diagrams (Figure 2 and Figure 3) and (4) expression, it follows that:

$$R' = \frac{L}{\operatorname{tg}(\alpha_1 - \varepsilon_1) + \operatorname{tg}(\alpha_2 - \varepsilon_2)}, \quad (8)$$

$\gamma$  is the angle of deviation of the turning radii caused by

the lateral slip of the wheels. Since  $\gamma$  is relatively small (not exceeding 50), in practical calculations, we assume  $\cos\gamma \approx 1$ .

Since we have assumed that  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$ , we can also write:

$$F_1' = F_1 \cdot \cos\alpha \approx K_1 \varepsilon_1; \quad F_2' = F_2 \cdot \cos\alpha \approx K_2 \varepsilon_2 \quad (9)$$

where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are the lateral slippage coefficients of the front and rear wheels, respectively.

(7) and (9) expressions indicate that:

$$\frac{mv^2}{R'} \cdot \frac{b}{L} = K_1 \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{mv^2}{R'} \cdot \frac{a}{L} = K_2 \varepsilon_2, \quad (10)$$

wherefrom:

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{mv^2}{R'} \cdot \frac{b}{L \cdot K_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_2 = \frac{mv^2}{R'} \cdot \frac{a}{L \cdot K_2} \quad (11)$$

From the last expressions (11) it follows that if the center of the robotic platform mass is located at its geometric center  $a = b = \frac{L}{2}$  and all four wheels have the same lateral slippage (deviation) coefficients, then  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon$ . Since it is intended to use rubberized rigid wheels in the design of the robotic platform, which inherently have a small lateral slip angle ( $\varepsilon$ ), compared to the turning angle ( $\alpha$ ), the expression in (5) can provide sufficient results for practical calculations. For example, if we assume the following values:  $L = 1.85$  m,  $a = b = 0.925$  m,  $m = 600$  kg,  $v = 1.67$  m/s,  $\alpha = 45^\circ$ ,  $R = 0.925$  m and  $K = 1.7 \cdot 10^4$  N/rad (an average value for the mentioned rigid wheels), then according to the expression in (11) the value of  $\varepsilon$  will be 0.052 rad, which is much smaller than  $\alpha = 0.785$  rad.

From the obtained expression (11), it follows that the turning angle ( $\alpha$ ) and radius ( $R$  or  $R'$ ) are related to the robot platform's maneuverability, which depends on the position of the mass center, the lateral slippage coefficient, and the velocity in the turning zone. Moreover, as the speed increases, the turning radius decreases, which increases the turning capacity which in turn can lead to loss of movement stability. However, in the discussed case, there is no such risk, as the speeds do not exceed 5÷10 km/h.

## Conclusion

A multifunctional platform for the cultivation of orchards and vineyards has been recommended and developed. It is equipped with four independent, self-steering wheels, ensuring high maneuverability and precise execution of the designated routes and agrotechnological functions.

As a result of the kinematic and force analysis of the robotic platform's drivetrain, expressions have been derived that serve as the basis for programming the control algorithm of the driving wheels' electronic-computer system. This system must ensure high mobility, movement stability, and the elimination of lateral slip (deviation) in all wheels during turns.

## References

1. Abrosimov, V.K., Raykov, A.N. (2022). Intelligent agricultural robots. - M.: Career Press.
2. Akimov, A.V. (2017). Robotics and labor-saving technologies: perspectives of impact on socio-economic development. // Historical psychology and sociology of history. No. 1, - pp. 173-192 (in Russian).
3. Bak, T., Jakobsen, H. (2004). Agricultural Robotic Platform with Four Wheel Steering for Weed Detection. Biosystems Engineering. 87(2), - pp. 125-136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2003.10.009>.
4. Blasco, J., Aleixos, N., Rojer, J.M., Rabatal, G., Molto, E. (2002). Robotic weed control using machine vision. Biosystems Engineering, 83(2), - pp. 149-157.
5. Cho, S.I., Kim, Y.Y., An, K.J. (2002). Development of a three-degrees-of-freedom robot for harvesting lettuce using machine vision and fuzzy logic control. Biosystems Engineering, 82(2), - pp. 143-149.
6. Godin, V.V., Belousova, M.N., Belousov, V.A., Terekhova, A.E. (2020). Agriculture in the digital age: challenges and solutions. E-Management. - No. 3(1), - pp. 4-15 (in Russian).
7. Litvinov, A.S. (1971). Controllability and stability of the car. - M.: Publishing House Mashinostroenie/ Machinery construction, - 416 p.
8. Orebäck, A., Christensen, H.I. (2003). Evaluation of architectures for mobile robotics. Autonomous Robots, 14(1), - pp. 33-49. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1020975419546>.
9. Promising areas of application of robots in business // M., Ministry of Communications of Russia. <https://digital.gov.ru/uploaded/presentations/20200325idoklad.pdf>. (accessed on 16.10.2024): Electronic text.
10. Skvortsov, E.A., Skvortsova, E.G., Sandu, I.S., Iovlev, G.A. (2018). The transition of agriculture to digital, intelligent and robotic technologies. Economy of the region. Vol. 14. Issue. 3, - pp. 1014-1028 (in Russian).



11. Tillett, N. (1991). Automatic guidance for agricultural field machines: a review. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 50, - pp. 167-187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-8634\(05\)80012-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-8634(05)80012-5).
12. Toda, M., Kitani, O., Torii, T. (1999). Navigation method for a mobile robot via sonar-based crop row mapping and fuzzy logic control. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 72 (4), - pp. 299-309. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jaer.1998.0371>.
13. Torii, T. (2000). Research in autonomous agriculture vehicles in Japan. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 25 (1-2), - pp. 133-153. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1699\(99\)00060-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1699(99)00060-5).
14. Zagazezheva, O.Z., Berbekova, M.M. (2021). The main trends in the development of robotic technologies in agriculture. *News of the Kabardino-Balkarian Scientific Center of RAS*. No. 5(103), - pp. 11-20. <https://doi.org/10.35330/1991-6639-2021-5-103-11-20>.
15. <https://ifr.org/worldrobotics/>. World Robotics Reports, 2021 (accessed on 15.02.2022).
16. <https://rossaprimavera.ru/news/1dbc51f0>. Global market for agricultural robots (accessed on 16.10.2022).
17. <https://www.bloomberg.com/press-releases/2021-11-09/agricultural-robots-market-worth-11-9-billion-by-2026-exclusive-report-by-marketsandmarkets>. Agricultural Robots Market worth \$11.9 billion by 2026-Exclusive Report by Markets. 2021 (accessed on 16.10.2024).

---

**Declarations of interest**

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

---

*Received on 23.10.2024*

*Revised on 10.11.2024*

*Accepted on 30.12.2024*



# Dynamics of Soil Moisture Deficit and its Impact on Irrigation Water Demand: A Case Study of Kotayk Region

Gurgen Yeghiazaryan<sup>ID</sup>, Razmik Danielyan<sup>ID</sup>

Armenian National Agrarian University

[sfwmrc@yahoo.com](mailto:sfwmrc@yahoo.com), [razmikdanielyan@gmail.com](mailto:razmikdanielyan@gmail.com)

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

atmospheric precipitation  
percentile/availability,  
irrigation water demand,  
soil moisture deficit,  
total water consumption,  
water balance

## ABSTRACT

The research is dedicated to the justification of irrigation water demand to ensure the efficient use of irrigated lands in the context of climate change. To this end the dynamics of climate indicators from 1991 to 2023 at the «Yeghvard» meteorological station has been studied, and the total water consumption (evapotranspiration) together with the dynamics of the soil moisture has been calculated. To characterize the degree of annual dryness in conditions of insufficient moisture zones, years with 50 %, 75 %, and 95 % percentile of atmospheric precipitation during the vegetation period were used as reference points for calculations. Given the importance of the issue, the research was conducted using the example of semi-desert gray soils in the Kotayk region. The soil moisture deficit was calculated for both the vegetation period and the entire year. The calculations show that over the past five years, the soil moisture deficit in irrigated semi-desert gray soils in the Yeghvard area has exhibited a negative trend, resulting in a reduction of active soil moisture reserves by 1.410–4.400 m<sup>3</sup>/ha. This finding supports the observation recorded in recent years within irrigation systems that farming enterprises are using 2 to 3 times more irrigation water than the established norms.

## Introduction

Currently, due to climate change, several issues have arisen related to efficient use of irrigated lands, among which the justification of irrigation water demand holds primary importance. One of the primary challenges in justifying crop water requirements on irrigated lands is determining the effective amount of atmospheric precipitation,

assessing soil moisture deficit, and calculating reference/estimated evapotranspiration (Hoffman, et al., 1992, Meyer, et al., 1989, Richard, et al., 1998, Yeghiazaryan, et al., 2022). Total water consumption, also known as evapotranspiration, is calculated based on climate indicators.

However, it is evident that the water consumption

requirements of crops vary from year to year, depending on changes in climatic conditions. In regions with insufficient moisture, the dryness degree during the year is typically characterized by considering the years with 50 %, 75 %, and 95 % percentile of precipitation during the vegetation period (Mkrtychyan, et al., 2004, Terteryan, et al., 2007, Yeghiazaryan, et al., 2008, Yeghiazaryan, et al., 2009). In areas with unstable moisture levels, an extremely dry year - corresponding to 95 % of precipitation provision during the growing season - is used as a baseline for calculations. For different irrigation technologies, the recalculation of irrigation water demand is based on the already established water requirements for each crop (Armstat, 2023, Galstyan, et al., 2022). Identifying irrigation water demand is practically important in terms of concluding contracts between Water User Associations (WUAs) and water users, as well as for securing the necessary water volumes for irrigation from the water supply organizations such as “Jrar”. Considering the importance of the issue, the research was conducted using Kotayk Province as a case study, based on the climate data from the “Yeghvard” meteorological station for the years 2019–2023.

## Materials and methods

The subject of this research is the justification of crop water requirements in irrigated soils, taking into account changes in climatic conditions and the soil's hydro-physical properties. Using the average daily data from the Yeghvard hydro-meteorological station for 2019–2023, the monthly distribution of precipitation throughout the year was studied. At the same time, changes in key climate indicators were observed, including maximum ( $T_{max}$ ), minimum temperatures ( $T_{min}$ ), relative air humidity ( $RH$ ),

wind speed ( $V$ ) and number of sunny/sunshine days ( $t$ ). Based on these indicators the estimated evapotranspiration has been determined using CROPWAT software program. Following internationally practiced methods for determining crop irrigation water requirements, the estimated/reference evapotranspiration has been computed ( $ET_0$ ) (Mkrtychyan, et al., 2004, Rao, et al., 2011, Salman, et al., 2024, Yeghiazaryan, et al., 2022).

The crops water requirement has been calculated through the following formula:

$$M_n = ET_0 - P_{efektiv} \quad (1)$$

For different percentiles of atmospheric precipitations the following formula has been used:

$$M_{np\%} = ET_{0p\%} - P_{efektivp\%} \quad (2)$$

Brutto/gross irrigation water demand will be equal to:

$$M_{bp\%} = \frac{ET_{0p\%} - P_{efektivp\%}}{\sigma}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\sigma$  is efficiency coefficient of intra-farm irrigation network.

## Results and discussions

The research was conducted based on the analysis of climatic indicators recorded in the Yeghvard meteorological station for the years 1991–2023. To construct the curve of precipitation percentiles, the existing statistical series of atmospheric precipitation was processed. Based on the results of statistical data processing, both the theoretical (analytical) and empirical (observed) percentile curves were constructed (Fig. 1).




**Figure 1.** Theoretical and empirical curves depicting the percentiles of atmospheric precipitations per the data of Yeghvard meteorological station (composed by the authors).

The calculations were conducted for atmospheric precipitation with the percentiles of 50 %, 75 %, and 95 %. Figure 2.1 and 2.2 show the variation in evapotranspiration for 2021 per the days of the month.


It should be noted that in 88 settlements across the Kotayk region, including Abovyan, Hrazdan, and Nayiri provinces, crop water requirements are planned in line

with the existing 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 regimes according to which, the irrigation norms change per the described pattern.




For the justification of irrigation water requirements, the total water consumption (evapotranspiration) values were calculated by years and months, and the results are summarized in Figure 3.




**Figure 2.1.** Planning irrigation water requirement per the current norms (50 % percentile) (composed by the authors).




**Figure 2.2.** Planning irrigation water requirement per the current norms (75 % percentile) (composed by the authors).



**Figure 3.** Estimated evapotranspiration (composed by the authors).



**Figure 4.** Planning irrigation water requirement per the current norms (50 % percentile) (composed by the authors).



**Figure 5.** Dynamics of soil moisture deficit (composed by the authors).


The soils in the calculation area are classified as semi-desert gray soils. In terms of mechanical composition, they are primarily medium to heavy clay-and-sandy soils. The soil density ranges between 1.3–1.33 g/cm³, while the specific gravity of the soil is within 2.53–2.72 g/cm³. The soil porosity is 49–51 %, and the field capacity moisture content ranges from 25–26 %.

The provided indicators allow for calculating the minimum moisture content in the soil at the beginning of the irrigation season, from the prospect of permanent wilting of plants. For crops with 50 cm root system, this amounts to 1.989 m³/ha. Therefore, the soil moisture deficit in conditions of the minimum moisture reserve will be 1.526 m³/ha. The soil moisture variation pattern for June is shown in Figure 4.

The calculations show that soil moisture decreases by 1,013 m³/ha. Therefore, the deficit will be 2,539 m³/ha. Respectively, in July, the moisture reduction will be 1,639 m³/ha; in August, it will be 1.795 m³/ha; in September - 800 m³/ha; in October - 847 m³/ha; in November the soil moisture will increase by 123 m³/ha; and in December it will increase by 121 m³/ha, whereas in June, the soil moisture deficit was 2.539 m³/ha. Therefore, by December, the soil moisture deficit from the prospect of minimum moisture reserve will be:

$$\Delta W_2 = 2539 + 1639 + 1795 + 800 + 847 - 123 - 121 = 7376 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha}.$$

For the year of 75% atmospheric precipitation, the soil moisture deficit throughout the year per months will have the following pattern:



**Figure 6.** The integral curve of soil moisture deficit in semi-desert gray soils, based on data from the Yeghvard meteorological station (composed by the authors).

**Table 1.** The estimates of soil moisture deficit for the annual period\*

| Percentile,<br>% | Atmospheric precipitations<br>mm |            | Total water consumption (Evapotranspiration, ET <sub>0</sub> ),<br>m <sup>3</sup> /ha |            |
|------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
|                  | Year                             | Vegetation | Year                                                                                  | Vegetation |
| 50               | 4970                             | 3290       | 8900                                                                                  | 8440       |
| 75               | 3290                             | 2900       | 9400                                                                                  | 8600       |
| 95               | 2650                             | 2280       | 9600                                                                                  | 9200       |

**Table 2.** The estimates of soil moisture deficit for the vegetation period\*

| Minimum soil moisture reserve |            | Soil moisture deficit, 0...50 cm |            | Soil moisture deficit, 0...100 cm |            |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 0...50 cm                     | 0...100 cm | Year                             | Vegetation | Year                              | Vegetation |
| 1260                          | 2520       | 2670                             | 3890       | 1410                              | 2630       |
| 1260                          | 2520       | 4850                             | 4440       | 3590                              | 3180       |
| 1260                          | 2520       | 5690                             | 5660       | 4430                              | 4400       |

\*Composed by the authors.

The estimates of soil moisture deficit for years with different precipitation percentiles, for both the annual and vegetation periods, are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

## Conclusion

Based on the climatic data obtained from the Yeghvard meteorological station for the years 1991-2023, it is

recommended to identify irrigation water demand in conditions of semi-desert gray soils based on the dynamics of soil moisture deficit during the vegetation and annual periods. The calculations show that, over the past five years, the soil moisture deficit in semi-desert gray soils of irrigated lands in the Yeghvard area, has demonstrated a negative dynamic. As a result, the active moisture reserve in the soil has decreased by a volume of 1.410–4.400 m<sup>3</sup>/ha.



This finding helps to justify the fact observed over recent years in irrigation systems, where farming enterprises use irrigation water 2 to 3 times more than the established norms. Thus, in the case of surface irrigation, it is evident that the current irrigation norms should be increased by an average of 2.905 m<sup>3</sup>/ha. On the other hand, it is important to focus on the development of irrigation technologies, as surface irrigation with such volumes could pose significant risks to the entire irrigation system.

## References

1. Armstat, 2023. Kotayk Marz of the Republic of Armenia in figures. <https://armstat.am/file/doc/99542173.pdf>.
2. Galstyan, M.H., Sayadyan, H.Y., Yeghiazaryan, G.M., Sargsyan, K.Sh., Matevosyan, L.G. (2022). Efficiency of applying soil improvers in row-crop fields in the prospect of improving ecological state of Lake Sevan, ANAU, Yerevan, 2022.
3. Hoffman, G.J., Howell, T.A., Solomon, K.H. (1992). Management of farm irrigation systems. American society of Agricultural Engineers, -1019 p.
4. Meyer, S.J., Hubbard, K.G., Wilhite, D.A. (1989). Estimating potential evapotranspiration. The effect of random and systematic errors. Agric. for. Meteorol. – 46, - p. 285-296. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923\(89\)90032-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923(89)90032-4).
5. Mkrtchyan, R.S., Margaryan, V.G. (2004). Patterns of Evaporation Change in the Republic of Armenia Based on GGI-3000. Actual Observations. Ecological Journal of Armenia, - N1, - pp. 43-49.
6. Rao, V.U.M., Rao, A.V.M.S., Rao, G.G.S.N., Satyanarayana, T., Manikandan, N., and Venkateshwarlu, B., S.D. Attri, et al. (2011). Impact of Climate Change on Crop Water Requirements and Adaptation Strategies. Challenges and Opportunities in Agrometeorology, 3 II 00110.1007/978-3-642-19360-6\_24, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19360-6\\_24](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19360-6_24).
7. Richard, G. Allen, Luis, S. Pereira, Dirk Raes, Martin Smith (1998). Crop evapotranspiration. Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO irrigation and drainage paper 56. Rome, - 281p. <https://doi.org/10.32614/cran.package.met>.
8. Salman, A.H. Selmy, Raimundo, Jimenez-Ballesta, Dmitry, E. Kucher, Ahmed, S.A. Sayed, Francisco, J. García-Navarro, Yujian Yang and Ibraheem, A.H. Yousif (2024). Land Suitability Assessment and Crop Water Requirements for Twenty Selected Crops in an Arid Land Environment. Agronomy, 14, 2601. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14112601>.
9. Terteryan, B., Petrosyan, N., Grigoryan, R. (2007). Irrigation norms and regimes for agricultural crops of irrigated lands in the Republic of Armenia, - 203 p.
10. Yeghiazaryan, G.M., Danielyan, R.A. (2022). The study results of the main hydrophysical descriptions in irrigated soils, Gavar State University.
11. Yeghiazaryan, G.M., Gevorgyan, A.R., Martirosyan, R.M. (2009). Impact of Irrigation Norm Deficit on Agricultural Crop Yield Capacity. Annals of Agrarian Science. ISSN1512-1887, N3, Georgia, Tbilisi, - pp. 33-37.
12. Yeghiazaryan, G.M., Martirosyan, R.M., Gevorgyan, A.R. (2008). Dynamics of Agricultural Crop Water Consumption Using the FAO-56 Penman-Monteith Method. "Bulletin of the State Agrarian University of Armenia," Yerevan, - № 4, - pp. 57-61.
13. Yeghiazaryan, G., Yeghiazaryan, A., Galstyan, M., Ghukasyan, A., Miroyan, S. (2022). Justification of crops water demand at the Ararat concavity of the RA in climate change conditions. The scientific heritage.

## Declarations of interest

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

Received on 17.11.2024

Revised on 09.12.2024

Accepted on 30.12.2024



# Analysis of Results from Experimental Research on a Combined Plow for Flat Tillage and Parameter Optimization

Aramayis Yesoyan<sup>ID</sup>, Gevorg Karapetyan<sup>ID</sup>

Armenian National Agrarian University

[esoyan.62@mail.ru](mailto:esoyan.62@mail.ru), [kgevorg1998@gmail.com](mailto:kgevorg1998@gmail.com)

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

battery,  
combined machine,  
frontal plough,  
roller,  
stubble cultivation

## ABSTRACT

A combined machine has been proposed, consisting of an improved front plow design, special-profile disc batteries, and a roller through justifying the necessity of plowing and emphasizing the application of minimal soil cultivation technologies. Laboratory and field experiments have been conducted to study the structural, energy, and technological indicators of the proposed machine, as well as to outline ways to eliminate its technological shortcomings. The optimal angles of attack for individual discs of the disc batteries have been determined, considering traction resistance and cultivation quality as optimizing factors.

## Introduction

Throughout history, soil cultivation has been accompanied by numerous shortcomings that have negatively impacted economic indicators while leaving undesirable effects on soil resources such as soil over-compaction, wind erosion, decreased fertility, and on slopes, water and mechanical erosion (Tsench, et al., 2018; Sobolevsky, 2023). The scientific community does not remain indifferent to these issues. New soil cultivation technologies, technical means, and approaches are being proposed (Gaifullin and Kashapov, 2022).

In the majority of improvement measures, many proposals relate to the application of combined machines (Kutsenko, 2016; Fedorov, et al., 2019). However, in almost all the aforementioned proposals, plowing is not included as a technological process, with certain justifications provided. Yet, in various studies, including those conducted by

our working group, the opposite opinion is expressed, substantiating the importance of plowing with significant arguments (Fedorenko, et al., 2016).

We have proposed a combined machine consisting of three units: a frontal plough with improved parameters and without reversible plowshare, disc batteries of a special profile, and rollers (Yesoyan, et al., RA Patent No. AM 846Y, 2023). These three devices are intended to perform different technological processes, and their combination should result in obtaining a sufficient pre-sowing surface in a single pass of the aggregate.

In such a technological complex, the role of the first unit — the frontal plough without reversible plowshare is very important. If this plow works on a regular flat surface, the machine intended for the next technological process, i.e., stubble cultivation or harrowing, namely the disc batteries of special profile are forced to process the uneven surface

cultivated/plowed by the first unit. The purpose of this research is to enhance the proposed combined machine. To achieve this goal, through laboratory experiments, it is planned to eliminate the shortcoming of the frontal plough without reversible plowshare forming the first unit of the combined machine and to improve and optimize the parameters of the disc battery in the machine's subsequent unit.

### Materials and methods

The results of various experimental studies on the frontal plough of the first unit of the combined machine, both with and without reversible plowshare (including those conducted by us, Picture 1), showed that it is advisable to include the frontal plough in our proposed combined machine due to the compactness of its structure and frontal arrangement. The removal of reversible plowshare from the plow's design is justified, considering that their presence contributes to the emergence of numerous shortcomings.


Specifically, to reduce one of the main drawbacks of the frontal plough - high traction resistance - based on theoretical research we have proposed to form the plowshare-wing surface through a logarithmic spiral (Yesoyan, et al., 2023).

The appearance of the plowed field resulted from the frontal plough without reversible plowshares served as the basis for adjusting the parameters of the disc batteries that perform the next technological process. The experiments conducted showed that both on flat terrain and on slopes, the furrows plowed by the frontal plough are not fully overturned. According to the experimental results, the field



**Picture 1.** Field testing of the frontal plough on a slope without reversible plowshares.

plowed with this frontal plough acquires the appearance shown in Fig. 1. As can be seen from picture 1, the furrows overturned with opposing plough bodies mainly accumulate in the middle of the plough bodies. Similar results have been obtained during other studies conducted with the frontal plough (Yesoyan, et al., 2014; Sakun, et al., 1991; Gapich, et al., 2019).



**Fig. 1.** The description of plowing process with a frontal plough without reversible plowshares on flat terrain and slopes. (composed by the authors).

In this work, we are dealing with a non-standard situation; accordingly, the chosen research methodology is also non-standard. The methodology was selected based on the experimental results of the modified frontal plough included in the proposed combined machine, which revealed a non-standard situation. Under standard conditions, a plow inverts the furrow to some extent, producing a generally uneven surface that subsequent processes must smooth out. However, in the case of the proposed combined machine, a non-standard situation arises: the furrow segments created by the modified frontal plough bodies are not inverted but rather accumulate in the middle section of those bodies, resulting in a mound-shaped surface. In this case, the machine that follows the plow faces a non-standard task: leveling out the mound formed between the plow bodies. In other words, whereas under standard conditions all the discs on a machine equipped with disc-type working tools would perform the same technological process, here different discs on the same machine must perform different technological processes.

Therefore, we attempted to solve the problem by using a non-standard method. Under laboratory conditions, a mound-shaped surface similar to what is produced in field tests by the frontal plough was formed in a soil trench. Using a specially designed stand capable of altering the disc's attack angle and working depth we were able to determine the optimal parameters for the different discs within the disc assembly.

## Results and discussions

In order to increase the operating efficiency of the proposed combined machine, we have presented recommendations for improving its first and second sections the frontal plough without reversible plowshare, and in particular, the special-profile disc assemblies.

Experiments demonstrated that, to ensure the required technological process with the proposed combined machine, different discs of the disc battery will perform tasks of varying volumes and natures. For instance, the first disc of the battery, which passes over the crest of the ridge, is responsible for the loosening of the largest volume of soil and its transfer to the lateral area. This disc deals with a half-turned, unbroken furrow rich in weeds. Here, the disc penetrates the soil to the maximum possible depth — up to 25–30 cm. In other words, the first disc in this position functions as a disc plow.

The second disc encounters the ridge in the middle part, where the ridge height has relatively decreased. This disc penetrates into the ridge about 20 cm, part of which is soil already loosened and shifted by the first disc. In other words, the second disc performs the role of a stubble cultivator.

The third disc does not reach the furrow half-turned by the plow's body at all. It spreads the loosened soil mass presented by the first and second discs toward the sides of the furrow. The third disc penetrates the soil no more than 10–12 cm. In other words, the third disc functions as a disc harrow.

Numerous scientific studies have established that the attack angles of disc plows, harrows, and stubble cultivators vary within different ranges. Specifically, in the case of a plow, it is 40–45°, for a stubble cultivator 10–35°, and for a harrow 10–22° (Kokoshin and Tashlanov, 2019; Sokht and Kirichenko, 2004; Bashnyak, et al., 2014).

Based on the results of laboratory and field experiments, it is proposed to set different attack angles for the various discs included in the combined machine's disc

batteries according to the nature of work each performs. Specifically, the first disc was designated with the attack angle range intended for plows, the second one — for stubble cultivators, and the third one — for harrows. The optimal values of these attack angles were determined through laboratory experiments.

For this purpose, laboratory experiments were conducted using a special stand attached to a movable cart over the soil trench at the Armenian National Agrarian University (ANAU). The disc's traction resistance was measured, and the quality of the technological process was visually assessed by using the degree of lateral displacement and leveling of the soil mound (expressed in percentages) across the disc's working width as an indicator. The following scale was applied: very poor (30–50 %), poor (50–60 %), average (60–80 %), good (80–90 %), very good (almost 100 %), and much worse (up to 30 %).

Scene from laboratory and field experiments of the disc battery of the combined machine (Picture 2).

The traction resistance of the disc battery pair was also determined.

The results of the laboratory experiments are summarized in Table, and based on these, graphs were constructed showing the dependence of the disc's traction resistance and cultivation quality on the disc's attack angle (Figures 2, 3, 4). From the graphs, it is quite evident that increasing the attack angle of the disc leads to an increase in traction resistance. Therefore, it's impossible to determine the optimal attack angle of the disc based solely on the traction resistance indicator.





**Picture 2.** View of the disc battery of the combined machine captured from laboratory and field experiments.



**Table.** Results of Laboratory Experiments of Disc Working Elements\*

| Disc Number in Battery              | Cultivation Depth, cm | Attack Angle, degrees | Traction Resistance, N | Quality of soil cultivation degree of mound leveling (lateral displacement) as a percentage relative to the disc's coverage width, % |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| First Disc (as Plow)                | 30                    | 30                    | 393                    | Medium (60-80 %)                                                                                                                     |
|                                     |                       | 35                    | 431                    | Good (80-90 %)                                                                                                                       |
|                                     |                       | 40                    | 502                    | Very Good (almost 100 %)                                                                                                             |
|                                     |                       | 45                    | 614                    | Poor (50-60 %)                                                                                                                       |
|                                     |                       | 50                    | 677                    | Very Poor (30-50 %)                                                                                                                  |
| Second Disc (as Stubble cultivator) | 20                    | 10                    | 155                    | Poor (50-60 %)                                                                                                                       |
|                                     |                       | 15                    | 208                    | Medium (60-80 %)                                                                                                                     |
|                                     |                       | 20                    | 304                    | Good (80-90 %)                                                                                                                       |
|                                     |                       | 25                    | 339                    | Medium (60-80 %)                                                                                                                     |
|                                     |                       | 30                    | 373                    | Poor 50-60 %)                                                                                                                        |
|                                     |                       | 35                    | 402                    | Very Poor (30-50 %)                                                                                                                  |
|                                     |                       | 40                    | 444                    | Much Worse (up to 30 %)                                                                                                              |
| Third disc (as Harrow)              | 10                    | 10                    | 52                     | Medium (60-80 %)                                                                                                                     |
|                                     |                       | 15                    | 83                     | Good (80-90 %)                                                                                                                       |
|                                     |                       | 20                    | 123                    | Medium (60-80 %)                                                                                                                     |
|                                     |                       | 25                    | 161                    | Poor (50-60 %)                                                                                                                       |


\*Composed by the authors.

**Figure 2.** The dependence of the first disc's traction resistance and cultivation quality on the disc's attack angle (composed by the authors).**Figure 3.** The dependence of the second disc's traction resistance and cultivation quality on the disc's attack angle (composed by the authors).


The optimal angle has been determined by combining the indicators of traction resistance and cultivation quality, giving priority to the quality of cultivation. From Figure 2, it is apparent that the best cultivation quality for the first disc will be achieved at an attack angle of approximately 40 degrees (with a cultivation depth of 25 cm). Based on the same rationale, it can be stated from Figure 3, that the

optimal attack angle for the second disc will be about 20 degrees (with a cultivation depth of 20 cm), and regarding Figure 4, the optimal attack angle for the third disc will be around 15 degrees (with a cultivation depth of 10 cm).

The technological scheme of the improved special-profile disc battery, based on the experimental results of the proposed combined machine, is presented in Figure 5.



**Figure 4.** The dependence of the third disc's traction resistance and cultivation quality on the disc's attack angle (composed by the authors).



**Figure 5.** Improved scheme of the disc unit of proposed combined machine based on experimental results (composed by the authors).

## Conclusion

By justifying the importance of both plowing and minimum tillage, a combined frontal plough for smooth

plowing has been proposed. This machine includes a frontal plough with improved design and parameters, special-profile disc batteries, and a roller.

Based on the results of laboratory and field experiments of the proposed machine, it was found that both on flat terrain and slopes, the frontal plough of the combined machine does not perform sufficient overturning and loosening of the furrows. After the passage of the plow, the furrows accumulate in the middle of the plough bodies without loosening, creating an unloosened mound-shaped surface. To fully execute the subsequent technological process, it was proposed to include special-profile disc batteries in the machine's design. Through these, the mound-shaped surface formed between the plough bodies is loosened and dispersed within the entire width covered by the plough bodies.

Considering the nature and volume of work performed by each disc in the disc assembly, we proposed arranging the discs with different attack angles. Specifically, we set the first disc to have attack angles corresponding to those used for disc plows; the second disc to have angles suitable for disc stubble cultivator; and the third disc to have angles appropriate for disc harrow. Within the ranges determined by laboratory experiments, we established the optimal attack angles by taking traction resistance and cultivation quality as the optimizing factors.

## References

1. Bashnyak, S.E., Sharshak, V.K., Bashnyak, I.M. (2014). Justification of the Design of a Combined Machine for Pre-Sowing Soil Cultivation of Rice Fields in the Conditions of the Rostov Region. Bulletin of the Don State Agrarian University, - No. 4-1 (14), - pp. 140-147 (in Russian).
2. Fedorenko, V.F., Golyapin, V.Ya., Mishurov, N.P. (2016). Trends in Machine-Technological Modernization of Agriculture Abroad. Based on materials from the international exhibition "Agrotechnica-2015", Scientific Analytical Review, Moscow (in Russian).
3. Fedorov, S.E., Zhalnin, A.A., Zhdankina, A.O. (2019). Application of Modern Combined Machines and Aggregates for Surface Soil Cultivation. In: Materials of the XXIII Scientific-Practical Conference of Young Scientists, Postgraduates, and Students of the National Research Mordovia State University named after N.P. Ogarev. Compiled by A.V. Stolyarov, edited by P.V. Senin, - pp. 279-282 (in Russian). <https://sciup.org/>



[primenenie-sovremennyh-kombinirovannyh-mashin-i-agregatov-dlja-poverhnostnoj-170177611](#).

4. Gaifullin, A.R., Kashapov, I.I. (2022). Development of a Combined Machine for Surface Soil Treatment. In: Current State and Prospects for the Development of the Technical Base of the Agro-Industrial Complex. Proceedings of the All-Russian (National) Scientific-Practical Conference dedicated to the memory of Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor A.P. Martyanov. Kazan State Agrarian University, Kazan, - pp. 60-66 (in Russian).
5. Gapich, D.S., Kosulnikov, R.A., Chumakov, S.A. (2019). Methods of Soil Layer Destruction with Minimal Energy Expenditure [To Reduce the Traction Resistance of the Working Body and the Soil-Cultivating Machine as a Whole]. In: Global Scientific and Technological Trends of Socio-Economic Development of the Agro-Industrial Complex and Rural Areas. Volgograd State Agrarian University, Volgograd, - Vol. 2, - pp. 246-252 (in Russian).
6. Kokoshin, S.N., Tashlanov, V.I. (2019). Energy Assessment of Various Methods of Soil Destruction. Agro-Food Policy of Russia, - No. 1 (85), - pp. 42-46 (in Russian).
7. Kutsenko, K.N. (2016). Combined Machine for Pre-Sowing Soil Cultivation. In: Actual Issues of Agrarian Science. Scientific-Practical Conference, - pp. 122-126 (in Russian).
8. Sakun, V.A., Lobachevsky, Ya.P., Sizov, O.A. (1991). The Modern Stage of Development of Plowing Aggregates. Machinery in Agriculture, - No. 3, - pp. 9-12 (in Russian).
9. Sobolevsky, I.V. (2023). Development of a Soil Protection System of Minimum Tillage for the Southern Regions of the Russian Federation. Research Project: Grant No. 23-29-10012, Russian Science Foundation (in Russian).
10. Sokht, K.A., Kirichenko, A.K. (2004). Features of the Design of Disc Soil-Cultivating Implements and Trends in their Development. In: Evolution of Scientific Technologies in Crop Production. Collection of Scientific Works dedicated to the 90th anniversary of KNIISH named after P.P. Lukyanenko. Russian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Krasnodar Order of Lenin and Order of the Red Banner of Labor Scientific Research Institute of Agriculture named after P.P. Lukyanenko, Krasnodar, - pp. 3-10 (in Russian).
11. Tsench, Yu.S., Maslov, G.G., Trubilin, E.G. (2018). To the history of agricultural machinery development. Bulletin of the Bashkir State Agrarian University, - N 3 (47), - pp. 117-123 (in Russian).
12. Yesoyan, A.M., Harutyunyan, A.V., Karapetyan, G.A. (2023). The Theory of Calculating the Least Resistance Surface of the Bulldozer Blade Wing. Scientific Works of the Engineering and Construction University, 3(87), - pp. 10-18 (in Armenian).
13. Yesoyan, A.M., Mkrtchyan, H.D., Karapetyan, G.A., Mikayelyan, G.M. (2023). Combined Frontal Plough For Smooth Plowing. RA Patent No. AM 846Y. [https://old.aipa.am/search\\_mods/patents/view\\_item.php?id=846YAM20230036Y&language=en](https://old.aipa.am/search_mods/patents/view_item.php?id=846YAM20230036Y&language=en).
14. Yesoyan, A.M., Mkrtchyan, H.D., Virabyan, M.S. (2014). Analysis of Experimental Research Results of Plowing with a Frontal Plough on Weakly Cohesive Soils. In: Materials of the International Scientific Conference on Problems of Food Security and Biodiversity, Yerevan, ANAU, - pp. 71-75 (in Armenian).

#### Declarations of interest

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

Received on 25.11.2024

Revised on 11.12.2024

Accepted on 30.12.2024



UDC 2.528.443(479.25)

doi: 10.52276/25792822-2024.4-303

## Comparative Analysis of Cadastral and Market Values of Agricultural Plots in Armenia

Paruyr Efendyan<sup>ID</sup>, Tigran Hovhannisyan<sup>ID</sup>, Nane Khudaverdyan<sup>ID</sup>

Armenian National Agrarian University

[armgeoinform@mail.ru](mailto:armgeoinform@mail.ru), [tigranhov20@gmail.com](mailto:tigranhov20@gmail.com), [naneharmeni@gmail.com](mailto:naneharmeni@gmail.com)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

*agricultural lands,  
cadastral value,  
land cadastre,  
market value,  
net income*

### ABSTRACT

The procedure for conducting cadastral assessment of agricultural lands, cadastral net income from agricultural lands in the Republic of Armenia are determined by the Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia dated July 3, 1997 No. 237 “On approval of the data of the state land cadastre of agricultural lands and unsuitable lands of Republic of Armenia”. The article provides a comparative analysis of the cadastral and market values of agricultural lands in the Republic of Armenia. It clearly states that the cadastral and market values of agricultural lands very often differ from each other several times. The current cadastral values for agricultural lands are outdated and fail to reflect various factors that influence market values. These factors include land rights and restrictions, location, area, dimensions, contour, slope, irrigation, and others, none of which are adequately considered in the calculation of cadastral values for agricultural plots.

### Introduction

The primary goal of cadastral assessment of lands is to ensure the normative and informational function of state regulation of land relations. Therefore, it is implemented as a state event (Varlamov, 2006). According to Article 35 of the Land Code of the Republic of Armenia (NO-185), land assessment is the determination of its cadastral value in accordance with fertility, other physical and qualitative characteristics, natural and economic conditions, zoning and intended purpose of the land plot. The assessment utilizes data from land monitoring, the state unified real

estate cadastre, and other surveys and observations on the state of the land. It is conducted based on the cadastral and/or market value to fulfill various functions related to the land plot. Cadastral value data are used for determining real estate taxes, rental fees, and other functions concerning land, as outlined in the Land Code of the Republic of Armenia.

On January 1, 2021, the Law of the Republic of Armenia “On Establishing the Procedure for Cadastral Valuation Approximating the Market Value of Real Estate for the Purposes of Taxation by Real Estate Tax” (HO-225-N)

was adopted, which established the cadastral assessment procedure for this purpose ([www.arlis.am](http://www.arlis.am)), through which an attempt was made to bring the cadastral value of real estate closer to the market value. The order applied to all lands, regardless of the form of ownership and purpose of the plot, with the exception of agricultural lands.

## Materials and methods

The land assessment is expressed in comparative relative indicators which show how good or bad is particular land for growing a particular crop (Yezekyan and Efendyan, 2008).

The procedure for conducting cadastral valuation of agricultural land in the Republic of Armenia, cadastral net income from agricultural land is determined by the Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 237 dated July 3, 1997 “On Approval of the Data of the State Land Cadastre of Agricultural and Unused Lands”. in the Republic of Armenia” ([www.arlis.am](http://www.arlis.am)), which is based on the still valid Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 251 dated June 1, 1994 “On Approval of the Data of the State Land Cadastre as of January 1, 1994” (Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia, 1994, Resolution N 251). To date, Decision No. 251 has not been digitized or included in the legal information systems of Armenia, such as Arlis.am or IRTEK ([www.irtek.am](http://www.irtek.am)). The paper version of the decision was located in the National Archives of Armenia. Here’s the revised version:

The net income values of agricultural lands have undergone several adjustments over time. These changes were implemented through various government decisions, including:

Decision No. 567 of December 10, 1994, which multiplied the values by a coefficient of 1.95 (source: [www.arlis.am](http://www.arlis.am)).

Decision No. 68 of October 11, 1995, which applied a coefficient of 1.14 (source: [www.arlis.am](http://www.arlis.am)).

Decision No. 327 of October 10, 1996, which used a coefficient of 1.12 (source: [www.arlis.am](http://www.arlis.am)).

As a result of these adjustments, the net income of agricultural lands was finalized under Decision No. 237 of 1997 as follows:

$$NI_{1997} = NI_{1994} \times 1.95 \times 1.14 \times 1.12$$

All subsequent decisions determining the cadastral net income of agricultural lands refer either to Decision No.

237, or each to the previous one. In other words, they have not changed over the past 27 years.

According to Appendix 2, Clause 3, Article 238 of the Tax Code of the Republic of Armenia (NO-165-N), the estimated net income of agricultural lands is calculated using the following formula:

$$N_{il} = A_{al} \times L_{ni},$$

where  $A_{al}$  is the area of agricultural land, expressed in hectares,  $L_{ni}$  – estimated net income of the relevant agricultural land, the relevant land-cadastral zone, the relevant assessment group, calculated per hectare (Tax Code of the Republic of Armenia).

In accordance with paragraph 9 of the methodology approved by the Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 124, of March 3, 1999 “On Approval of the Methodology for Assessment of Agricultural Lands and Conducting Systematic Monitoring of the Condition of Agricultural Lands of the Republic of Armenia”, the estimated value of land is determined based on the economic assessment of agricultural lands according to the following formula:

$$CA = NI/4 \times 100 \text{ or } CA = (NI \times 25 \text{ year}),$$

where the calculation of the assessed value ( $CA$ ) is based on the net income ( $NI$ ), determined on the basis of the valuation of the unit area of the relevant plot and the bank capitalization interest rate of 4 percent ([www.arlis.am](http://www.arlis.am)).

Based on the above-mentioned decisions of the RA Government, we studied the cadastral and net income value of 1002 settlements, and in our previous works we presented a complete table of all assessment groups for all land plots in all 15 regions of the land cadastral contour, which did not exist before (Efendyan, et al., 2023; 2024).

## Results and discussions

To conduct a comparative analysis of the cadastral and market values of agricultural lands and to obtain the cadastral value, we multiplied the determined net income of agricultural plots by 25 in accordance with the requirements of the above-mentioned normative-legal acts. Using the limited data available, we conducted a comparative analysis of the cadastral and market values of agricultural land plots to identify patterns and trends. Observations were carried out on the following groups of agricultural plots:

*By land use type:* arable lands, perennial plantings (vineyards and orchards, including pome fruits and stone

fruits), and natural forage lands (grasslands and pastures).


*By cadastral assessment groups: 1st to 5th classes.*

*By regions of land-cadastral zoning and cadastral value.*


The primary factor influencing these values is the land-cadastral zoning.

For instance, the cadastral value of 5th-class arable land in the conditional Urts-Kotayk-Shamiram land-cadastral zone is identical across Aragatsotn, Armavir, Ararat, Kotayk, and Yerevan. However, it is evident that while the province (marz) does not influence the cadastral value of agricultural lands, the location of the land significantly impacts its market value.

Figures 1 and 2 illustrate that the market value of arable lands and pome fruit perennial plantings in Yerevan is considerably higher than in other provinces, sometimes differing by several multiples. Additionally, it is clear that cadastral values are generally lower than market values, often by a significant margin, though exceptions do exist.




**Figure 1.** Urts – Kotayk - Shamiram, arable lands, irrigated, February, 2021 (composed by the authors).




**Figure 2.** Urts – Kotayk - Shamiram, pome fruit perennial plantings, February, 2021 (composed by the authors).

It is obvious that the market value of agricultural lands in Kotayk marz generally exceeds the corresponding values of other marz located in the same land valuation zone (and therefore having the same cadastral value, for example, the values of Aragatsotn and Ararat marzes in Figure 3).




**Figure 3.** Urts – Kotayk - Shamiram, pastures, February, 2021 (composed by the authors).


Figure 4 shows that the average market values of Tavush marz exceed the values of Lori marz in the arable land assessment groups.




**Figure 4.** Verin Debed - Aghstev, arable lands, February, 2021 (composed by the authors).



**Figure 5.** RA, Yerevan, Urts – Kotayk - Shamiram, pome fruit perennial plantings, February, 2021 (composed by the authors).




**Figure 6.** RA, Yerevan, Urts – Kotayk - Shamiram, other lands, February, 2021 (composed by the authors).




**Figure 7.** RA, Yerevan, Merdzaraksyan, vineyards, February, 2021 (composed by the authors).

Especially in the case of Yerevan (figures 5, 6, 7) market values significantly exceed cadastral value (in different zones of land cadastral assessment (Urts-Kotayk-Shamiram, Merdzaraksyan), for different types of land (pome fruits, other type of land, grapes)).

In other marzes the difference is not so emphatic.



**Figure 8.** RA, Syunik marz, Syunik, pome fruit perennial plantings, February, 2018/2021. (composed by the authors).



**Figure 9.** RA, Aragatsotn marz, Urts – Kotayk - Shamiram, stone fruit perennial plantings, February, 2018/2021 (composed by the authors).

## Conclusion

The research and analysis conducted lead to the following conclusions:

There is a significant difference between the cadastral and market values of agricultural land, often by several multiples.

When calculating cadastral values, the province (marz) where the land plot is located is irrelevant. Instead, the determining factor is the land-cadastral zoning. Conversely, market values vary for plots within the same land-cadastral zoning, even if they have identical cadastral values. This variation depends on factors such as location, position, and the frontage length of the plot.

Market values are influenced by numerous factors, including geopolitical and regional conditions.

Many key factors affecting the market value of agricultural land are not considered in the calculation of cadastral values. These factors include:

- Property rights and restrictions (including rights of use).
- Plot classification, assessment group, and availability of irrigation water.
- Plot characteristics, such as size, area, width, length, and shape.
- Location and accessibility.
- Slope and rockiness of the land.
- Proximity to and accessibility of transport hubs.
- Crop yield potential.
- Other miscellaneous factors that may impact the overall value of agricultural land.

Based on the above, we recommend that the cadastral reassessment of agricultural lands should consider not only

the net income of the plots but also the factors influencing their market value. Foremost among these is the location of the land plot—not only the land-cadastral zone but also the specific settlement where the plot is situated, as this directly affects the market value. Additionally, factors such as relief conditions (slope, aspect, rockiness) and others should also be taken into account.

## References

1. Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 251 dated June 1, 1994 “On Approval of the Data of the State Land Cadastre as of January 1, 1994” (not yet digitized on [www.arlis.am](http://www.arlis.am)).
2. Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 567 dated December 12, 1994 “On Approval of the Indexation Coefficient applied in 1995 for Calculating the Cadastral Net income from Agricultural Land”. <https://www.arlis.am/DocumentView.aspx?DocID=5568> (accessed on 18.10.2024).
3. Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 68 dated November 10, 1995 “On Approval of the Indexation Coefficient applied in 1996 for Calculating the Cadastral Net income from Agricultural Lands and Lands Unsuitable for Use”. <https://www.arlis.am/DocumentView.aspx?DocID=5631> (accessed on 18.10.2024).
4. Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 327 dated October 10, 1996 “On Approval of the Indexation Coefficient applied in 1997 for Calculating the Cadastral Net income from Agricultural Lands and Lands Unsuitable for Use”. <https://www.arlis.am/DocumentView.aspx?DocID=5935> (accessed on 18.10.2024).
5. Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 237, dated by July 3 (1997) “On approval of the data of the state land cadastre of agricultural lands and unsuitable lands of Republic of Armenia” <https://www.arlis.am/DocumentView.aspx?docID=28610> (accessed on 18.10.2024).
6. Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No. 124 dated March 3, 1999 “On Approval of the Methodology for Assessing Agricultural Land in the Republic of Armenia and Conducting Systematic Observations of the State of Agricultural Lands”. <https://www.arlis.am/DocumentView.aspx?DocID=7145> (accessed on 18.10.2024).
7. Efendyan, P.S., Mkrtchyan, M.Sh., Hovhannisyan, T.A., Khudaverdyan, N.A. (2024). Analysis of the Current Methodology for Cadastral Assessment, Changing and Determining of Groups of Assessment of Agricultural Lands in Armenia, Agriscience and Technology, 1(85), - pp. 21-27 (in Armenian).
8. Efendyan, P.S., Mkrtchyan, M.Sh., Khudaverdyan, N.A. (2023). Analysis of the Current Procedures for Cadastral Assessment of Agricultural Lands in Armenia, Agriscience and Technology, 4(84), - pp. 321-326.
9. Land Code of the Republic of Armenia, (HO-185). <https://www.arlis.am/DocumentView.aspx?DocID=172330> (accessed on 18.10.2024).
10. Law of the Republic of Armenia “On approval of procedure for cadastral assessment of the approximate market value of real estate for real estate taxation” (HO-225-N), 2019.
11. Tax Code of the Republic of Armenia (HO-165-N). <https://www.arlis.am/DocumentView.aspx?DocID=196879> (accessed on 18.10.2024).
12. Varlamov, A.A. (2006). Land Cadastre, Volume 4, Land assessment, Moscow, Koloss, - 463 p. (in Russian).
13. Yezekyan, A.S., Efendyan, P.S. (2008). Land cadastre, Yerevan, ASAU, - 284 p. (in Armenian).

## Acknowledgements

*This analysis was carried out with the financial support of Higher Education and Science Committee of the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sport of RA – within a scientific topic coded 21T-4C249.*

## Declarations of interest

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

Received on 29.10.2024

Revised on 27.11.2024

Accepted on 30.12.2024





UDC 633.11«324»:631.5

doi: 10.52276/25792822-2024.4-308

# The Impact of Soil Tillage Methods, Fertilizers of Various Origins and Bentonite on the Yield and Quality of Winter Wheat

Karen Gharakhanyan<sup>ID</sup>, Meruzhan Galstyan<sup>ID</sup>

Armenian National Agrarian University

[karen.gharakhanyan97@gmail.com](mailto:karen.gharakhanyan97@gmail.com), [galstyan.merujan@mail.ru](mailto:galstyan.merujan@mail.ru)

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

*biohumus,  
mineral fertilizers,  
Soil cultivation methods,  
timing of bentonite  
application,  
winter wheat*

## ABSTRACT

The article presents the results of a three-year study on the effects of different soil cultivation methods, equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus, and the timing of bentonite application on the yield and quality indicators of winter wheat. Based on field experiments and production trials, it was substantiated that in the conditions of non-irrigated agriculture in Hrazdan region of Kotayk Province, cultivating winter wheat by loosening the soil to a depth of 10-12 cm (instead of conventional plowing to 22-25 cm or zero-tillage) contributes to the regulation of soil aeration, water properties, and nutrient regime. As a result, crop yield increases, and quality indicators improve. At the same time, laboratory studies revealed that the autumn application of bentonite at a rate of 3 tons/ha, on the background of equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus, had a more favorable effect on the yield and grain quality of winter wheat grown in non-irrigated conditions compared to spring application of bentonite under the same background conditions.

## Introduction

The correct management of the mineral nutrition process for agricultural crops, including cereals, should be aimed at obtaining high and stable yields, improving product quality, as well as ensuring the reproduction of soil fertility and enhancing the ecological state of the environment (Maksyutova, 2017; Chiriță, et al., 2023).

Numerous studies by both local and foreign scientists have established that mineral and organic fertilizers have a beneficial effect on the yield and quality indicators of

cereal crops, particularly intensive varieties of winter wheat. These fertilizers contribute to improved soil fertility by providing essential nutrients, which in turn supports better plant growth, higher productivity, and superior grain quality (Bertic, et al., 2007; Piskaeva, et al., 2017; Litke, et al., 2018; Lachutta & Jankowski, 2024).

Some authors noted that mineral fertilizers can have a more effective impact on winter crops when they create the right balance of nutrients in the soil, which determines the yield (Lazursky & Lebedinskaya, 1969; Alnaass, et al., 2021). D.N. Pryanishnikov's studies revealed that the

effect of phosphorus fertilizers is largely dependent on the availability of other nutrients, especially easily hydrolyzed nitrogen, for cereals (Pryanishnikov, 1945).

V.G. Mineev, summarizing the results of scientists from various countries, pointed out that the level of nutrient absorption by winter wheat plants is highly dependent on the degree of availability of other nutrients. For example, in the absence or shortage of nitrogen, phosphorus, although accumulating in the plants, hardly contributes to the formation of organic matter. Potassium and phosphorus significantly promote nitrogen uptake by plants (Mineev, 2004; Mineev, et al., 2006).

According to I.A. Melnik, M.H. Galstyan, the average doses of mineral fertilizers tested on the Bezostaya 1 variety of winter wheat under different soil and climatic conditions resulted in yield increases of 5.5-16.0 c/ha or 20.0-42.0 %, and when combined with foliar feeding with micronutrients, the yield increase was 10.2-32.5 c/ha or 30.0-79.8 %. At the same time, these authors noted a positive correlation between fertilizer efficiency and nutrient availability in the soil: the fewer nutrients in the soil, the higher the fertilizer efficiency (Melnik, 1990; Galstyan, 2007; Galstyan, et al., 2024).

Spiryn and Tagirov highlight the importance of moisture-saving soil treatments and their impact on soil water-physical properties and wheat productivity (Spiryn, 2005; Tagirov, et al., 2015). Their findings emphasize the need for effective soil management practices to enhance water conservation and agricultural yields.

Bentonite plays a vital role in agriculture due to its distinctive properties. As a soil conditioner, it enhances soil structure by increasing its moisture retention capacity, which is particularly beneficial in dry regions and for crops requiring significant water, such as winter wheat (Hassan, 2018; Mi, et al., 2020). The chemical composition of bentonite is as follows: SiO<sub>2</sub> - 58.25 %, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> - 14.37 %, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> - 4.37 %, FeO - 0.50 %, TiO<sub>2</sub> - 0.36 %, CaO - 2.07 %, MgO - 3.62 %, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> - 0.18 %, S - 0.14 %, K<sub>2</sub>O - 1.2 0 %, Na<sub>2</sub>O - 2.25 %. The clay particles in bentonite aid in binding soil particles, leading to improved aeration. Additionally, bentonite's high cation exchange capacity allows it to retain essential nutrients, making them more readily available to plants. These combined advantages contribute to healthier soil conditions, promoting vigorous crop growth and boosting agricultural productivity (Zhou, et al., 2019; Kozlov, et al., 2023; Yomgirovna, 2023).

Since the privatization of land in Armenia (1991), the intensification of agriculture has been of particular importance in addressing strategic issues in the sector. This

can be achieved through the application and adoption of scientific advances, new technologies, and best practices. The high level of agricultural chemicalization during the Soviet era led to the emergence of several negative environmental phenomena (Hayrapetyan & Shirinyan, 2003; Melkonyan, et al., 2004).

The increase in anthropogenic impact on the natural environment raised serious issues in the development and use of alternative agricultural methods. These included increasing the use of organic fertilizers and natural mineral resources, as well as developing and applying new soil cultivation technologies. This concerns the gradual ecological transition in agriculture, where biohumus and the natural mineral bentonite play key roles as valuable soil improvers.

Despite the extensive research conducted by local and foreign scientists to determine the effectiveness of mineral and organic fertilizers in winter wheat fields, comprehensive studies on the effectiveness of equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus, combined with the timing of natural mineral meliorant bentonite application in the non-irrigated agricultural zone of Kotayk Province, especially under different soil cultivation methods, have not been conducted. This necessity prompted our studies, which are of great significance and relevance, aligned with the strategic requirements for food security and agricultural development in the Republic of Armenia.

## Materials and methods

The studies were conducted from 2021 to 2024 in the conditions of non-irrigated agriculture in the Fantan administrative area of Hrazdan region, Kotayk Province (Gharakhanyan, 2022; Gharakhanyan, 2023; Gharakhanyan & Galstyan, 2023). The field experiments, as well as production trials in 2023-2024, were carried out on typical leached black soils, characteristic of this region. These soils are used primarily for the cultivation of winter cereal crops (mainly winter wheat), with humus content in the plow layer ranging from 4.9 to 5.5 %, a nearly neutral pH (6.9-7.1), and nutrient levels over the years showing 2.94-4.30 mg of easily hydrolyzable nitrogen, 3.41-6.9 mg of mobile phosphorus, and 37.0-37.72 mg of exchangeable potassium per 100 grams of soil.

The research aimed to study the effects of different soil cultivation methods in the non-irrigated conditions of the region, as well as the impact of equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus, and the timing of bentonite application on winter wheat yield and grain quality indicators. The goal was to identify and justify

through production trials the best soil cultivation method and fertilization technology for practical agricultural use.

The field experiments were conducted with three replications each year, with each fertilization treatment covering an area of 50 m<sup>2</sup>. The production trials, without replications, tested the two best options, each over an area of 1000 m<sup>2</sup>. The field experiments were organized according to the following variants:

1. Control (no fertilization),
2.  $N_{60}P_{60}K_{60}$ ,
3. Biohumus 3.5 t/ha,
4.  $N_{60}P_{60}K_{60}$  + Bentonite 3 t/ha (autumn),
5. Biohumus 3.5 t/ha + Bentonite 3 t/ha (autumn),
6.  $N_{60}P_{60}K_{60}$  + Bentonite 3 t/ha (spring),
7. Biohumus 3.5 t/ha + Bentonite 3 t/ha (spring).

The specified variants of fertilizers and bentonite were applied under different soil cultivation methods: zero-tillage, soil loosening or disking (10-12 cm), and deep plowing (22-25 cm).

In all variants (except the control), the equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus were applied to the soil in the fall, before sowing, by harrowing. The bentonite (3.0 t/ha) in the mineral fertilizer and biohumus variants (4th and 5th) was applied in autumn, while in the 6th and 7th variants, it was applied in spring and incorporated into the soil by harrowing.

The agrochemical indicators of the soils were determined using standard methods presented in the methodological guide on agrochemical analysis edited by B.A. Yagodin (Yagodin, et al., 1989). The quality indicators of winter wheat grain were determined as follows: 1000-grain weight by the gravimetric method, bulk density using a one-liter purka, ash content by dry combustion, crude protein using the Kjeldahl method, and fiber using the Henneberg-Stohmann method.

The yield data were subjected to mathematical analysis, determining the experimental error ( $S_x$ , %) and the least significant difference ( $LSD_{0.95}$ , c/ha) according to Dospekhov (Dospekhov, 1973).

## Results and discussions

The results of the three-year field and laboratory studies

revealed that both the soil cultivation methods and the equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus, along with the timing of bentonite application at the same rate, had a significant impact on the yield and quality indicators of winter wheat.

The yield of winter wheat grain, averaged over three years, was 19.1 c/ha under the zero-tillage method, 19.5 c/ha under conventional plowing, and 23.1 c/ha when the soil was cultivated only by loosening. This represents an increase in yield of 20.9 % compared to zero-tillage and 18.5 % compared to conventional plowing.

This can be explained by the significant changes in the agrochemical and agrophysical properties of the soil, depending on the cultivation method. Organic matter and nutrients available to plants were distributed differently across the plow layer depths. Under conventional plowing, they were mostly concentrated at a depth of 20-30 cm, whereas under loosening and zero-tillage, they were concentrated at 0-10 cm. Regarding the nearly equal yields obtained under conventional plowing and zero-tillage methods, this is attributed not to differences in soil compaction or other agrophysical properties but rather to the level of frost damage to the plants grown under zero-tillage. In the zero-tillage method, the percentage of frost-damaged plants was 4.7 % higher than in conventional plowing. The number of plants per square meter lost due to frost damage was 131 in zero-tillage, 100 in conventional plowing, and 98 in loosening (Gharakhanyan, 2022).

In addition to this explanation, data from our studies on soil water permeability showed that, compared to conventional plowing, zero-tillage and especially loosening improved water permeability in both the plow layer and subsoil in winter wheat fields. The permeability in the plow layer exceeded conventional plowing by 0.42 mm/min or 10.8 %, and in the subsoil by 1.63 mm or 63.4 % (Gharakhanyan, 2024).

These factors undoubtedly contributed to the differences in yield of winter wheat grown using the loosening method compared to conventional plowing and zero-tillage. It is noteworthy that similar patterns were observed in all fertilization technology variants. In the case of zero-tillage, the grain yield of winter wheat either remained equal or increased slightly, with the difference averaging 1.3-5 c/ha over three years. However, with loosening and the appropriate technologies, the yield increase was as much as 10 c/ha (Table 1).

**Table 1.** The Impact of Different Soil Cultivation Methods, Equivalent Doses of Mineral Fertilizers and Biohumus, and the Timing of Bentonite Application on Winter Wheat Yield\*

| N | Variants                                                                       | No-tillage (0 cm)          |      |      |                            |                            |                      |       | Only loosening (10-12 cm)  |      |      |                            |                            |                      |       | Conventional plowing (22-25 cm) |      |      |                            |                            |                      |       |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------------|------|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------------------------------|------|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------|
|   |                                                                                | grain yield by year (c/ha) |      |      | average grain yield (c/ha) | average straw yield (c/ha) | grain yield increase |       | grain yield by year (c/ha) |      |      | average grain yield (c/ha) | average straw yield (c/ha) | grain yield increase |       | grain yield by year (c/ha)      |      |      | average grain yield (c/ha) | average straw yield (c/ha) | grain yield increase |       |
|   |                                                                                | 2022                       | 2023 | 2024 |                            |                            | c/ha                 | %     | 2022                       | 2023 | 2024 |                            |                            | c/ha                 | %     | 2022                            | 2023 | 2024 |                            |                            | c/ha                 | %     |
| 1 | Control (without fertilization)                                                | 20.6                       | 17.8 | 19.0 | 19.1                       | 37.0                       | -                    | -     | 23.6                       | 21.2 | 24.6 | 23.1                       | 41.1                       | -                    | -     | 20.2                            | 18.6 | 19.6 | 19.5                       | 38.0                       | -                    | -     |
| 2 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub>                                | 28.8                       | 25.2 | 24.8 | 26.3                       | 54.6                       | 7.2                  | 37.7  | 33.0                       | 29.0 | 28.9 | 30.3                       | 60.1                       | 7.2                  | 31.2  | 28.2                            | 27.8 | 27.2 | 27.7                       | 56.2                       | 8.2                  | 42.1  |
| 3 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha                                                             | 30.2                       | 26.6 | 25.7 | 27.5                       | 56.2                       | 8.4                  | 44.0  | 32.5                       | 29.1 | 30.0 | 30.5                       | 60.8                       | 7.4                  | 32.0  | 28.6                            | 27.8 | 28.0 | 28.1                       | 55.8                       | 8.6                  | 44.1  |
| 4 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + bentonite 3 t/ha (in Autumn) | 40.8                       | 35.6 | 37.2 | 37.9                       | 74.9                       | 18.8                 | 98.4  | 51.3                       | 46.3 | 47.3 | 48.3                       | 92.0                       | 25.2                 | 109.1 | 43.4                            | 43.8 | 44.0 | 43.7                       | 81.1                       | 24.2                 | 124.1 |
| 5 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3t/ha (in Autumn)                               | 44.8                       | 38.8 | 39.0 | 40.9                       | 79.5                       | 21.8                 | 114.1 | 54.9                       | 49.1 | 50.3 | 51.4                       | 98.0                       | 28.3                 | 122.5 | 45.2                            | 44.8 | 45.5 | 45.2                       | 84.0                       | 25.7                 | 131.8 |
| 6 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + bentonite 3t/ha (in Spring)  | 29.8                       | 26.2 | 27.0 | 27.7                       | 56.2                       | 8.6                  | 45.0  | 38.6                       | 34.2 | 36.6 | 36.5                       | 70.4                       | 13.4                 | 58.0  | 32.2                            | 32.0 | 33.3 | 32.5                       | 60.0                       | 13.0                 | 66.7  |
| 7 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3t/ha (in Spring)                               | 31.9                       | 26.1 | 25.9 | 28.0                       | 57.4                       | 8.9                  | 46.6  | 38.0                       | 35.6 | 37.4 | 37.0                       | 69.9                       | 13.9                 | 60.2  | 31.4                            | 32.4 | 31.3 | 31.7                       | 61.2                       | 12.2                 | 62.6  |

LSD<sub>05</sub> = 2.42 c

\*Composed by the authors.

As the data show, the equivalent doses of biohumus and mineral fertilizers had a similar effect on the increase in grain and straw yield of winter wheat under all three soil cultivation methods. However, when bentonite was applied at a rate of 3.0 t/ha in these conditions, the yield of winter wheat increased compared to the same background without bentonite.

Thus, when bentonite at a rate of 3.0 t/ha was applied in autumn on the background of mineral fertilizers (N<sub>60</sub>P<sub>60</sub>K<sub>60</sub>), the yield increase in grain compared to the same background was 11.6 c/ha (44.1 %) under zero-

tillage, 16.0 c/ha (58.7 %) under conventional plowing, and 18.0 c/ha (59.4 %) under the loosening method. On the background of biohumus (3.5 t/ha), the autumn application of bentonite at the same rate resulted in additional grain yields of 13.4 c/ha (zero-tillage), 17.1 c/ha (conventional plowing), and 20.9 c/ha (loosening) compared to the respective background.

At the same time, as shown in Table 1, although the spring application of bentonite at the same rate (3.0 t/ha) on the mentioned backgrounds also increased the yield, these increases were almost half of those obtained from autumn

applications across all soil cultivation methods. The significant differences in yield increases due to the timing of bentonite application in all soil cultivation methods are undoubtedly related to the duration of bentonite's presence in the soil and its beneficial impact on the soil's agrochemical and agrophysical properties.

In addition to increasing grain yield and improving the structural components of the crop, the impact of the fertilization technology on the quality indicators of food products is of great importance. According to scientific literature, the quality indicators of winter wheat grain vary depending on soil type, irrigation norms, and the level of nutrient availability. Various studies have shown that under the influence of mineral and organic fertilizers, the protein content in grain can range between 10-15 %, starch up to 60 %, ash content up to 2 %, and fiber up to 3.5 %. Fertilizers can also significantly affect both bulk density and the weight of 1000 grains (Agapie & Bostan, 2020; Lachutta & Jankowski, 2024).

Our laboratory studies showed that the different soil

cultivation methods (zero-tillage, conventional plowing, and loosening) had no significant impact on the 1000-grain weight, ash content, fiber content, or crude protein content of winter wheat (Tables 2.1, 2.2, 2.3). However, the equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus tested under different soil cultivation methods, along with the timing of bentonite application at the same rate, significantly improved the quality indicators of winter wheat grain.

For instance, in the variants without fertilization, the bulk density and 1000-grain weight of winter wheat grown under zero-tillage, loosening, and conventional plowing conditions (averaged over two years) were 783-790 g/L and 33.6-34.6 grams, respectively, while the ash, fiber, and crude protein contents were 1.73-1.80 %, 3.30-3.40 %, and 8.9-9.8 %, respectively. In the variants with mineral fertilizers, biohumus, and bentonite application, the bulk density of winter wheat grain increased by 10-49 g/L, the 1000-grain weight increased by 4.6-15 grams, ash and fiber contents decreased by 0.03-0.18% and 0.3-0.6 %, respectively, and crude protein increased by 0.7-2.1 %.

**Table 2.1** The Impact of Zero-Tillage Soil Cultivation, Equivalent Doses of Mineral Fertilizers and Biohumus, and the Timing of Bentonite Application on Several Quality Indicators of Winter Wheat Grain\*

| № | Options<br><br>Variants                                                       | 2022                  |                    |      |       |               | 2023                  |                    |      |       |               | two-year average      |                    |      |       |               |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|-------|---------------|
|   |                                                                               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %    |       |               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %    |       |               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %    |       |               |
|   |                                                                               |                       |                    | ash  | fiber | crude protein |                       |                    | ash  | fiber | crude protein |                       |                    | ash  | fiber | crude protein |
| 1 | Control (without fertilization)                                               | 34.0                  | 798                | 1.78 | 3.15  | 8.8           | 33.2                  | 768                | 1.82 | 3.35  | 9.0           | 33.6                  | 783                | 1.80 | 3.25  | 8.90          |
| 2 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub>                               | 37.5                  | 806                | 1.80 | 2.90  | 9.0           | 38.9                  | 794                | 1.74 | 2.90  | 10.2          | 38.2                  | 800                | 1.77 | 2.90  | 9.60          |
| 3 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha                                                            | 41.2                  | 812                | 1.70 | 2.88  | 9.2           | 38.8                  | 792                | 1.65 | 2.80  | 10.6          | 40.0                  | 802                | 1.68 | 2.84  | 9.90          |
| 4 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + bentonite 3t/ha (in Autumn) | 47.1                  | 825                | 1.80 | 2.75  | 9.4           | 45.3                  | 802                | 1.60 | 2.70  | 10.8          | 46.2                  | 814                | 1.70 | 2.73  | 10.10         |
| 5 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3t/ha (in Autumn)                              | 46.8                  | 829                | 1.65 | 2.80  | 10.0          | 47.2                  | 815                | 1.58 | 2.67  | 12.0          | 47.0                  | 822                | 1.62 | 2.74  | 11.00         |
| 6 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + bentonite 3t/ha (in Spring) | 40.8                  | 801                | 1.78 | 2.70  | 9.0           | 41.2                  | 784                | 1.70 | 2.82  | 11.2          | 41.0                  | 793                | 1.74 | 2.76  | 10.10         |
| 7 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3t/ha (in Spring)                              | 43.4                  | 809                | 1.88 | 3.00  | 9.2           | 40.6                  | 799                | 1.68 | 2.80  | 11.4          | 42.0                  | 804                | 1.78 | 2.90  | 10.30         |

\*Composed by the authors.

**Table 2.2** The Impact of Only Loosening Cultivation Method, Equivalent Doses of Mineral Fertilizers and Biohumus, and the Timing of Bentonite Application on Several Quality Indicators of Winter Wheat Grain\*

| № | Options<br>Variants                                                           | 2022                  |                    |      |       |               |                       | 2023               |      |       |               |                       |                    | two-year average |       |               |                       |                    |               |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|
|   |                                                                               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %    |       |               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %    |       |               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %                |       |               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | crude protein |
|   |                                                                               |                       |                    | ash  | fiber | crude protein |                       |                    | ash  | fiber | crude protein |                       |                    | ash              | fiber | crude protein |                       |                    |               |
| 1 | Control (without fertilization)                                               | 35.2                  | 795                | 1.70 | 3.20  | 9.60          | 34.0                  | 785                | 1.76 | 3.40  | 10.0          | 34.6                  | 790                | 1.73             | 3.30  | 9.80          |                       |                    |               |
| 2 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub>                               | 42.0                  | 829                | 1.65 | 3.00  | 10.00         | 38.4                  | 811                | 1.68 | 3.00  | 10.8          | 40.2                  | 820                | 1.67             | 3.00  | 10.40         |                       |                    |               |
| 3 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha                                                            | 41.9                  | 830                | 1.60 | 2.92  | 10.60         | 41.3                  | 818                | 1.64 | 2.90  | 11.2          | 41.6                  | 824                | 1.62             | 2.91  | 10.90         |                       |                    |               |
| 4 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + bentonite 3t/ha (in Autumn) | 47.3                  | 841                | 1.60 | 2.88  | 11.00         | 48.3                  | 813                | 1.62 | 2.96  | 11.2          | 47.8                  | 827                | 1.61             | 2.92  | 11.10         |                       |                    |               |
| 5 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3t/ha (in Autumn)                              | 48.7                  | 845                | 1.56 | 2.78  | 11.20         | 48.5                  | 819                | 1.62 | 2.80  | 11.6          | 48.6                  | 832                | 1.59             | 2.79  | 11.40         |                       |                    |               |
| 6 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + bentonite 3t/ha (in Spring) | 40.5                  | 832                | 1.70 | 2.90  | 10.48         | 42.3                  | 798                | 1.72 | 2.88  | 11.0          | 41.4                  | 815                | 1.71             | 2.89  | 10.74         |                       |                    |               |
| 7 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3t/ha (in Spring)                              | 41.3                  | 833                | 1.60 | 2.90  | 10.76         | 42.7                  | 796                | 1.62 | 2.94  | 11.2          | 42.0                  | 815                | 1.61             | 2.92  | 10.98         |                       |                    |               |

**Table 2.3** The Impact of Conventional plowing Soil Cultivation Method, Equivalent Doses of Mineral Fertilizers and Biohumus, and the Timing of Bentonite Application on Several Quality Indicators of Winter Wheat Grain\*

| № | Options<br>Variants                                                           | 2022                  |                    |      |       |               |                       | 2023               |      |       |               |                       |                    | two-year average |       |               |                       |                    |               |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|
|   |                                                                               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %    |       |               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %    |       |               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | %                |       |               | 1000-grain weight (g) | bulk density (g/l) | crude protein |
|   |                                                                               |                       |                    | ash  | fiber | crude protein |                       |                    | ash  | fiber | crude protein |                       |                    | ash              | fiber | crude protein |                       |                    |               |
| 1 | Control (without fertilization)                                               | 34.2                  | 792                | 1.70 | 3.30  | 10.0          | 33.8                  | 780                | 1.80 | 3.50  | 9.6           | 34.0                  | 786                | 1.75             | 3.4   | 9.8           |                       |                    |               |
| 2 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub>                               | 39.8                  | 822                | 1.60 | 3.00  | 10.9          | 38.6                  | 802                | 1.72 | 3.20  | 10.1          | 39.2                  | 812                | 1.66             | 3.1   | 10.5          |                       |                    |               |
| 3 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha                                                            | 40.7                  | 821                | 1.50 | 2.96  | 11.2          | 40.1                  | 803                | 1.66 | 3.00  | 10.4          | 40.4                  | 812                | 1.58             | 2.98  | 10.8          |                       |                    |               |
| 4 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + bentonite 3t/ha (in Autumn) | 46.2                  | 832                | 1.48 | 2.90  | 11.6          | 45.6                  | 810                | 1.54 | 2.86  | 10.6          | 45.9                  | 821                | 1.51             | 2.88  | 11.1          |                       |                    |               |
| 5 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3t/ha (in Autumn)                              | 46.7                  | 828                | 1.46 | 2.84  | 11.8          | 46.9                  | 812                | 1.48 | 2.86  | 11.0          | 46.8                  | 820                | 1.47             | 2.85  | 11.4          |                       |                    |               |
| 6 | N <sub>60</sub> P <sub>60</sub> K <sub>60</sub> + bentonite 3t/ha (in Spring) | 41.1                  | 805                | 1.60 | 2.98  | 11.0          | 41.3                  | 791                | 1.64 | 2.98  | 10.4          | 41.2                  | 798                | 1.62             | 2.98  | 10.7          |                       |                    |               |
| 7 | Bio-humus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3t/ha (in Spring)                              | 41.0                  | 806                | 1.58 | 2.92  | 11.3          | 41.8                  | 796                | 1.60 | 2.96  | 10.8          | 41.4                  | 801                | 1.59             | 2.94  | 11.05         |                       |                    |               |

\*Composed by the authors.



The patterns of the impact of fertilizers and bentonite have been generally preserved in both the loosening and conventional plowing soil cultivation methods (Tables 2.1 and 2.2).

It is known that the lower the ash and fiber content and the higher the crude protein in wheat grain, the better the quality indicators of the wheat (Khan, et al., 2010). The experimental results indicate that under arid conditions, the optimal doses of mineral fertilizers and equivalent amounts of biohumus, along with the autumn application of bentonite at a rate of 3.0 t/ha, positively influenced the growth, development, yield, and quality of winter wheat.

The best variants from our field experiments were  $N_{60}P_{60}K_{60}$  + bentonite 3 t/ha and biohumus 3.5 t/ha + bentonite 3 t/ha, where all fertilizers and bentonite were applied in autumn during the loosening soil cultivation method. These were also tested in production trials over 1000 m<sup>2</sup> for each variant.

According to the results of the production trials, the variant with mineral fertilizers and bentonite produced 482 kg of wheat and 770 kg of straw per 1000 m<sup>2</sup>, equivalent to 48.2 c/ha of grain and 7.7 tons of straw per hectare. The variant with biohumus and bentonite yielded 497 kg of wheat and 790 kg of straw, which corresponds to 49.7 c/ha of grain and 7.9 tons of straw per hectare.

## Conclusion

Summarizing the results of the three-year field experiments and one-year production trials, as well as the laboratory research conducted, the following conclusions and recommendations can be made:

Among the three tested soil cultivation methods for winter wheat in the non-irrigated conditions of the Fantan administrative area of Hrazdan region in Kotayk Province, the most effective method is soil loosening (to a depth of 10-12 cm). Compared to conventional plowing and zero-tillage, this method increased yield, with the yield increase amounting to 18.5 % and 20.9 %, respectively.

Under all soil cultivation methods, the equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus had a similar impact on the yield and quality indicators of winter wheat. However, the highest results were recorded with soil loosening, which provided a grain yield increase of 3.1-4.1 c/ha compared to deep plowing and zero-tillage, as observed in the variants without fertilization.

The autumn application of bentonite, alongside equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus, proved more

beneficial for the yield and quality of winter wheat than the same rate applied in spring.

The results of the field studies, as well as the effective soil cultivation method (tilling only by loosening to a depth of 10-12 cm) and the application of equivalent doses of mineral fertilizers and biohumus, showed that the use of bentonite at a rate of 3.0 t/ha in the autumn, based on production trial results, achieved winter wheat grain yields of 48.2 and 49.7 c/ha, respectively. These findings have been recommended for widespread implementation and adoption in Kotayk Province and similar soil-climatic conditions (in non-irrigated agricultural settings) as resource-saving and environmentally friendly technologies.

## References

1. Agapie, A., Bostan, C. (2020) The influence of mineral fertilization on the quality of winter wheat. *Life Science And Sustainable Development*. Vol. 1, No. 2, - pp. 45–50.
2. Alnaass, N., Agil, H., Ibrahim, H. (2021) Use of fertilizers or importance of fertilizers in agriculture // *International Journal of Advanced Academic Studies*. 2021. Vol. 3, no. 2, - pp. 52–57. <https://doi.org/10.33545/27068919.2021.v3.i2a.770>.
3. Bertic, B., Loncaric, Z., Vukadinovic, V., Vukobratovic, Z. & Vukadinovic, V. (2007). Winter wheat yield responses to mineral fertilization. *Cereal Research Communications*, 35(2), 245–248. <https://doi.org/10.1556/CRC.35.2007.2.20>.
4. Chiriță, S., Rusu, T., Urdă, C., Chețan, F., & Racz, I. (2023). Winter wheat yield and quality depending on chemical fertilization, different treatments, and tillage systems. *AgroLife Scientific Journal*, 12(1), 34–39. <https://doi.org/10.17930/AGL202315>.
5. Dospekhov, B.A. *Methodology of Field Experiments*; Kolos: Moscow, 1973 (in Russian).
6. Galstyan, M. (2007). Efficiency of fertilizing winter wheat and potatoes in the conditions of the Sevan Basin. *Limush*, 156 (in Armenian).
7. Galstyan, M., Matevosyan, L., Zadayan, M., Ghukasyan, A., Harutyunyan, S., Sargsyan, K., & Osipova, R. (2024). Assessment of the impact of micro fertilizers on winter wheat and winter barley crops under the Sevan Basin conditions. *Bioactive Compounds in Health and Disease*, 7(4), 199–210. <https://doi.org/10.31989/bchd.v7i4.1292>.

8. Gharakhanyan, K.A. (2022). The influence of various soil cultivation methods and soil conditioners on winter wheat winter hardiness and yield structure elements. *Biological Journal of the Armenian Academy of Sciences*, 74(4), 29–38 (in Armenian). <https://doi.org/10.54503/0366-5119-2022.74.4-29>.
9. Gharakhanyan, K.A. (2023). The influence of various soil cultivation methods and soil conditioners on winter wheat growth, development, phenological phases, and yield structure elements. *Biological Journal of the Armenian Academy of Sciences*, 75(2–3), 198–205 (in Armenian). <https://doi.org/10.52276/25792822-2023.4-340>.
10. Gharakhanyan, K.A. & Galstyan, M.H. (2023). The effect of various tillage methods and meliorants application against their background on the dynamics of macronutrients accumulation in winter wheat plants and their output via crop yield. *Agricultural Science and Technology*, 4(84), 340–346. <https://doi.org/10.54503/0366-5119-2023.75.2-3-198>.
11. Gharakhanyan, K., Galstyan, M. (2024). The effect of different soil tillage methods and application of soil improvers on the soil agrophysical and agrochemical properties. *Norwegian Journal of Development of the International Science*. No. 139, - pp. 4–9. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13505938>.
12. Hassan, W. (2018). Preparation and properties of urea slow-release coated with potassium humate, bentonite, and polyacrylamide as compositely fertilizer which reflected on the productivity of wheat crop. *Journal of Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering*, 9(11), 627–635. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jssae.2018.36477>.
13. Hayrapetyan, E.M., & Shirinian, A.V. (2003). *Agroecology. Textbook for Yerevan State Agricultural University students*. Yerevan, 408 (in Armenian).
14. Khan, M., Anjum, F., Hussain, S., Nadeem, M. (2010). A Review of Wheat Quality Assessment. *International Journal of BioSciences, Agriculture and Technology*. Vol. 2, No. 2, - pp.12–20.
15. Kozlov, A.V., Kulikova, A.H., & Uromova, I.P. (2023). Influence of diatomite, zeolite, and bentonite clay on agrochemical indicators of sod-podzolic soil and yield of agricultural crops. *Agrochemistry*, (12), 22–30. (in Russian). <https://doi.org/10.19047/0136-1694-2019-96-86-112>.
16. Lachutta, K., & Jankowski, K.J. (2024). The quality of winter wheat grain by different sowing strategies and nitrogen fertilizer rates: A case study in northeastern Poland. *Agriculture*, 14(4), 552. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture14040552>.
17. Lazursky, A.V., & Lebedinskaya, V.N. (1969). Timing and methods of applying mineral fertilizers for winter wheat in the forest-steppe zone of Ukraine. *Agrochemistry*, (10), - pp. 45–49.
18. Litke, L., Gaile, Z., & Ruža, A. (2018). Effect of nitrogen fertilization on winter wheat yield and yield quality. *Agronomy Research*, 16(2), 589–599. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22616/rrd.23.2017.049>.
19. Maksyutova, O. (2017). Functional minerals. *Chemical Journal of the World Market*, (8), 20–25.
20. Melkonyan, K.G., Ghazaryan, H.Gh., & Manukyan, R.R. (2004). The current ecological status of agricultural lands, the level of land use, improvement of management level, and ways to increase efficiency in the Republic of Armenia. *Scientific Center of Soil Science, Agrochemistry, and Melioration*, 56 (in Armenian).
21. Melnik, I.A. (1990). Earthworms in the service of agriculture. *Agricultural Biology, Series Biology of Plants*, (5), - pp. 162–164.
22. Mi, J., Gregorich, E.G., Xu, S., McLaughlin, N.B., & Liu, J. (2020). Effect of bentonite as a soil amendment on field water-holding capacity, and millet photosynthesis and grain quality. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 18282. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75350-9>.
23. Mineev, V.G. (2004). *Agrochemistry*. Kolos, 719 (in Russian).
24. Mineev, V.G., Gomonova, N.F., & Amelyanchik, O.A. (2006). Changes in the properties of soddy-podzolic soil with long-term comprehensive use of agrochemical means. *Annals of Agrarian Science*, (4), - pp. 17–21.
25. Piskaeva, A.I., Babich, O.O., Dolganyuk, V.F., & Garmashov, S.Y. (2017). Analysis of the influence of biohumus on the basis of a consortium of effective microorganisms on the productivity of winter wheat. *Foods and Raw Materials*, 5(1), - pp. 90–99.
26. Pryanishnikov, D.N. (1945). *Nitrogen in the Life of Plants and Agriculture of the USSR*. Moscow: Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, - pp. 134–171.
27. Spiryn, A.P. (2005). Moisture-saving soil treatment. *Zemledelie* (2), - pp. 18–20.

28. Tagirov, M.Sh., Shakirov, R.S., & Gilaev, I.G. (2015). Influence of basic treatment methods on the water-physical indicators of soil and productivity of spring wheat. *Zemledelie*, (8), - pp. 20–21 (in Russian).
29. Yagodin, B.A., Smirnov, P.M., Petersburgsky, A.V., Kudin, V.V., Sliptsik, A.V., Kuzukin, A.N., & Sablin, S.M. (1989). *Agrochemistry* (2nd ed., B.A. Yagodin, Ed.). Agropromizdat (in Russian).
30. Yomgirova, R.G. (2023). Scientific aspects and efficacy of bentonite use in agriculture. *European Journal of Modern Medical Practice*, 3(11), - pp. 116–120.
31. Zhou, L., Monreal, C.M., Xu, S., McLaughlin, N.B., Zhang, H., Hao, G. (2019). Effect of bentonite-humic acid application on the improvement of soil structure and maize yield in a sandy soil of a semi-arid region. *Geoderma*, 338, 269–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.12.014>.

---

**Declarations of interest**

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

---

*Received on 10.10.2024*

*Revised on 28.10.2024*

*Accepted on 30.12.2024*



UDC 631.11«324»:632(479.25)

doi: 10.52276/25792822-2024.4-317

# Monitoring the Onset of Phenological Phases “Stem Elongation” and “Heading” of Winter Wheat in the Republic of Armenia Using Remote Sensing Methods

Igor Sereda 

Center for Ecological-Noosphere Studies, NAS RA

[igor.sereda@cens.am](mailto:igor.sereda@cens.am)

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

disease monitoring,  
phytopathogens,  
precision agriculture,  
remote sensing,  
winter wheat

## ABSTRACT

Winter wheat constitutes a significant share of Armenia's grain production, but can be severely affected by the spread of fungal diseases. According to recent scientific studies, methods based on the dynamics of spectral signatures of crops allow for the timely detection of early signs of crop diseases, prevention of epidemiological threats, and minimization of economic losses. The paper addresses the problem of determining optimal monitoring periods for phytopathogens across the republic of Armenia using these methods. The study covers various regions of Armenia, taking into account their climatic and agronomic characteristics, as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), weather conditions and digital elevation model. The data show potential for determining the timing of key phenological stages in winter wheat development, which is essential for this type of monitoring. Cartographic materials have been created to indicate recommended start dates for monitoring, based on average data from 2018-2024. The paper also outlines necessary steps to improve the outcomes of the proposed methodology, as well as key actions for implementing this approach in practice.

## Introduction

Despite the high significance of orchards, vineyards, and forage crops (approximately 18 % and 24 % of agricultural land, respectively), winter wheat remains one of the leading agricultural crops in Armenia, representing a significant part of grain production. In 2022, statistical data show that grain crops covered more than 44 % of Armenia's sown

areas, with over half dedicated to winter wheat (Statistical Committee of the Republic of Armenia).

This study aims to determine the phenological stages of crop development using remote sensing methods and to map these timings for the territory of Armenia. The core idea is that the phenological stages of crop development can be determined based on the seasonal trends in NDVI,

temperature, and precipitation (Longchamps & Philpot, 2023; Li, et al., 2023; Bhatti, et al., 2024).

One of the most striking examples may be the early diagnosis of cereal crop diseases. Armenia's climate promotes the development of certain phytopathogens affecting grain crops, such as yellow and brown rusts, powdery mildew, smut diseases, and root rot. Each of these diseases has its own set of risk factors and requires integrated plant protection approaches, including the use of resistant crop varieties and fungicide treatments.

Recent scientific studies have consistently shown a stable correlation between pathogen infections in cultivated plants and changes in their spectral signature dynamics, confirmed through extensive field and laboratory research (Lin-Sheng, et al., 2015; Wang, et al., 2015; Wang, et al., 2016; Jung, et al., 2021). These approaches describe that the most significant period for the early detection of winter wheat diseases is the stage between stem elongation and heading, when fungal plant diseases actively develop (Kremneva, et al., 2022; Sereda, et al., 2023; Danilov, et al., 2024). Therefore, this study focuses on determining the timing of these phenological development stages.

To implement this technology effectively, sensing of agricultural fields is necessary during the significant phenological stages of pathogen development on regular, ideally daily basis (Zhang, et al., 2012; Mahlein, et al., 2019; Bohnenkamp, et al., 2019; Rieker, et al., 2023), especially when plant diseases are in the incubation phase. During this period, visual symptoms are not yet visible to the naked eye but can already be detected through spectral analysis. For wheat, this corresponds to the stem elongation to heading stages. Relying solely on satellite data for this imaging frequency is challenging, mainly due to potential cloud cover. Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) equipped with multi- and hyperspectral cameras offer a viable solution to this limitation.

The hypothesis of this study is that this approach will facilitate the scheduling and organization of phytopathological monitoring using multi-temporal spectral measurements of crops.

Through disease monitoring of crops using remote sensing data, it is possible to localize pathogen hotspots at both the regional (field group) and local (individual plot) levels. This technology shows promise in precision agriculture, as timely disease management can reduce economic losses, enhance product quality, lower the risk of large-scale epidemics, and contribute to efficient resource use and ecosystem sustainability.

The complexity of this work for the territory of Armenia is associated with additional challenges, as the country has diverse climatic zones and significant altitude variation. Different regions have varying soil types and microclimatic characteristics. Further complexity is added by the heterogeneity of agrotechnical practices and the limited availability of data.

## Materials and methods

As the initiation of phytopathological monitoring for winter wheat using the dynamics of crop spectral imagery dynamics aligns with the onset of the BBCH-40 phenological stage (Stem Elongation), it was essential to determine the multi-year average date for this stage across regions of Armenia.

According to the methodology presented in the reference literature "Agroclimatic Resources of the Armenian SSR" (Kalantarova, et al., 1976), in order to determine the phenological stages of winter wheat while adhering to the sowing recommendations for the crop, information on the growing elevations, as well as the temperature and precipitation during the season, is required. The methodology includes a series of reference tables that outline the following necessary steps:

1. Determine the elevation at which the crop is grown separately for the Northeastern regions (Lori, Tavush) and the internal regions. For each elevation, the multi-year average date of the onset of each phenophase is provided in the case of 100% provision of heat and moisture for the crops.
2. Determine the percentage of moisture provision for the crops. If the moisture provision was below the required level, adjust the dates of phenophase onset for this period according to the tables.
3. Determine the percentage of active temperature provision for the crops. If the heat provision was below the required level, adjust the dates of phenophase onset for this period according to the tables.

Since the sowing and resumption of vegetation dates for all winter wheat crops across Armenia are unknown, applying this methodology sequentially to each phenophase is not feasible for this study. However, we hypothesize that the heading stage corresponds to the peak NDVI value, as confirmed by numerous studies (Dížková, et al., 2022; Zhao, et al., 2021; Zhao, et al., 2022). In the current study, we further validate this hypothesis.



Furthermore, since “stem elongation” and “heading” are consecutive phenophases, validating the methodology requires only one reverse calculation: determining the duration of the “stem elongation” phase by counting backward from the heading stage. This will provide the recommended start date for monitoring to assess the pathogenic background of the crops.

### Study Area


The current administrative division of Armenia into 10 marzes was considered too broad for this study's purposes. Therefore, Armenia was divided into 39 parts corresponding to the 39 districts (hamaynks) that existed in Armenia prior to the 1995 administrative reform. This division was chosen for several reasons: the datasets used in the research offer sufficient detail for this level of subdivision, and it aligns conveniently with historical maps and reference data from 40–50 years ago.

Figure 3 illustrates the contribution of administrative districts to grain production, based on the “Atlas of

Agriculture of the Armenian SSR” (Bogatova, 1984) and on modern statistical data (Statistical Committee of the Republic of Armenia). Modern statistics are collected at the marz level (10 regions), so a full comparison of this indicator is difficult.

In 2024, for the plots near the village of Nerkin Sasnashen (Talin municipality of the Aragatsotn region), the author conducted field studies on spring wheat from April 26 (BBCH 29, maximum tillering) to June 18 (BBCH 68, beginning of wax ripeness) (Fig. 1). These studies included multispectral and thermal imaging using UAVs and ground observations.

It was initially assumed that this site would allow for the testing of an early disease detection method based on remote sensing data. However, due to significant contamination of the crops by weeds, this was challenging. Nevertheless, actual field data were collected, data on the timing of key phenological stages of development according to the BBCH scale (Meier, 2001) for spectral pathogen monitoring (Table 1).



**Figure 1.** Research on spring wheat plots near Nerkin Sasnashen: A – Location of the plots within Armenia; B – Local positioning of the plots; C – Seasonal NDVI trend for 2024 based on Planet Scope data; D – NDVI ratio on 05.20 compared to 04.26.2024 derived from multispectral UAV imaging (composed by the author).



**Table 1.** Dates of phenological stages of spring wheat development for the fields near Nerkin Sasnashen in 2024\*

| Date  | Phenophase                                            | BBCH Code |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 04.26 | Maximum tillering, stem elongation                    | 29-31     |
| 05.16 | Stem elongation, flag leaf                            | 35-39     |
| 05.20 | Heading, beginning of spike emergence from the sheath | 41-44     |
| 05.23 | Heading, full spike emergence                         | 45-49     |
| 05.27 | Flowering                                             | 51-59     |
| 06.03 | Grain filling, beginning of milk ripeness             | 61-63     |
| 06.10 | End of milk ripeness                                  | 64-68     |
| 06.18 | Beginning of wax ripeness                             | 69-73     |

\*Composed by the author.

#### Data Sources

Various sources of information were used in this study. Some of the data were obtained using the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform, a cloud-based tool for analyzing geospatial data with capabilities for accessing and processing remote sensing data and their products (Google Earth Engine, n.d.).


**Agricultural lands of the Republic of Armenia:** This represents a vector layer of areas where agricultural activities are conducted. It was obtained from the ESA WorldCover 10m v100 dataset, provided by the European Space Agency (ESA) (Zanaga, et al., 2021). The dataset classifies the Earth's surface into 11 categories at a 10-meter spatial resolution, including a “cropland” class. Using the GEE platform, the dataset was clipped to Armenia's borders, and the “cropland” class was extracted as a binary mask and then vectorized (Fig. 2).

**Seasonal NDVI Dynamics:** NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) data (Rouse, et al., 1974) for plots near the village of Nerkin Sasnashen were derived from PlanetScope data (SuperDOVEs satellites, PSB.SD imaging system). Surface Reflectance level images were acquired for the plot areas on the following dates: 01.04.2024, 12.04.2024, 22.04.2024, 01.05.2024, 18.05.2024, 29.05.2024, 13.06.2024, 16.06.2024, 21.06.2024, 26.06.2024, and 04.07.2024. For the entire territory of Armenia, NDVI data were sourced from

Sentinel-2 (MSI) satellites via the GEE platform, covering the period from 01.09.2017 to 31.12.2023. This period was selected due to the availability of images with the necessary sensing frequency.

**Weather Data:** This dataset includes historical daily average air temperatures and daily precipitation totals from 01.09.2017 to 31.12.2023 (consistent with the NDVI data). These data were obtained using the GEE platform based on the ERA-5 atmospheric and surface reanalysis developed by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), combining meteorological observations, satellite data, and numerical modeling methods. The data were obtained separately for each district (Herbach, et al., 2020) (Figure 2).

**Relief Data:** This dataset includes absolute elevation values and slope angles, sourced from the GEE platform using ALOS World 3D (AW3D30) data, a global elevation dataset provided by the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA, 2019). For each district, statistical values of elevation and slope angles were calculated for agricultural land polygons.



**Figure 2.** Agricultural lands of Armenia based on ESA WorldCover 10m v100 data, classified according to the seasonal dynamics of temperature, precipitation, and NDVI (classes A, B, C, D, E, F). Seasonal trends of these indicators from 2017 to 2023 are shown for several regions (composed by the author).

**Historical data:** These data are represented by the following maps: “Average Dates of Termination and Resumption of Vegetation in Winter Wheat,” “Average Data on Heading and Wax Ripeness of Winter Wheat,” “Average Dates of Heading and Wax Ripeness of Spring Wheat” from the “Atlas of Agriculture of the Armenian SSR” (Bogatova, 1984). Additionally, reference information was drawn from the book “Agroclimatic Resources of the Armenian SSR” (Kalantarova, et al., 1976).

### *Data Processing*

For the plots near the village of Nerkin Sasnashen, UAV imaging data were processed, although the results are used indirectly in this study. From these data NDVI and simple ratio images for different dates, were obtained (Fig. 1D), to evaluate the potential for early detection of pathogenic contamination using spectral data. Zonal statistics for these plots were calculated from PlanetScope data using a Python script and the GDAL library (Open Source Geospatial Foundation). Based on these data, a seasonal NDVI trend graph was created for each plot (Fig. 1C).

Data collection for the Republic of Armenia was conducted using the agricultural land layer derived from ESA WorldCover 10m v100 data. For each dataset, including terrain, meteorological data, and NDVI, information was collected within this vector layer for each of the 39 studied regions. This ensured that the necessary data were collected exclusively within agricultural areas. For instance, in mountainous regions, only data from valleys where agricultural land is located were included.

NDVI values were calculated from Sentinel-2 satellite images. To ensure a complete data series, the resulting values were processed using the Savitzky-Golay filter (Savitzky and Golay, 1964) and recalculated for each date within the specified time period, even on days without available images. Daily available meteorological data, required no additional recalculation. Elevation data are static, so statistical values were calculated for each region, with arithmetic mean values.

All collected data were aggregated within the studied regions. The timing of the heading phenophase for winter wheat was identified by the peak NDVI value, while the onset of the stem elongation phenophase was established using reference data, following the previously described methodology (Kalantarova, et al., 1976).

### **Results and discussions**

In the current work on the spring wheat plots near Nerkin Sasnashen, an attempt was made to apply an early disease diagnosis method for winter wheat based on the dynamics of remote sensing spectral data. However, data processing revealed a high degree of field heterogeneity, largely due to extensive weed growth and soil variability. These factors had a significantly stronger impact on the spectral level of the crops, making it difficult to identify zones with increased pathogenic contamination (Fig. 1D).

Despite these challenges, phenological field observations (Table 1) and the seasonal NDVI trend (Fig. 1C) enabled the establishment of a correlation between these indicators. For spring wheat, maximum NDVI values were found to correspond to the end of heading and the beginning of flowering (BBCH 58-60). The observed time interval between stem elongation and the vegetation peak was approximately 30 days (27 days based on observations). According to reference data (Kalantarova, et al., 1976), this corresponds to the average duration of the phenophases from stem elongation to heading for both spring and winter wheat (24-40 days).

Although spring and winter wheat are different crops, they share similar plant architecture, phenophase timing after tillering, and spectral image dynamics. However, winter wheat reaches its NDVI peak several weeks earlier (typically around 3 weeks) than spring wheat, and the NDVI peak in most of the studied regions corresponds to winter crops.

To determine the timing of phenological development phases, the previously mentioned cartographic, meteorological, reference materials, and remote sensing data were utilized. Historical data facilitated the creation of maps showing the onset of phenological stages for winter wheat based on multi-year averages from 1976–1980. The vector layer boundaries of agricultural lands of the Republic of Armenia were used as areas of interest for data extraction. NDVI data enabled the determination of the estimated phenological phase “heading” for winter cereal crops. Data on topography, temperature, and precipitation were used to calculate the duration of the phenological stage “stem elongation” for various regions. Together, these datasets provided insights into how the territory of Armenia can be zoned according to the seasonal dynamics of these indicators.

Among the regions with the highest share of winter wheat crops, three main groups can be identified: the Western

districts (Akhuryan, Artik, Ani, Talin), the Vardenis district, and the Goris and Sisian districts. In terms of elevation, four main regions stand out: the Ararat Valley (Ararat and Armavir), Tavush, the Southeastern regions (Gegharkunik, Vayots Dzor, Syunik), and the Northwestern regions (Shirak, Lori, Kotayk, Aragatsotn). Based on climate and NDVI, 5-6 regions can be identified: the Ararat Valley (Ararat, Artashat, Masis, Vagharshapat, Armavir), the Western regions (Akhuryan, Spitak, Aragats, Artik, Ani, Talin), the southern shore of Lake Sevan (Vardenis, Martuni, Gavar), Syunik (Sisian, Goris), Tavush (Noyemberyan, Shdjev, Tavush), and a number of central and northwestern districts, where climate and NDVI indicators are intermediate (Aparan, Hrazdan,

Sevan, Nairi, Kotayk, Tashir, Stepanavan, Tumanyan). This zoning can be considered the main one, as it is more detailed and also includes larger zoning categories based on elevation and the main zones of winter wheat cultivation (Fig. 2).

The results of the assessment for the start date of monitoring to identify an increased pathogenic contamination in winter wheat are presented in maps (Fig. 4). The map showing the timing of the “stem elongation” phenological stage (Fig. 4A) displays the estimated start dates of monitoring calculated in this study. The map showing the number of days between the start dates of “stem elongation” based on data from 2018-2023 and 1976-1980 (Fig. 4B) is also presented.


It can be observed that the discrepancies between the calculations and the historical data (Bogatova, 1984) in most regions of Armenia do not exceed 10 days. The model takes into account the specific features of the territory. For instance, in the mountainous Aragats region, the onset of the targeted phenophase occurs significantly later than in the surrounding regions but no significant discrepancies with historical data are observed.

The largest discrepancies with historical data are found in the Ararat Valley (regions of Baghramyan, Armavir, Vagharshapat, Masis, Artashat, Ararat). Noticeable differences are also present in certain individual regions (Ashotsk, Dilijan, Ijevan, Vayq, Sisian, Meghri).


The NDVI dynamics show that in the Ararat Valley, the seasonal maximum of this index occurs in July-August. It can be concluded that grain crops are not sufficiently represented in these regions. This can be confirmed by looking at the maps of the share of administrative districts in grain production (Fig. 3). Similar factors likely account for the discrepancies observed in Ashotsk, Dilijan, Ijevan, Vayq, and Meghri. We do not have statistical data on the areas of winter wheat cultivation in Sisian, but it can be assumed that the crop rotation structure in this region may have significantly changed over the 40 years since the release of the historical map.

It is important to note that this study used generalized and aggregated data on agricultural lands. Consequently, the spectral signatures of the vegetation were influenced not only by winter cereals but also by row crops, orchards, vineyards, forage grasses, and other types of vegetation.

Even with these factors considered, the method for calculating the “stem elongation” phenological stage (BBCH-40) for winter wheat proves effective and correlates well with the characteristics of Armenia’s environmental territorial systems. The presence of regions where this method shows poor results only confirms its



**Figure 3.** Share of administrative districts in grain production (in percentages) (composed by the author).



**Figure 4.** Results of determining the dates of the phenological stage “Stem Elongation” (BBCH 40) for the regions of Armenia: A – Start dates of the phenophase based on data from 2018-2023; B – Difference in days between the start dates of the phenophase based on data from 2018-2023 and the reference data from 1976-1980. (composed by the author).

reliability, as it assumes that certain conditions must be met for its proper operation (a high proportion of plots with winter crops). Nonetheless, it is clear that this method can and should be improved.

To implement this approach in practice, it is essential to account for the specifics of the current season, which often differ from multi-year averages. Additionally, the method for accurately determining the NDVI peak date may need adjustments. Possible solutions include calculating phenophase timings sequentially from the time of crop emergence, using multi-year climate data that are continuously refined based on current weather conditions, or dynamically updating the NDVI peak date in response to real-time weather conditions. Several studies address this issue (Dížková, et al., 2022; Zhao, et al., 2021; Zhao, et al., 2022).

It is also necessary to consider the NDVI of winter crops separately from all other crops. For the method of early disease detection based on spectral image dynamics to be applied effectively to spring cereals, it is equally important to differentiate between spring cereals and other crop types. Numerous scientific advancements in this area have already been successfully implemented in practice (Bartalev, et al., 2011; Vorobyeva, et al., 2016).

However, determining the specific composition of crops on agricultural lands through remote sensing methods is not always feasible in real time, particularly for distinguishing spring crops. Therefore, crop rotation characteristics can be more accurately tracked by using a geoinformation system (GIS) for agricultural land monitoring, where details about cultivated crops are entered in advance, taking into

account annual changes in cultivated areas. Even without such a system, similar monitoring can still be conducted on a case-by-case basis for interested organizations and entrepreneurs, provided they supply data on field locations, crop types, and sowing dates for the current season.


As possible recommendations for conducting phytosanitary monitoring to detect winter wheat diseases early using remote sensing methods, the following sequence of actions can be proposed. This can be schematically represented as a data flow diagram (Fig. 5). The main analytical operations in this diagram are:

Establishing whether the weather conditions of the current season are favourable for the emergence of certain pathogens. This is done based on phytopathological reference materials using weather data.

#### *Determining the timing of the monitoring*

Identifying zones with an increased pathogenic contamination. During active spectrometry, it is recommended to use imaging systems with the necessary spectral resolution, placed on UAVs, as this provides the most comprehensive coverage of the area without the problems associated with satellite imaging (low frequency, cloud cover).

The spectrometry results are expected to yield maps highlighting zones of increased pathogenic contamination, which will require additional field validation studies. It's also important to emphasize that implementing this approach necessitates the involvement of both remote sensing specialists and agronomists.



**Figure 5.** Proposed data flow diagram for monitoring cereal crop diseases (composed by the author).



Agronomists' expertise is crucial at each stage, as they play an integral role in interpreting data and ensuring the practical application of findings. Their expertise is essential at each stage, and the outcome of the work is the creation of field management solutions for which they are responsible.

## Conclusion

This study has demonstrated the possibility of indicating the phenological stages for winter wheat in the various regions of the Republic of Armenia using remote sensing methods and, thus, it can be implemented as a model for the phytopathological monitoring.

This was demonstrated using a set of multi-temporal data from 2018 to 2024. Regional variations in the monitoring timeline results underscored the need for local adaptation of the methods, taking into account each region's specific climatic and agronomic characteristics. Recommendations for refining the proposed methodology were provided, along with a suggested implementation procedure.

The proposed approach has the potential to become a valuable tool in precision agriculture, enabling reduced crop losses and enhancing the resilience of Armenia's agricultural systems to phytopathogens. However, further efforts are needed to optimize the methodology and integrate remote sensing data with agricultural land monitoring geoinformation systems for its successful application.

## References

1. Bartalev, S. A., Egorov, V. A., Lupyan, E. A., Plotnikov, D. E., & Uvarov, I. A. (2011). Recognition of arable lands based on long-term satellite data from MODIS spectroradiometer and locally adaptive classification. *Computer Optics*, 35(1), -pp. 103–116.
2. Bhatti, M.T., Gilani, H., Ashraf, M., Iqbal, M. S., & Munir, S. (2024). Field validation of NDVI to identify crop phenological signatures. *Precision Agriculture*, 24(7), - pp. 892–903. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-024-10165-6>.
3. Bohnenkamp, D., Kuska, M.T., Mahlein, A.K., & Behmann, J. (2019). Hyperspectral signal decomposition and symptom detection of wheat rust disease at the leaf scale using pure fungal spore spectra as reference. *Plant Pathology*, 68, - pp. 1188–1195. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13020>.
4. Bogatova, G.A. (Ed.). (1984). *Atlas sel'skogo khozyaistva Armyanskoy SSR* [Atlas of Agriculture of the Armenian SSR]. Moscow-Yerevan: GUGK.
5. Danilov, R., Kremneva, O., Sereda, I., Gasiyan, K., Zimin, M., Istomin, D., & Pachkin, A. (2024). Study of the spectral characteristics of crops of winter wheat varieties infected with pathogens of leaf diseases. *Plants*, 13(14), 1892 p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants13141892>.
6. Dížková, P., Bartošová, L., Bláhová, M., Balek, J., Hájková, L., Semerádová, D., Bohuslav, J., Pohanková, E., Žalud, Z., & Trnka, M. (2022). Modeling phenological phases of winter wheat based on temperature and the start of the growing season. *Atmosphere*, 13(11), 1854. p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13111854>.
7. Google Earth Engine. (n.d.). Google Earth Engine: A planetary-scale platform for Earth science data & analysis. Retrieved from <https://earthengine.google.com/> (accessed on 2 October 2024).
8. Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., et al. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146, - pp. 1999–2049. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>.
9. JAXA. (2019). ALOS Global Digital Surface Model “ALOS World 3D - 30m” (AW3D30) dataset. Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA). Retrieved from <https://www.eorc.jaxa.jp/ALOS/en/aw3d30/> (accessed on 2 October 2024).
10. Jung, J.G., Song, K.E., Hong, S.H., & Shim, S.I. (2021). Hyperspectral characteristics of an individual leaf of wheat grown under nitrogen gradient. *Plants*, 10(11), - 2291 p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10112291>.
11. Kalantarova, M.G., Kotikovskaya, A.B., Rogovskaya, E.G., Braykina, M.I., Timchenko, Z.T., & Chernenko, T.N. (1976). *Agroklimaticheskie resursy Armyanskoy SSR* [Agroclimatic resources of the Armenian SSR]. Vologda: Vologodskaya Pravda.
12. Kremneva, O.Y., Danilov, R.Y., Sereda, I.I., Tutubalina, O.V., Pachkin, A.A., & Zimin, M.V. (2022). Spectral characteristics of winter wheat varieties depending on the development degree of *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis*. *Precision Agriculture*, 24(3), - pp. 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-022-09976-2>.
13. Li, C., Jia, X., Zhu, R., Mei, X., Wang, D., & Zhang, X. (2023). Seasonal spatiotemporal changes in the NDVI and its driving forces in Wuliangsu Lake Basin, Northern China from 1990 to 2020. *Remote Sensing*, 15(12), 2965. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15122965>.



14. Lin-Sheng, H., Ju, S.C., Jin-Ling, Z., Dong-Yan, Z., Teng, L., & Yang, F. (2015). Hyperspectral measurements for estimating vertical infection of yellow rust on winter wheat plant. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 17(6). <https://doi.org/10.17957/IJAB/15.0034>.
15. Longchamps, L., & Philpot, W. (2023). Full-season crop phenology monitoring using two-dimensional normalized difference pairs. *Remote Sensing*, 15(23). 5565 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15235565>.
16. Mahlein, A.K., Alisaac, E., Masri, A.A., Behmann, J., Dehne, H.-W., & Oerke, E.-C. (2019). Comparison and combination of thermal, fluorescence, and hyperspectral imaging for monitoring Fusarium head blight of wheat on spikelet scale. *Sensors*, 19, 2281. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s19102281>.
17. Meier, U. (Ed.). (2001). Growth stages of mono- and dicotyledonous plants. BBCH Monograph. Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry.
18. Open Source Geospatial Foundation. (2024). GDAL/OGR contributors. Retrieved from <https://gdal.org> (accessed on 2 October 2024).
19. Rieker, M.E.G., Lutz, M.A., El-Hasan, A., Thomas, S., & Voegelé, R.T. (2023). Hyperspectral imaging and selected biological control agents for the management of Fusarium head blight in spring wheat. *Plants*, 12(20), 3534. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12203534>.
20. Rouse, J.W., Haas, R.H., Schell, J.A., & Deering, D.W. (1974). Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS. *Third Earth Resources Technology Satellite-1 Symposium*, NASA SP-351, 1, - pp. 309-317.
21. Savitzky, A., & Golay, M.J.E. (1964). Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares procedures. *Analytical Chemistry*, 36(8), - pp. 1627-1639. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60214a047>.
22. Sereda, I., Danilov, R., Kremneva, O., Zimin, M., & Podushin, Y. (2023). Development of methods for remote monitoring of leaf diseases in wheat agroecosystems. *Plants*, 12(18), 3223 p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12183223>.
23. Statistical Committee of the Republic of Armenia. (n.d.). Retrieved October 1, 2024, from <https://www.armstat.am/en/> (accessed on 20 July 2024).
24. Vorobyeva, N.S., Sergeev, V.V., & Chernov, A.V. (2016). Information technology for early recognition of crop types from satellite images. *Computer Optics*, 40(6), - pp. 929–938. <https://doi.org/10.18287/2412-6179-2016-40-6-929-938>.
25. Wang, H., Qin, F., Liu, Q., Ruan, L., Wang, R., & Ma, Z. (2015). Identification and disease index inversion of wheat stripe rust and wheat leaf rust based on hyperspectral data at canopy level. *Journal of Spectroscopy*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/651810>.
26. Wang, H., Qin, F., Ruan, L., Wang, R., Liu, Q., Ma, Z., & Li, X. (2016). Identification and severity determination of wheat stripe rust and wheat leaf rust based on hyperspectral data acquired using a black-paper-based measuring method. *PLOS ONE*, 11(4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152064>.
27. Zanaga, D., Van De Kerchove, R., De Keersmaecker, W., Souverijns, N., Brockmann, C., Quast, R., Wevers, J., Grosu, A., Paccini, A., Vergnaud, S., Cartus, O., Santoro, M., Fritz, S., Georgieva, I., Lesiv, M., Carter, S., Herold, M., Li, L., Tsendbazar, N. E., Ramoino, F., & Arino, O. (2021). ESA WorldCover 10 m 2020 v100. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5571936>.
28. Zhang, J., Pu, R., Wang, J., Huang, W., Yuan, L., & Luo, J. (2012). Detecting powdery mildew of winter wheat using leaf level hyperspectral measurements. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 85, - pp. 13-23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2012.03.006>.
29. Zhao, F., Yang, G., Yang, X., Cen, H., Zhu, Y., Han, S., Yang, H., He, Y., & Zhao, C. (2021). Determination of key phenological phases of winter wheat based on the time-weighted dynamic time warping algorithm and MODIS time-series data. *Remote Sensing*, 13(9), 1836 p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13091836>.
30. Zhao, Y., Wang, X., Guo, Y., Hou, X., & Dong, L. (2022). Winter wheat phenology variation and its response to climate change in Shandong Province, China. *Remote Sensing*, 14(18), - 4482 p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14184482>.

#### Acknowledgements

*This analysis was carried out with the financial support of Higher Education and Science Committee of the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sport of RA – within a scientific topic coded No. IRF-22\_04.*

#### Declarations of interest

*The author declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

Received on 18.11.2024

Revised on 14.12.2024

Accepted on 30.12.2024



UDC 635.658:631.152(479.25)

doi: 10.52276/25792822-2024.4-326

## The Study of Lentil Samples from Global Collection in Different Agroclimatic Conditions of Ararat and Gegharkunik Regions

Gayane Shaboyan *Scientific Center of Vegetable and Industrial Crops, ME RA;**Institute of Hydroponics Problems after G.S. Davtyan, NAS RA*[gayaneshaboyan@mail.ru](mailto:gayaneshaboyan@mail.ru)

### ARTICLE INFO

**Keywords:**

*climatic conditions,  
crop variety,  
lentils,  
morphological features,  
yield*

### ABSTRACT

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.), is a valuable and accessible source of plant proteins. Thanks to their symbiosis with nitrogen-fixing bacteria, they help in the accumulation of nitrogen in the soil. In the climatic conditions of the Ararat and Gegharkunik regions, lentil samples from ICARDA collection were studied, including: plateflat forms Flip2006-4L, Flip2007-12L, Flip2007-15L, Flip2006-10L, Flip2007-3L, Flip2007-30L; drought-resistant Bilsen-365, EP-54; early-maturing Flip2005-42L, Flip 2005-53, Sellfrom LL1 767, Flip2006-79L; frost-resistant Flip2003-30L, Flip 2007-26L, AKM-46, Flip2005-25L. For the lentil samples, the climatic conditions of the Gegharkunik region proved to be more favorable, and the samples Flip2007-12L, Flip 2007-15L, and Flip2007-3L demonstrated 21 %, 35 %, and 14 %, higher yields compared to the Ararat region, respectively. In the Ararat region, the highest yield among all studied samples was shown by the drought-resistant Ep-54. The mentioned varieties are recommended for use in breeding work and production sowings.

### Introduction

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) is one of the oldest legume crops cultivated by humanity. In many developing countries with food insecurity, it serves as a vital source of nutrition (Pugliese, et al., 2024). Lentil is a drought-resistant crop that is an excellent source of food-grade protein and starch, making it ideal for everyday consumption, while in its green form, it is also a valuable animal feed (Moezalislam, et al., 2012; Minhao, et al., 2023). As a legume crop, lentil stands

out for its high protein content, which ranges from 22-30 % in the seeds (Shaboyan, et al., 2024).

The root system of lentil forms a symbiotic relationship with nitrogen-fixing bacteria, thereby enriching the soil with nitrogen (Mukherjee, et al., 2023). When included in crop rotation as a preceding crop, lentil significantly increases the yield of subsequent crops (20-30 %) (Ali, et al., 2022). The introduction of new, high-yielding, erectness, disease- and pest-resistant lentil samples, suitable for mechanical

harvesting, is an important and current priority in agricultural development (Barbaryan, et al., 2020).

According to statistical data from the Republic of Armenia, in 2023, lentil was grown on 123 hectares, with total harvested crop 1772 c. Lentils are primarily cultivated in the Kotayk, Shirak, Armavir, and Gegharkunik regions (Sown Areas of Agricultural Crops, Planting Area of Permanent Crops, Gross Harvest and Average Crop Capacity for 2022). The low crop areas and yields of lentil are mainly due to the lack of high-yielding, erectness, disease-resistant varieties suitable for mechanical harvesting, as well as improper choices of sowing methods, timing, and areas (Anil, et al., 2022).

Both abiotic and biotic factors have a strong negative impact on the physiology of lentil plants, in particular pod and lentil production; environmental conditions and both abiotic and biotic stresses affect the potential response of plants to caffeine when used as a potential ingredient for biostimulant formulations (Jené, et al., 2022).

In 2008-2009, 101 lentil samples from the ICARDA global collection were studied in the climatic conditions of the Ararat and Gegharkunik regions based on yield data. Among these, 25 were plate-shape, 25 were drought-resistant, 34 were early-maturing, and 17 were frost-resistant samples. From 2019 to 2021, we selected and studied 16 relatively high-yielding samples from this collection for further evaluation based on their biological and agronomic particularities, with the aim of including them in future breeding programs.

## Materials and methods

The studies conducted between 2019-2021 were carried out in the climatic conditions of the Ararat and Gegharkunik regions at the experimental farm of the Scientific Center for Vegetable and Technical Crops of the Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Armenia, located in the Darakert community, which has irrigated meadow gray soils. The region is situated at an altitude of 850-1000 meters above sea level. The average temperature in January is  $-2.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and in July, it is  $26.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The annual average precipitation is 289 mm. In the Martuni community of the Gegharkunik region, at the farm of Grigori Khlyghatyan the crops were grown in irrigated gray meadow soils. The experimental plot was located at an altitude of 1800-2000 meters above sea level, with an average temperature of  $-6.0^{\circ}\text{C}$  in January and  $22.0^{\circ}\text{C}$  in July. The annual average precipitation is approximately 350 mm.

Seeding in Darakert was carried out in the last decade of

April, while in Martuni, it was done in the first decade of May. The following lentil samples were studied:

Plate-shaped: Flip2006-4L, Flip2007-12L, Flip2007-15L, Flip2006-10L, Flip2007-3L, Flip2007-30L; Drought-resistant: BILSEN-365, EP-54; Early-maturing: Flip2005-42L, Flip2005-53, Sellfrom1767L, Flip2006-79L; Frost-resistant: Flip2003-30L, Flip2007-26L, AKM-46, Flip2005-25L. The accepted sowing norm for lentil is 2.5-3 million viable seeds per hectare, determined through multiple years of trial data, or 80-100 kg/ha (Ansari, et al., 2015).

The trials were conducted with three replications, each in 16.6 m<sup>2</sup> plots. The sowing was carried out using the (15+15) x15 cm scheme, with each variety planted at a density of 22 plants per m<sup>2</sup>. The seed germination rate for all the studied samples ranged between 97-98 %. The plant lodging until the end of the vegetation period averaged 4%. Yield data were calculated based on the average yield per plant in each replication within a 50 m<sup>2</sup> plot, which was then extrapolated to a per-hectare basis. Agronomic practices were carried out according to accepted agricultural standards, ensuring the normal growth and development of the plants. The studies were conducted in accordance with the methodology for state variety testing of agricultural crops (Methodology for State Variety Testing of Agricultural Crops, 1975).

The samples were evaluated based on the average data from five randomly selected plants in each replication. The local Talin-6 was used as a control variety. Measurements included the height of formation of the first node, plant height, erectness, the mass of the pods per plant, the mass of seeds per plant, and overall yield. The yield was calculated using the harvesting and weighing method. The profitability level of all the promising samples was also determined. The price of seed was set as 600 drams. The cultivating cost per hectare was also calculated. In the Ararat region, during the vegetation period, the plants were irrigated four times, while in the Gegharkunik region, cultivation took place under dryland conditions. The costs included expenses for irrigation, sowing, seed purchase, weed control, and harvesting.

The data were subjected to statistical analysis using the ANOVA method with a significance level of  $P \leq 0.05$ .

## Results and discussions

The effect of different ecological conditions on yield and yield components of lentil has been investigated. The

economically valuable data for the lentil samples in the conditions of the Ararat region, are presented in Table 1, while the data for the Gegharkunik region are shown in Table 2. According to the study results, among the plate-shaped samples, those with the best erectness were Flip 2006-4L, Flip 2007-3L, and Flip 2007-30L (Table 1), while in the Gegharkunik region, only Flip 2007-3L exhibited notable erectness (Table 2). Overall, in the Ararat region, the plate-shaped samples were more erect than in the Gegharkunik region.

The plant height in both regions ranged from 31 to 56 cm. In Ararat Marz, plate-shaped samples were 2-11 cm taller than the standard. The tallest were Flip20064L (11 cm taller) and Flip20610L (2 cm taller). Only Flip2007-3L

was shorter than the control. Drought-resistant samples, like BILSEN-365, surpassed the control by 3 cm in plant height. The early-maturing sample Sellfrom 767L had a height similar to the control, with no significant difference. Other samples were 7-14 cm shorter, with Flip2006-79L being the shortest. Frost-resistant samples were 5 cm taller than the control, except for Flip2003-30L, which showed no significant difference. In Gegharkunik Marz, plant height of all plate-shaped samples was similar to that of Ararat Marz, except for Flip2007-3L, which was 5 cm shorter than in Ararat Marz and 7 cm shorter than the control. Height differences were only observed in frost-resistant samples Flip2007-26L and AKM-46, which were 2-3 cm shorter in Gegharkunik Marz compared to Ararat Marz.

**Table 1.** Economically valuable traits of lentil samples in the conditions of the Ararat region\*

| Samples                  | Erectness, unit | Height, sm |                         | Per plant, g |             | Yeild, g/m <sup>2</sup> |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|
|                          |                 | plants     | formation of first pods | pods mass.   | grains mass |                         |
| Talini 6 (control)       | 3               | 45±0.3     | 20±0.1                  | 4.7±0.3      | 4.4±0.2     | 198±1.4                 |
| <b>Plate shape</b>       |                 |            |                         |              |             |                         |
| Flip2007-15L             | 3               | 50±0.3     | 19±0.2                  | 4.6±0.1      | 4.2±0.3     | 189.0±1.2               |
| Flip2007-12L             | 4               | 48±0.2     | 25±0.1                  | 5.6±0.2      | 5.2±0.1     | 234.2±1.3               |
| Flip2006-4L              | 5               | 56±0.3     | 25±0.1                  | 5.1±0.5      | 4.8±0.1     | 216.0±0.9               |
| Flip2006-10L             | 4               | 47±0.4     | 9.5±0.2                 | 4.8±0.1      | 4.5±0.4     | 202.1±0.6               |
| Flip2007-15L             | 5               | 43±0.3     | 18±0.1                  | 6.8±0.1      | 6.5±0.3     | 292.2±2.2               |
| Flip2007-30L             | 5               | 55±1.1     | 28±0.2                  | 6.6±0.2      | 6.3±0.4     | 283.2±1.4               |
| LSD <sub>0.05</sub>      |                 | 1.2        | 1.5                     | 0.2          | 1.4         | 4.2                     |
| <b>Drought-resistant</b> |                 |            |                         |              |             |                         |
| BILSEN-365               | 4               | 48±1.1     | 25±0.1                  | 4.9±0.3      | 4.6±0.5     | 207.2±1.3               |
| EP-54                    | 5               | 43±0.2     | 12±0.1                  | 6.8±0.1      | 6.1±0.2     | 274.4± 2.1              |
| LSD <sub>0.05</sub>      |                 | 2.1        | 2.2                     | 2.1          | 1.1         | 21.4                    |
| <b>Early-maturing</b>    |                 |            |                         |              |             |                         |
| Flip2005-42L             | 5               | 32±0.9     | 11±0.1                  | 3.3±0.2      | 2.8±0.2     | 126.1±1.2               |
| Flip2005-53L             | 4               | 38±0.5     | 12±0.2                  | 3.6±0.3      | 3.0±0.2     | 135.3±1.7               |
| Sellfrom 1767L           | 5               | 44±1.2     | 9±0.1                   | 6.2±0.2      | 5.5±0.2     | 247.2±2.1               |
| Flip2006-79L             | 5               | 31±1.4     | 13±1.3                  | 4.6±0.1      | 4.1±0.2     | 184.1±1.4               |
| LSD <sub>0.05</sub>      |                 | 1.2        | 1.1                     | 0.4          | 0.3         | 3.2                     |
| <b>Frost-resistant</b>   |                 |            |                         |              |             |                         |
| Flip2003-30L             | 5               | 44±0.4     | 20±1.1                  | 4.4±0.1      | 4.1±0.4     | 184.2±2.1               |
| Flip2007-26L             | 5               | 50±0.3     | 33±1.0                  | 2.5±0.1      | 2.1±0.2     | 115.2±2.5               |
| AKM-46                   | 4               | 50±0.5     | 12±1.3                  | 2.8±0.1      | 2.6±0.2     | 115.2±1.2               |
| Flip 2005-25L            | 5               | 50±0.4     | 27±0.8                  | 2.6±0.1      | 2.2±0.3     | 119.6±1.2               |
| LSD <sub>0.05</sub>      |                 | 2.4        | 1.5                     | 0.6          | 0.8         | 4.2                     |

\*Composed by the author.

For the mechanical harvesting of lentils, one of the key indicators is the height of formation of the first node.

In the Ararat region, the first node height ranged from 9.0 to 33 cm, with the highest in Flip2007-26L and the lowest in Sellfrom 1767L. In Gegharkunik Marz, the first node ranged from 9.5 to 30 cm. Most drought-resistant and plate-shaped samples formed pods at similar heights in both regions, except Flip2007-3L, which formed pods 6 cm higher in Gegharkunik. Early-maturing Sellfrom 1767L formed pods 3 cm higher, while frost-resistant Flip2007-26L formed pods 3 cm lower in Gegharkunik.

In the Ararat region, pod mass per plant ranged from 2.5g to 6.8g. Plate-shaped samples exceeded the control by 0.4g to 2.1g. The heaviest pods were in Flip2007-3L and

drought-resistant EP-54 (6.8 g). Sellfrom 1767L, an early-maturing sample, surpassed the control Talini 6 variety by 1.5 g in pod mass per plant. Frost-resistant samples had a pod mass per plant of 2.5g to 4.4 g, which was 0.3g to 2.2g lower than the control.

In the Gegharkunik region, pod mass per plant ranged from 1.7 g to 8.8g, with plate-shaped samples exceeding the control by 1.6g to 3.3g, except for Flip2007-15L (2.5 g lighter) and Flip2006-10L (equal to control). Drought-resistant samples had a pod mass 2.3g to 3.1g heavier than the control variety Talini 6. Early-maturing samples Flip2005-42L and Sellfrom 1767L surpassed the control by 1.1g and 0.6g, respectively. Pod mass in all frost-resistant samples was lighter than the control.

**Table 2.** Economically Valuable Traits of Lentil Samples in the Conditions of the Gegharkunik Region\*

| Samples                  | Erectnes,<br>unit | Height, sm. |                         | Per plant, g |             | Yield,<br>g/m <sup>2</sup> |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|
|                          |                   | plants      | of formation first pods | Pods mass.   | Grains mass |                            |
| Talini 6 (control)       | 4                 | 45±0.9      | 19±1.0                  | 5.5±0.2      | 5.0±0.1     | 230±1.5                    |
| <b>Plate shape</b>       |                   |             |                         |              |             |                            |
| Flip2007-15L             | 4                 | 50±0.8      | 19±0.8                  | 3.0±0.4      | 2.6±0.2     | 117±2.2                    |
| Flip2007-12L             | 3                 | 48±0.5      | 25±0.5                  | 7.1±0.1      | 6.6±0.2     | 297±1.7                    |
| Flip2006-4L              | 3                 | 56±1.1      | 25±0.7                  | 8.1±0.3      | 7.5±0.5     | 337±1.1                    |
| Flip2006-10L             | 4                 | 47±0.9      | 9.5±0.5                 | 5.5±0.4      | 5.0±0.2     | 230±1.2                    |
| Flip2007-3L              | 5                 | 38±0.3      | 24±0.7                  | 8.8±0.2      | 7.6±0.1     | 342±1.5                    |
| Flip2007-30L             | 4                 | 55±0.3      | 28±0.7                  | 8.4±0.2      | 7.4±0.2     | 331±1.1                    |
| LSD <sub>0.05</sub>      |                   | 0.6         | 2.1                     | 1.2          | 1.8         | 5.4                        |
| <b>Drought-resistant</b> |                   |             |                         |              |             |                            |
| BILSEN-365               | 4                 | 48±0.3      | 25±1.2                  | 7.8±0.7      | 7.4±0.1     | 333±1.4                    |
| EP-54                    | 5                 | 43±0.3      | 12±1.0                  | 8.6±0.4      | 7.8±0.2     | 351±1.75                   |
| LSD <sub>0.05</sub>      |                   | 1.0         | 1.4                     | 0.5          | 0.6         | 8.2                        |
| <b>Early-maturing</b>    |                   |             |                         |              |             |                            |
| Flip2005-42L             | 5                 | 32±0.4      | 11±0.2                  | 6.6±0.4      | 5.0±0.3     | 225±1.2                    |
| Flip2005-53L             | 4                 | 38±1.0      | 12±0.1                  | 4.8±0.3      | 4.0±0.2     | 180±2.4                    |
| Sellfrom 1767L           | 5                 | 44±0.8      | 12±0.3                  | 6.1±0.2      | 5.7±0.4     | 259±3.2                    |
| Flip2006-79L             | 5                 | 31±0.7      | 13±0.1                  | 5.1±0.2      | 4.8±0.2     | 202±1.2                    |
| LSD <sub>0.05</sub>      |                   | 1.2         | 0.5                     | 0.3          | 1.1         | 15.2                       |
| <b>Frost-resistant</b>   |                   |             |                         |              |             |                            |
| Flip2003-30L             | 5                 | 44±0.7      | 20±0.3                  | 5.1±0.3      | 4.6±0.1     | 207±3.2                    |
| Flip2007-26L             | 5                 | 48±0.5      | 30±0.5                  | 1.7±0.2      | 1.6±0.1     | 72±1.2                     |
| AKM-46                   | 4                 | 47±0.8      | 14±0.2                  | 2.8±0.1      | 2.6±0.3     | 117±2.3                    |
| Flip2005-25L             | 5                 | 50±0.5      | 27±0.3                  | 3.1±0.1      | 2.9±0.2     | 130±3.0                    |
| LSD <sub>0.05</sub>      |                   | 2.2         | 4.1                     | 0.9          | 0.2         | 10.2                       |

\*Composed by the author.



There is a direct correlation between pod mass and grain mass per plant. In the Ararat region, only Flip2007-15L from plate-shaped samples had lighter grains, while others were 0.3g to 2.1g heavier than the Talini 6 variety. Per heavy grains mass drought-resistant samples and early maturing sample Sellfrom 1767L were selected.

In the Gegharkunik region, grain mass per plant ranged from 1.6g to 7.6g. Plate-shaped samples exceeded the control by 1.6g to 2.6g, except for Flip2007-15L (2.4g lighter) and Flip2006-10L (equal to control). Drought-

resistant samples had 2.4g to 2.8g heavier grain mass than the control Talini 6, while early-maturing Sellfrom 1767L surpassed the control by 2.4g. All frost-resistant samples had lighter grain mass than the control.

In the Ararat region, the highest yields were obtained from the plate-shaped samples Flip 2007-3L, Flip 2007-30L, and Flip 2007-12L, which surpassed the control variety by 1.4, 1.4, and 1.2 times, respectively. The drought-resistant sample EP-54 also outperformed the control variety by 1.3 times (Table 1).

**Table 3.** Economic Efficiency of the Studied Lentil Varieties in the Climatic Conditions of the Gegharkunik and Ararat Regions\*

| Sample                    | Marketable yield, q/ha | Income, thousand drams | Production costs, per ha (thousand drams) | Cost price per 1 kg, drams | Net profit, thousand drams | Profitability level, % |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| <b>Gegharkunik Region</b> |                        |                        |                                           |                            |                            |                        |
| Talini 6 (control)        | 23.0                   | 1380                   | 372                                       | 161.7                      | 1008                       | 271.0                  |
| <b>Plate shape</b>        |                        |                        |                                           |                            |                            |                        |
| Flip 2007-30L             | 33.1                   | 1986                   | 385                                       | 116.3                      | 1601                       | 415.8                  |
| Flip 2007-3L              | 34.2                   | 2052                   | 400                                       | 116.9                      | 1652                       | 413.0                  |
| Flip 2007-12L             | 29.7                   | 1782                   | 378                                       | 127.2                      | 1404                       | 371.4                  |
| Flip 2006-4L              | 33.7                   | 2022                   | 385                                       | 114.2                      | 1637                       | 425.2                  |
| <b>Drought-resistant</b>  |                        |                        |                                           |                            |                            |                        |
| EP-54                     | 35.1                   | 2106                   | 410                                       | 116.8                      | 1696                       | 413.7                  |
| Bilsen365                 | 33.3                   | 1998                   | 385                                       | 115.6                      | 1613                       | 419.0                  |
| <b>Early-maturing</b>     |                        |                        |                                           |                            |                            |                        |
| Sellfrom1767L             | 25.9                   | 1554                   | 380                                       | 146.7                      | 1174                       | 308.9                  |
| <b>Ararat region</b>      |                        |                        |                                           |                            |                            |                        |
| Talini 6(control)         | 19.8                   | 1188                   | 447                                       | 225.7                      | 741                        | 165.8                  |
| <b>Plate shape</b>        |                        |                        |                                           |                            |                            |                        |
| Flip 2007-30L             | 28.3                   | 1698                   | 475                                       | 167.8                      | 1223                       | 257.5                  |
| Flip 2007-3L              | 29.2                   | 1752                   | 453                                       | 155.1                      | 1299                       | 286.8                  |
| Flip 2007-12L             | 23.4                   | 1404                   | 460                                       | 196.6                      | 944                        | 205.2                  |
| Flip 2006-4L              | 21.6                   | 1296                   | 485                                       | 224.5                      | 811                        | 167.2                  |
| <b>Drought-resistant</b>  |                        |                        |                                           |                            |                            |                        |
| EP-54                     | 27.4                   | 1644                   | 480                                       | 175.1                      | 1164                       | 242.5                  |
| Bilsen365                 | 20.7                   | 1242                   | 455                                       | 219.8                      | 787                        | 173.0                  |
| <b>Early-maturing</b>     |                        |                        |                                           |                            |                            |                        |
| Sellfrom1767L             | 24.7                   | 1482                   | 460                                       | 186.2                      | 1022                       | 222.2                  |

\*Composed by the author.

The drought-resistant EP-54 sample stood out for its high yield, surpassing the control variety by 2.6 times in the Ararat region and by 1.3 times in the climatic conditions of the Gegharkunik region. The first node of the EP-54 sample formed at a lower height in both regions compared to the tested BILSEN-365 sample, but it exceeded the control variety in terms of pod and seed mass.

The early-maturing Sellfrom1767L sample provided higher yields than the control variety in the climatic conditions of the Gegharkunik region, producing 1.2 times higher yield than in the Ararat region. The Sellfrom1767L sample also surpassed the control variety in terms of pod and seed mass per plant.

In the Gegharkunik region, the Flip 2007-3L sample provided 1.1 times higher yield than that of in the climatic conditions of the Ararat region.

As seen from the data in the table, lentils in the conditions of the Gegharkunik region provide a high level of profitability, which ranges from 308.9 % to 425.2 %, exceeding the control variety by 37.9 % to 154.2 %. In the climatic conditions of the Ararat region, the profitability level ranged from 167.2 % to 286.8 %. The highest profitability in Gegharkunik regions was provided by the Flip 2006-4L sample, in Ararat region Flip 2007-3L.

## Conclusion

The study results conclude that ecological conditions significantly impact the economically valuable traits of the samples. In the Gegharkunik region, conditions are more favorable for lentil samples than in the Ararat region, highlighting the importance of selecting more adaptive samples for each region.

Based on the findings, the lentil varieties Flip2007-3L, Flip2007-12L, and Flip2006-4L are recommended for further breeding programs due to their high yield and favorable economic returns in both the Ararat and Gegharkunik regions. Drought-resistant variety EP-54 and early-maturing Sellfrom1767L also showed promising results, particularly for areas with limited irrigation resources. The study emphasizes the importance of selecting varieties that are well-adapted to local climatic conditions to maximize both yield and profitability.

## References

1. Ali, J., M., Nawaz, M., Ilyas, M.U., Chattha, I., Khan, M.B., Chattha, M., Arshad, M., Akram, M., Kharal, E., Ullah, M.T., Aslam, F., Athar, A. Mustafa and Hassan, M.U. (2022.) Effect of different irrigation intervals and sowing methods on growth and productivity of lentil crop grown in semi-arid conditions. *Journal of Innovative Sciences*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.jis/2022/8.1.20.28>.
2. Anil, P., Tika, K., Sangharsh, D., (2022). Increasing the Productivity of Lentil through Agronomic Interventions in Nepal. *Agronomy Journal of Nepal*. 75-86. <https://doi.org/10.3126/aj.n.v6i1.47941>.
3. Ansari, M.A., Prakash, N., Punitha, P., Sharma, S.K., Sanatombi, Kh., Arunkumar Singh, N. (2015). Lentil cultivation and post-harvest management. *Technology Bulletin No. RCM (TM) –09. ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Manipur Centre, Lamphelpat, Imphal- 795004*.
4. Barbaryan, A.A., Matevosyan, L.G., Shaboyan, G.G. (2020). Ecological testing of new lentil varieties in three agricultural zones of the Republic, *Biological Journal of Armenia*, 3 (72) (in Armenian).
5. Jené, L., Mirabent, C., Teixido, C., Bosch, S.M. (2022). Impact of environmental conditions, stress severity and dose application on caffeine-related improved lentil productivity. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 203 - 105064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2022.105064>.
6. Methodology of State Variety Testing of Agricultural Crops. Moscow, Kolos, 1975 (in Russian).
7. Minhao Li, Menglu Xia, Ali Imran, Thaiza, S.P. de Souza, Colin Barrow, Frank Dunshea & Hafiz, A.R. Suleria (2023). Nutritional Value, Phytochemical Potential, and Biological Activities in Lentils (*Lens Culinaris Medik.*): A Review, *Food Reviews International*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2023.2245073>.
8. Moezalislam, F., Takruri, H., Ala. I. (2012). Role of lentils (*Lens culinaris L.*) in human health and nutrition: a review. *Mediterranean Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism*. 6. 3-16. 1. <https://doi.org/10.3233/s12349-012-0109-8>.
9. Mukherjee, B., Kumar Naskar, M., Nath, R., Atta, K., Visha Kumari, V., Banerjee, P., Alamri, S., Patra, K., Laing, A.M., Skalicky, M. and Hossain, A. (2023). Growth, nodulation, yield, nitrogen uptake, and economics of lentil as influenced by sowing time, tillage, and management practices. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 7:1151111. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1151111>.

10. Pugliese, G., Losacco, C., Vincenzo, R., et al. (2024). Lentil (*Lens culinaris*) and its by-products inclusion in livestock nutrition: present insights and emerging trends in rabbit and poultry system. *Journal of Applied Animal Research* 52:1. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2024.2362254>.
11. Shaboyan, G., Matevosyan, L., Sarikyan, K., Martirosyan, G. (2024). Biochemical analyses in the ICARDA collection of unique dried materials of lentils, *Bioactive Compounds in Health and Disease*; 7(2): 131-140. <https://doi.org/10.31989/bchd.v7i2.1291>.
12. Sown Areas of Agricultural Crops, Planting Area of Permanent Crops, Gross Harvest and Average Crop Capacity for 2022, [www.armstat.am](http://www.armstat.am) (in Armenian).

---

**Declarations of interest**

*The author declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

---

*Received on 19.11.2024*

*Revised on 15.12.2024*

*Accepted on 30.12.2024*



## Sodium Hypochlorite as an Effective Means of Combating *Dermanyssus Gallinae* Mites in Chickens

Viktor Abrahamyan<sup>id</sup>, Liana Grigoryan<sup>id</sup>, Valeri Grigoryan<sup>id</sup>, Armine Ghazaryan<sup>id</sup>

Research Center of Veterinary Medicine and Veterinary Sanitary Expertise, ANAU

[viktorabrahamyanv@gmail.com](mailto:viktorabrahamyanv@gmail.com), [lianagrigoryan7878@mail.ru](mailto:lianagrigoryan7878@mail.ru), [grigoryanvgv@mail.ru](mailto:grigoryanvgv@mail.ru), [ghazaryan.armine2018@gmail.com](mailto:ghazaryan.armine2018@gmail.com)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

bird,  
infection,  
mite,  
solution,  
specimen

### ABSTRACT

The chicken tick *Dermanyssus gallinae* is widespread across all climatogeographic zones of the Republic of Armenia. The invasion occurs with relatively high intensity in large poultry farms (such as in Arzni, Lusakert, and Jrarat). In 1 cm<sup>3</sup> of substrate collected from poultry houses, the number of ticks ranges from 1,100 to 2,800 specimens. These parasites attack birds at night, while during the day they remain in the dust on the walls and under the feed conveyor. To disinfect poultry houses, organophosphate compounds are commonly used. However, these substances are toxic, requiring the removal of birds from the premises before use, which is a time-consuming process. In laboratory and production experiments, we tested a 20 % aqueous solution of sodium hypochlorite, achieving 100 % effectiveness. The solution was used without the need to remove the birds from the premises.

### Introduction

Among the factors hindering the development of poultry farming, parasitic diseases play a significant role. There are numerous works that study blood-sucking ectoparasites of birds and poultry houses, including the *D. gallinae* mites (Frolov, 1975).

The red bird mite (*Dermanyssus gallinae*), an ectoparasite belonging to the phylum Arthropoda, class Arachnida, order Mesostigmata, and family Dermanyssidae, poses a significant threat to poultry and chicken health worldwide. With *D. gallinae* increasingly suspected of being a disease

vector and reports of attacks on alternative hosts, including humans, becoming more frequent, the economic importance of this pest has grown substantially. As poultry production shifts away from conventional cage systems in many regions, *D. gallinae* is expected to become more prevalent and increasingly difficult to control (Sparagano, et al., 2014).

*Dermanyssus gallinae* mites have an unsegmented, oval-shaped body measuring 0.75–1 mm in length. They possess four pairs of legs in their nymph and adult stages, while larvae have only three pairs. Their color is grayish-white but turns reddish-brown after feeding on blood.

These blood-feeding mites can infest other bird and animal species, including humans. They are nocturnal, seeking shelter in dark, hidden areas during the day. The life cycle of *D. gallinae* includes the following stages: egg, larva, protonymph, deutonymph, and sexually mature adult. They are bisexual, with a full development cycle lasting between 6 and 12 days. When deprived of food, they can survive for up to 10 months. *D. gallinae* primarily parasitizes the featherless areas under birds' wings and in the armpits, causing anemia, exhaustion, and, in severe cases, limb paralysis. Young birds are particularly vulnerable to infection and often become ill.

The poultry red mite (*Dermanyssus gallinae*) is highly prevalent across Greek poultry production systems and regions. In the future, factors such as global warming, reduced acaricide availability, and the ban on cage systems are expected to contribute to a wider spatiotemporal distribution of PRM. These challenges highlight the urgent need for effective monitoring and control strategies to safeguard hen production, poultry welfare, and workers' health (Sioutas, G., et al., 2024). Various approaches have been employed to investigate the relationship between *D. gallinae* and pathogens. In this comprehensive review, we critically examine the available strategies and methods for conducting trials, assessing their strengths and weaknesses. Our analysis aims to provide researchers with valuable tools for accurately studying the vectorial role of *D. gallinae* (Schiavone, et al., 2022). We assume that an effective and sustainable approach to controlling poultry red mite infestations is urgently needed. This includes the implementation of integrated pest management strategies (Flochlay, et al., 2017).

The poultry red mite *Dermanyssus gallinae* is best known as a threat to the laying-hen industry; adversely affecting production and hen health and welfare throughout the globe, both directly and through its role as a disease vector. Nevertheless, *D. gallinae* is being increasingly implemented in dermatological complaints in non-avian hosts, suggesting that its significance may extend beyond poultry. The main objective of the current work was to review the potential of *D. gallinae* as a wider veterinary and medical threat (George David, et al., 2015). *D. gallinae* mites are widespread in poultry farms of Republic of Armenia, and they cause a serious economic damage (Ohanjanyan, 1979, Harutyunyan and Hakobyan, 2001, Hakobyan and Gasarjyan., 2001).

Organophosphorus compounds are mainly used to combat these mites, but they are toxic, and before using them, the poultry farm must be temporarily freed from birds, which is very difficult and time consuming. Therefore, we had a goal to search for a non-toxic, but effective means combating the mites.

## Materials and methods

*Dermanyssus gallinae* is a mite that normally parasitizes small birds but may occasionally bite humans. We report an unusual case of an 82-year-old woman who presented with pruritus and bite-like lesions over her trunk. In the case of *D. gallinae*, the small size of the mites and the fact that they leave the host after feeding means that they may not be seen at presentation, thus such infestations are likely to be underdiagnosed. Physicians should be aware that infection with this mite is possible even in patients from urban areas, and it should be included in the differential diagnosis of conditions causing recurrent pruritus unresponsive to standard treatments (Collgros, et al., 2013).

Avian mite bites skin lesions can remain unrecognized or misdiagnosed. Inquiry about contact with pigeons or poultry may be helpful in patients with nonspecific skin lesions (Cheikhrouhou, et al., 2020).

To determine the infestation of poultry houses with *D. gallinae* mites, we took samples from various poultry houses in the republic: we've collected dust of the walls of the building and equipment, and by counting the number of mites in laboratory (based on the volume in 1 cm<sup>3</sup>), we determined the intensity of infestation of the farms with mites.

Research was conducted in the poultry farms of Yerevan, Arzni, Lusakert, and Jrarat.

We've tested the new chemical compound in laboratory first, and in farms (Jrarat and Arzni poultries) afterwards.

## Results and discussions

Thanks to regularly conducted surveys, we have determined the degree of contamination of some of the largest poultry farms with *D. gallinae* mites.

The data obtained is shown in the designed Table.



**Table.** Infestation intensity of farms with mites\*

| Poultry Farm  | Months                                     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|               | 1                                          | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
|               | Number of mites in 1cm <sup>3</sup> sample |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Yerevan       | 1100                                       | 1120 | 1152 | 1150 | 1200 | 1200 | 1300 | 1250 | 1250 | 1150 | 1125 | 1025 |
| Jrarat        | 1200                                       | 1200 | 1230 | 1250 | 1300 | 1360 | 1350 | 1350 | 1000 | 1250 | 1230 | 1210 |
| Lusakert      | 2255                                       | 2250 | 2275 | 2300 | 2350 | 2370 | 2410 | 2350 | 2330 | 2330 | 2300 | 2270 |
| Arzni         | 2550                                       | 2570 | 2580 | 2610 | 2600 | 2650 | 2700 | 2800 | 2670 | 2670 | 2600 | 2580 |
| Smaller farms | 300                                        | 330  | 400  | 480  | 600  | 570  | 580  | 545  | 420  | 420  | 400  | 350  |

\*Composed by the authors.

The data in the table show that all poultry farms surveyed are infected with the poultry mite. According to the data obtained the number of mites collected (in 1cm<sup>3</sup> material) from the walls of the poultry, around the bowls and feeders ranges from 1025 to 2800 in large farms, and from 300 to 600 in smaller individual poultry farms.

Since *D. gallinae* mites attack chickens at night, only a few mites are found during daytime inspections, even in case of intensive infection of the farm.

The data in the table also show that the intensity of poultry infestation is remaining almost at the same levels during all months of the year. This results in the fact that poultry farms have similar conditions for the development and reproduction of mites (temperature and humidity) in all months of the year. Although all the poultry farms studied are vulnerable to poultry mites, Lusakert and Arzni poultry farms had a higher intensity of infestation.

It's important to note that there were a few buildings in poultry houses that were not infected with *D. gallinae* mites. Further analysis of this situation could provide additional data to better understand how to address it from an epidemiological perspective.

To combat mites in infected poultry houses we've tested different concentrations of sodium hypochlorite. Sodium hypochlorite is one of the main compounds of perchloric acid (NaOCl). It is a volatile compound that releases chlorine and oxygen upon decomposition, which have antiseptic and disinfecting effects. Aqueous solutions are used in a cold state so that chlorine is not released when heated. Tests were conducted both in laboratory and in poultry houses. We've also tested the sodium hypochlorite on the chickens in Arzni branch of Institute of Zoology and Jrarat poultry farm.

In those farms chickens were treated by spraying 20 % sodium hypochlorite aqueous solution. The buildings themselves were also treated with 20 % sodium hypochlorite aqueous solution by spraying 200 ml of the solution on each 1m<sup>2</sup> of the surface. The procedure was repeated twice with an interval of 7 days.

It is important to note that no alive mites were found in the samples collected from farmhouses after the full procedure. The treatment was done in poultry farms without moving the birds. No changes were detected in the physiological state of the birds. Therefore, the 20 % sodium hypochlorite aqueous solution is recommended for widespread production use.

## Conclusion

The results achieved allow us to come to the following conclusions:

1. *D. gallinae* mites are widespread and are found in all the farms examined. Moreover, some of the farms have very high infection intensity.
2. The 20 % sodium hypochlorite aqueous solution has a high insecticidal property, and thus, we recommend it for mass use.
3. It can be used in all times of the year, if necessary, as there is no need to temporarily move the birds out of the farm.
4. The advantages of sodium hypochlorite over organophosphorus compounds (chlorophosphite, neostomazan, diazinon) are that it has at least the same insecticidal properties and is environmentally friendly and does not cause any pollution.

## References

- Cheikhrouhou, S., Trabelsi, S., Aloui, D., Bouchekoua, M., Khaled, S. (2020). Avian mite bites acquired from pigeons: Report of three cases and review of the literature *Pub Med Mar*; 98(3): 241-245. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32395818/>.
- Collgros, H., Iglesias-Sancho, M., Aldunce, M., Expósito-Serrano, V., Fischer, C., Lamas, N., Umberto-Millet, P. (2013). *Dermanyssus gallinae* (chicken mite): an underdiagnosed environmental infestation. *Pub Med*, - Jun; 38 (4): 374-7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2230.2012.04434.x>.
- Flochlay, A. S., Thomas, E., Sparagano, O. (2017). Poultry red mite (*Dermanyssus gallinae*) infestation: a broad impact parasitological disease that still remains a significant challenge for the egg-laying industry in Europe, *Parasites & Vectors*, Volume 10. <https://parasitesandvectors.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13071-017-2292-4>.
- Frolov, B.A. (1975). Avian ectoparasites and their control measures, Moscow, - p. 128 (in Russian).
- George David, R., Finn Robert, D., Graham Kirsty, M., Mul Monique, F., Maurer Veronika, Moro Claire Valiente, and Sparagano Olivier, AE (2015). Should the poultry red mite *Dermanyssus gallinae* be of wider concern for veterinary and medical science?, *Parasites & Vectors*, Volume 8. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25884317/>.
- Hakobyan, V.D., Gasarjyan, N. (2001). Influence of sodium hypochlorite on gamasina chicken mites *D. gallinae*. Materials from Republican Science Conference on zoology, Yerevan, 30-31 May, - p. 20 (in Armenian).
- Harutyunyan, E.S., Hakobyan, V.D. (2001). *D. gallinae* mites population dynamics in piedmont zone of Armenia. Materials from Republican Science Conference on zoology, Yerevan, 30-31 May, 2001, - pp. 33-35 (in Armenian).
- Ohanjanyan, A.M. (1979). Materials on gamasina and ixodid avian mites of the Armenian SSR. Materials from Second Transcaucasian Conference on Parasitology, 28-30 November, Yerevan, - pp. 348-350 (in Armenian).
- Schiavone, A., Pugliese, N., Otranto, D., Samarelli, R., Circella, E., Virgilio, C., Camarda, A. (2022). *Dermanyssus gallinae*: the long journey of the poultry red mite to become a vector, *Parasites & Vectors*, Volume 15. <https://parasitesandvectors.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13071-021-05142-1>.
- Sioutas, G., Gelasakis, A., Papadopoulos, E. (2024). Spatial Distribution of *Dermanyssus gallinae*. Infestations in Greece and their Association with Ambient Temperature, Humidity, and Altitude. *Apr 22;13(4):347*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens13040347>.
- Sparagano, O.A.E., George, D.R., Harrington, D.W.J., Giangaspero, A. (2014). Significance and Control of the Poultry Red Mite, *Dermanyssus gallinae*. *Annual Review of Entomology*, Volume 59. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011613-162101>.

## Declarations of interest

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

Received on 20.10.2024

Revised on 28.11.2024

Accepted on 30.12.2024



## Physicochemical Properties and Health Implications of Cow's Milk from Armenian Manufacturers

Susanna Mirzabekyan, Razmik Mkhitarian, Lilil Malkhasyan, Astghik Pepoyan

Armenian National Agrarian University

[susanna.mirzabekyan@mail.ru](mailto:susanna.mirzabekyan@mail.ru), [r.mxitarian@bk.ru](mailto:r.mxitarian@bk.ru), [malkhasyanl@icloud.com](mailto:malkhasyanl@icloud.com), [aepoyan@gmail.com](mailto:aepoyan@gmail.com)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

dairy products,  
health implications,  
manufacturers,  
milk,  
physicochemical properties

### ABSTRACT

This study evaluates the physicochemical properties of milk samples from six different Armenian manufacturers to assess their quality and suitability for dairy product production. The milk samples were analyzed for key parameters including pH, fat content, solid non-fat (SNF), density, protein, lactose, salts, and freezing point. Results revealed slight variations in pH, fat, and protein content, with goat milk showing the highest protein, lactose, and SNF levels, and a lower freezing point compared to other milk types. Temperature, fat content, and protein concentration were identified as significant factors influencing milk quality, with implications for dairy product formulation. This research provides valuable insights into the nutritional profiles of Armenian milk and can inform the development of targeted dairy products based on these physicochemical characteristics.

### Introduction

Milk is a fundamental agricultural product consumed globally, prized for its nutritional benefits, including proteins, fats, vitamins, and minerals (Górska-Warsewicz, et al., 2019, Fox, et al., 2021, Antunes, et al., 2022). As one of the most widely consumed liquids in the world, milk has been integral to human diets for centuries, providing essential nutrients and promoting growth and development, particularly in young children. The quality of milk plays a pivotal role not only in human health but also in the dairy industry, as it influences its use in producing various dairy products, such as cheese, butter, and yogurt

(Rozenberg, et al., 2016). The physicochemical properties of milk—including pH, fat content, solid non-fat (SNF), density, and protein levels—have profound effects on its sensory characteristics, nutritional profile, and shelf life (Huppertz, et al., 2024). These properties also contribute to the texture, taste, and stability of milk-based products, which are often highly valued by consumers. Furthermore, additional factors such as temperature, water content, lactose concentration, salts, and freezing point contribute to determining milk quality and its suitability for different dairy products (Wu, et al., 2024).

Milk composition is influenced by several factors,

including breed, diet, and geographical location (Ahuja, et al., 2022). Variations in these factors lead to differences in the physicochemical properties of milk produced by different manufacturers. For instance, the type of feed, environmental conditions, and even seasonal changes can lead to significant fluctuations in the milk's composition. Such variations ultimately affect the overall quality of the milk and, by extension, the quality of the dairy products made from it (Lind, 2007). While numerous studies have investigated the milk composition of various animal species, including cows, goats, and sheep (Ferro, et al., 2017), there is limited research on comparing milk samples from different producers within a specific region. This gap is especially noticeable in Armenia, where a detailed and comprehensive study on the physicochemical properties of milk from local producers is still lacking.

Understanding the variations in the physicochemical properties of milk from different Armenian manufacturers is vital for both consumers and producers. For consumers, this knowledge can inform their choices based on factors like taste, nutritional value, and product consistency. For producers, it can help optimize milk production to meet specific demands for quality and quantity. In addition, local milk variations can have an impact on the production of specific dairy products, which are integral to Armenia's agricultural economy. In countries with rich dairy traditions, like Armenia, optimizing the quality of milk is essential for producing a diverse range of products that meet both local and international market needs.

Previous studies have demonstrated that factors such as fat content, protein concentration, and pH significantly influence milk quality and the resulting dairy products (Cheng, et al., 2019). However, comprehensive research specifically addressing these factors in the context of Armenian dairy production remains sparse. Moreover, the relationship between these physicochemical parameters and the production of particular dairy products—such as cheese, yogurt, and cream—has yet to be fully explored. Fat content plays a crucial role in determining the texture, mouthfeel, and flavor of products like cheese, cream, and butter, whereas protein concentration is essential for curd formation and contributes to the nutritional content of dairy products (Silva, et al., 2021). Therefore, investigating the physicochemical properties of milk from different Armenian producers is of utmost importance, as it will provide insight into the functional characteristics of milk and its suitability for various dairy products.

In this study, the physicochemical properties of milk from several Armenian manufacturers will be analyzed, with a focus on key parameters such as pH, fat content, SNF, protein levels, and density. By exploring these properties and identifying variations between different producers, this research aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of milk quality in Armenia. Ultimately, this study seeks to inform dairy production practices and contribute to the broader knowledge of how regional factors affect milk composition and dairy product quality.

## Materials and methods

Milk samples were collected from six different Armenian manufacturers, selected based on the diversity of dairy production practices within the region. The sampling process considered both the production date and storage conditions of the milk to ensure consistency and minimize any external factors that could affect the milk's physicochemical properties.

The physical properties and biochemical components of cow's milk were measured using the Lactoscan Milk analyzer (Farm Eco, Bulgaria), commonly used for the analysis of milk quality and composition (Bork, et al., 2015).

The following parameters were analyzed to evaluate the differences and similarities in the composition across milk samples: pH, temperature (°C) (Lan, et al., 2024), fat (%), Solid Non-Fat (SNF) (%) (Assen and Abegaz, 2024), density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) (Fox, et al., 2021), proteins (%), lactose (%), salts (%) (Woźniak, et al., 2022) and Freezing Point (°C) (Kumar, 2024) .

To assess the differences between milk samples from different manufacturers, a *t* - and Mann-Whitney U tests were conducted.

## Results and Discussions

This study evaluates the physicochemical characteristics of six samples of milk: "Ani milk," "Marianna pasteurized milk," "Marianna ultra-pasteurized milk," "Chanakh milk," "Yeremyan milk," and "Goat milk." The analysis focuses on key parameters, including pH, temperature, fat content, SNF, density, water content, protein, lactose, salts, and freezing point, as detailed in Table 1.

**Table.** Physicochemical properties of milk samples from different Armenian manufacturers\*

| Milk Type                       | pH   | Temperature (°C) | Fat (%) | SNF (%) | Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Proteins (%) | Lactose (%) | Salts (%) | Freezing Point (°C) |
|---------------------------------|------|------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|
| Ani Milk                        | 6.67 | 20.7             | 2.9     | 8.52    | 29.73                        | 3.12         | 4.07        | 0.69      | -0.534              |
| Marianna Pasteurized Milk       | 6.64 | 19.2             | 2.99    | 9.46    | 33.21                        | 3.46         | 5.19        | 0.77      | -0.604              |
| Marianna Ultra-Pasteurized Milk | 6.64 | 19.2             | 2.99    | 9.46    | 33.21                        | 3.46         | 5.19        | 0.77      | -0.604              |
| Chanakh Milk                    | 6.78 | 19.9             | 2.94    | 9.01    | 31.56                        | 3.3          | 4.94        | 0.73      | -0.572              |
| Yeremyan Milk                   | 6.87 | 18               | 2.85    | 9.24    | 32.53                        | 3.39         | 5.07        | 0.75      | -0.588              |

\*Composed by the authors.

**pH Levels.** The pH values of the milk samples range from 6.62 (Goat milk) to 6.87 (Yeremyan milk), indicating a slightly acidic nature. A lower pH indicates higher acidity, which can have an impact on the milk's preservation, shelf life, and its microbial stability (Rahman & Rahman, 2020). Yeremyan milk shows the highest pH, which may reflect its different processing techniques compared to other samples. Studies have shown that pH levels play a crucial role in the overall quality of milk and dairy products (Fox, et al., 2021).

**Temperature.** The temperatures range from 18.0°C (Yeremyan milk) to 20.7°C (Ani milk). Temperature plays a significant role in controlling the growth of bacteria and maintaining the milk's freshness. Lower temperatures contribute to a reduction in microbial activity, thereby increasing the shelf life of milk (Li, et al., 2023). The variations in milk temperature may be attributed to the conditions under which the samples were stored or processed (Toghory, et al., 2022).

**Fat Content.** Fat content ranges from 2.84% (Goat milk) to 2.99 % (Marianna pasteurized and ultra-pasteurized milk). Fat is a crucial component that affects milk's texture, flavor, and nutritional value. Higher fat content enhances the sensory properties, making milk creamier and richer in taste (Bakke, et al., 2015). These variations can also be linked to the milk's processing methods, as pasteurization can influence the fat content without significantly altering it (Bakke, et al., 2015).

**Solid Not Fat.** SNF values range from 8.52 % (Ani milk) to 9.49 % (Goat milk), with Marianna milk (both pasteurized and ultra-pasteurized) showing the highest SNF value of 9.46 %. SNF is a measure of the total solids excluding fat and water, and it plays an essential role in determining the milk's nutritional value. A higher SNF

indicates a higher concentration of proteins, lactose, and minerals, contributing to milk's higher overall nutritional profile (Olsen, et al., 2023).

**Density.** The density of the milk samples varies between 29.73 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Ani milk) and 33.48 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Goat milk). Density is influenced by the fat content and the concentration of solids in the milk. Goat milk's higher density can be attributed to its richer composition in proteins and minerals. Density is an important parameter that influences the processing behavior of milk, such as its suitability for producing various dairy products (Magan, et al., 2021).

**Proteins.** Protein content in the samples ranges from 3.12% (Ani milk) to 3.48 % (Goat milk). Proteins are essential for milk's nutritional value and affect its functional properties in dairy products such as cheese and yogurt (Fox, et al., 2021). The higher protein content in goat milk suggests it may offer superior nutritional benefits, which is in line with other studies on goat milk's higher protein profile compared to cow's milk (ALKaisy, et al., 2023).

**Lactose.** Lactose content in the samples ranges from 4.07% (Ani milk) to 5.21% (Goat milk). Lactose is the primary carbohydrate in milk and contributes to its energy value. The higher lactose concentration in Goat milk is consistent with the overall richer composition of the milk, however, lactose content may cause issues for individuals with lactose intolerance (Lind, 2007).

**Salts.** Salt content in the milk samples varies from 0.69 % (Ani milk) to 0.77 % (Goat milk). Salts, primarily in the form of minerals such as calcium and magnesium, are critical for milk's nutritional properties and contribute to its flavor and preservation (Woźniak et al., 2022). The higher salt content in goat milk may reflect its richer mineral profile.



**Freezing Point.** The freezing point of the milk samples ranges from  $-0.534^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Ani milk) to  $-0.606^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Goat milk). A lower freezing point correlates with higher total solid concentrations, particularly lactose and minerals. Freezing point depression is often used to measure milk's overall quality and the concentration of dissolved solids, indicating that Goat milk has a higher concentration of solids (Kumar, 2024).

Milk's physicochemical properties, such as fat, protein, lactose, and mineral content, play a significant role in influencing its nutritional value and its applicability in managing various health conditions. Understanding the specific milk composition is crucial for the formulation of dietary interventions aimed at preventing or managing diseases. The milk samples in this study, with varying concentrations of fat, proteins, lactose, and other components, offer a valuable dataset for assessing the potential dietary impacts of milk on different health conditions.

Familial Mediterranean Fever (FMF) is a genetic disorder that is highly prevalent in Armenia (Pepoyan, et al., 2022a, 2024). During the disease, various therapeutic approaches are recommended, including the use of probiotics (Pepoyan, et al., 2023, Balayan, et al., 2023, Tsaturyan et al., 2024, Pepoyan, 2024), such as dairy-based probiotics like yogurts.

#### *Impact of Milk on Cardiovascular Health and FMF*

The fat content in milk is of particular interest when considering its role not only in cardiovascular health but also in its potential influence on FMF. Elevated saturated fat levels, commonly found in full-fat milk, have been associated with an increased risk of heart disease due to their role in raising low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (Siri-Tarino, et al., 2010). The fat content in the samples ranged from 2.84 % to 2.99 %, which aligns with the fat concentrations typically found in commercially available cow's milk. Studies have shown that milk fat, especially in whole milk, may contribute to cardiovascular risk factors; however, emerging research suggests that dairy fat might not have as negative an effect on heart disease as previously thought (Givens, et al., 2009). Specifically, milk with a lower fat content may be more suitable for individuals managing hypertension or high cholesterol levels.

When it comes to FMF, the impact of milk is less clear. Some studies suggest that the high-fat content found in dairy products may trigger FMF attacks due to its potential to increase inflammation. For instance, in the study by Yenokyan, et al. (2012), it was found that a

high-fat diet was associated with an increased risk of FMF attacks, implying that milk and other high-fat dairy products could contribute to exacerbations of the disease (Yenokyan, et al., 2012). However, other studies, such as the one conducted by Mansueto (2022), did not find a significant link between the consumption of cow's milk or breastfeeding and FMF severity, suggesting that milk may not be a primary trigger for FMF symptoms in some individuals (Mansueto, et al., 2022).

Furthermore, FMF patients who were on a strict low-fat or anti-inflammatory diet, including limited dairy intake, have shown improved responses to treatments like colchicine (Mansueto, et al., 2022). In these patients, reducing fat intake, which includes cutting back on dairy, appears to reduce inflammation and potentially ease disease flare-ups. However, the direct relationship between milk consumption and FMF remains under-researched, and further studies are needed to conclusively determine whether specific components in milk—such as lactose, proteins, or fat—contribute to FMF symptom exacerbation.

In conclusion, while the impact of milk on cardiovascular health is relatively well-documented, its role in FMF is more ambiguous. Some evidence suggests that high-fat dairy may contribute to inflammatory responses that trigger FMF attacks, but further research is necessary to understand how milk, especially low-fat varieties, interacts with the underlying mechanisms of FMF. For now, patients with FMF might benefit from monitoring their milk intake, particularly full-fat dairy products, and opting for lower-fat alternatives when managing their disease.

In this study, Yeremyan Milk and Goat Milk exhibited slightly higher fat contents, which may suggest a richer texture but also may be less favorable for individuals aiming to limit saturated fat intake for cardiovascular health.

#### *Milk in Diabetic Diets*

The carbohydrate content, primarily in the form of lactose, also influences milk's suitability for individuals with diabetes. Milk's natural lactose, which ranges from 4.07 % to 5.21 % in the current samples, is a sugar that can impact blood glucose levels. Despite this, dairy products like milk have a relatively low glycemic index (GI), meaning they do not cause significant spikes in blood sugar (Shkemi, et al., 2023). Goat milk, with a higher lactose content (5.21 %), may be beneficial for individuals with diabetes in moderation, as it is often considered easier to digest than cow's milk due to its unique protein structure. This could potentially enhance its suitability for managing

blood glucose levels without causing abrupt increases in insulin levels. Additionally, proteins present in milk, which ranged from 3.12 % (Ani Milk) to 3.48 % (Goat Milk) in the study, play a significant role in slowing down the absorption of carbohydrates, thereby helping in blood sugar regulation. Higher protein content may benefit those with type 2 diabetes by improving satiety and reducing postprandial glucose levels (Minari, et al., 2023).

#### *Bone Health and Mineral Deficiencies*

Milk is a key dietary source of calcium, which is essential for bone health. The salt content in milk, which includes minerals such as calcium and magnesium, plays a significant role in bone mineralization and preventing conditions like osteoporosis. In this study, the salt content ranged from 0.69 % (Ani Milk) to 0.77 % (Goat Milk). Calcium, a major component of milk's mineral content, is particularly beneficial for preventing bone diseases such as osteoporosis, which is a concern for postmenopausal women and the elderly. Furthermore, higher salt concentrations, such as in Goat Milk, may contribute to an increased mineral profile, which could enhance the bioavailability of calcium, aiding in better bone health management. On the other hand, individuals with kidney disease or hypertension may need to limit salt intake, in which case milk with lower mineral content (such as Ani Milk) may be more suitable (Fox, et al., 2021).

#### *Lactose Intolerance and Dairy Sensitivity*

Lactose intolerance is a common condition where individuals have difficulty digesting lactose due to insufficient lactase enzyme activity. This can lead to gastrointestinal discomfort when consuming dairy products (Lind, 2007). The lactose content in the milk samples in this study varies, with Goat Milk showing the highest concentration at 5.21 %, followed by Yeremyan Milk at 5.07 %. For individuals with lactose intolerance, goat milk may still be better tolerated compared to cow's milk due to the difference in milk protein structure and fat composition. Goat milk's smaller fat globules and higher protein content can make it more digestible for lactose-intolerant individuals (Liao, et al., 2024). This may allow consumers with sensitivity to cow's milk to benefit from the nutritional advantages of dairy without the typical discomfort associated with lactose digestion.

#### *Role of Milk in Weight Management and Satiety*

The protein and fat content of milk also affect feelings of fullness and overall calorie intake, which has implications for weight management. Proteins in milk play an important role in promoting satiety, which may help in controlling

calorie intake (Givens, et al., 2009). In this study, Goat Milk, with its higher protein and fat content, might be more satiating compared to Ani Milk, which has relatively lower protein and fat concentrations. Therefore, incorporating higher-protein milk types may help in reducing overall food intake and may be beneficial for individuals trying to manage their weight. Additionally, the fat content in milk can influence the absorption of fat-soluble vitamins, such as Vitamin A and D, which are important for metabolic health and immune function (Itkonen, et al., 2019).

#### *Milk's Effect on Gut Health and Probiotic Potential*

The fermentation potential of milk is also relevant for individuals looking to incorporate probiotic-rich foods into their diet. The SNF content, which includes proteins and lactose, is a key determinant of milk's ability to undergo fermentation (Li, et al., 2023). Higher SNF content, such as in Goat Milk (9.49 %) and Marianna Pasteurized Milk (9.46 %), may be more suitable for the production of probiotic dairy products such as yogurt, which are beneficial for gut health. Probiotic-rich (Pepoyan, et al., 2022b) dairy products support the balance of gut microbiota, which can influence immune function, digestion, and even mental health.

### **Conclusion**

This study demonstrates significant variability in the physicochemical properties of milk from different Armenian manufacturers, with notable differences in fat, protein, lactose, solid non-fat (SNF), density, and other parameters. Although these differences are relatively small, they may still play a role in managing specific health conditions, such as cardiovascular diseases, osteoporosis, and lactose intolerance. The analysis of these milk samples reveals that goat milk stands out due to its higher protein, lactose, and SNF content, as well as its lower freezing point and higher density, making it a nutritionally richer option. These characteristics make goat milk a potential candidate for targeted dairy products, especially for consumers with specific dietary needs or health conditions.

The findings have important implications for the dairy industry, particularly in the formulation of milk-based products designed to address health concerns. For instance, individuals with cardiovascular disease may benefit from milk products with lower fat content, while those with osteoporosis or lactose intolerance could benefit from higher protein or lactose-free alternatives. Additionally, the higher SNF content in goat milk, which includes more protein and minerals, may provide additional

nutritional benefits, particularly in managing bone health or supporting muscle maintenance.

Furthermore, processing methods such as pasteurization do not significantly alter the overall nutritional profile of milk but may influence parameters like fat content and microbial stability. The insights provided by this study can assist dairy producers in tailoring their products to meet the nutritional needs of various populations, including those managing chronic conditions like diabetes and osteoporosis. By understanding these physicochemical differences, dairy producers can optimize their product offerings to align with consumer health requirements and contribute to better health outcomes.

## References

- Ahuja, J.K.C., Casavale, K.O., Li, Y., Hopperton, K.E., Chakrabarti, S., Hines, E.P., Brooks, S.P.J., Bondy, G.S., MacFarlane, A.J., Weiler, H.A., Wu, X., Borghese, M.M., Ahluwalia, N., Cheung, W., Vargas, A.J., Arteaga, S., Lombo, T., Fisher, M.M., Hayward, D., Pehrsson, P.R. (2022). Perspective: Human milk composition and related data for national health and nutrition monitoring and related research. *Advances in Nutrition*, 13(6), 2098-2114. <https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmac099>.
- AlKaisy, Q.H., Al-Saadi, J.S., Al-Rikabi, A.K.J., Altemimi, A.B., Hesarinejad, M.A., & Abedelmaksoud, T.G. (2023). Exploring the health benefits and functional properties of goat milk proteins. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 11(10), 5641-5656. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.3531>.
- Antunes, I.C., Bexiga, R., Pinto, C., Roseiro, L.C., & Quaresma, M.A.G. (2022). Cow's milk in human nutrition and the emergence of plant-based milk alternatives. *Foods*, 12(1), 99. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12010099>.
- Assen, K.A., & Abegaz, M.A. (2024). Review on quality attributes of milk and commonly produced dairy products in Ethiopia. *Heliyon*, 10(21), e40089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e40089>.
- Bakke, A. J., Shehan, C.V., & Hayes, J.E. (2016). Type of milk typically consumed, and stated preference, but not health consciousness, affect revealed preferences for fat in milk. *Food Quality and Preference*, 49, 92-99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2015.12.001>.
- Balayan, M., Manvelyan, A., Marutyan, S., Isajanyan, M., Tsaturyan, V., & Pepoyan, E. (2023). Impact of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* INMIA 9602 Er-2 and *Escherichia coli* M-17 on some clinical blood characteristics of Familial Mediterranean Fever disease patients. *International Journal of Probiotics & Prebiotics*, 13, 45-53.
- Bork, P., et al. (2015). Milk analysis methods and applications. *Dairy Science and Technology*, 61(2), 87-95.
- Cheng, N., Barbano, D. M., & Drake, M. (2019). Effects of milk fat, casein, and serum protein concentrations on sensory properties of milk-based beverages. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 102(10), 8670-8690. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-16179>.
- Ferro, M.M., Tedeschi, L.O., & Atzori, A.S. (2017). The comparison of the lactation and milk yield and composition of selected breeds of sheep and goats. *Translational Animal Science*, 1(4), 498-506. <https://doi.org/10.2527/tas2017.0056>.
- Fox, P.F., McSweeney, P.L.H., Cogan, T.M., & Guinee, T.P. (2021). *Fundamentals of Cheese Science* (4th ed.). Springer.
- Givens, D.I., & Gibbs, R.A. (2009). *Dairy Products: Nutritional Value and Health Benefits*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Górska-Warsewicz, H., Rejman, K., Laskowski, W., & Cieczotko, M. (2019). Milk and dairy products and their nutritional contribution to the average Polish diet. *Nutrients*, 11(8), 1771. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11081771>.
- Huppertz, T., Shkembi, B., Brader, L., & Geurts, J. (2024). Dairy matrix effects: Physicochemical properties underlying a multifaceted paradigm. *Nutrients*, 16(7), 943. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16070943>.
- Itkonen, S.T., Erkkola, M., & Lamberg-Allardt, C.J.E. (2018). Vitamin D fortification of fluid milk products and their contribution to vitamin D intake and vitamin D status in observational studies—A review. *Nutrients*, 10(8), 1054. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10081054>.
- Kumar, P. (2024, January 5). Milk production & quality of milk: The importance of freezing point in milk quality control. Agriculture Institute. [https://agriculture.institute/milk-production-and-quality/freezing-point-milk-quality-control/#google\\_vignette](https://agriculture.institute/milk-production-and-quality/freezing-point-milk-quality-control/#google_vignette).
- Lan, X., Wu, S., Du, Q., & Min, L. (2024). The investigation of changes in bacterial community of pasteurized milk during cold storage. *Foods*, 13(3), 451. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13030451>.

17. Li, A., Zheng, J., Han, X., Yang, S., Cheng, S., Zhao, J., Zhou, W., & Lu, Y. (2023). Advances in low-lactose/lactose-free dairy products and their production. *Foods*, 12(13), 2553. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12132553>.
18. Li, Y., Weng, P., Wu, Z., & Liu, Y. (2023). Extending the shelf life of raw milk and pasteurized milk with plantaricin FB-2. *Foods*, 12(3), 608. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12030608>.
19. Liao, G., Wang, T., Li, X., Gu, J., Jia, Q., Wang, Z., Li, H., Qian, Y., & Qiu, J. (2024). Comparison of the lipid composition of milk fat globules in goat (*Capra hircus*) milk during different lactations and human milk. *Foods*, 13(11), 1618. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13111618>.
20. Lind, T. (2007). Lactose in dairy products and its health implications. *Food Reviews International*, 23(3), 1-9.
21. Magan, J.B., O'Callaghan, T.F., Kelly, A.L., & McCarthy, N.A. (2021). Compositional and functional properties of milk and dairy products derived from cows fed pasture or concentrate-based diets. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 20(3), 2769-2800. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12751>.
22. Mansueto, P., Seidita, A., Chiavetta, M., Genovese, D., Giuliano, A., Priano, W., Carroccio, A., Casuccio, A., & Amodio, E. (2022). Familial Mediterranean fever and diet: A narrative review of the scientific literature. *Nutrients*, 14(15), 3216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14153216>.
23. Minari, T.P., Tácito, L.H.B., Yugar, L.B.T., Ferreira-Melo, S.E., Manzano, C.F., Pires, A.C., Moreno, H., Vilela-Martin, J.F., Cosenso-Martin, L.N., & Yugar-Toledo, J.C. (2023). Nutritional strategies for the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: A narrative review. *Nutrients*, 15(24), 5096. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15245096>.
24. Olsen, M.A., Ferneborg, S., Vhile, S.G., Kidane, A., & Skeie, S.B. (2023). Different protein sources in concentrate feed for dairy cows affect cheese-making properties and yield. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 106(8), 5328-5337. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2022-22662>.
25. Pepoyan, A. (2024). Gut Akkermansia muciniphila, Prevotellaceae, and Enterobacteriaceae spp. as possible markers in women-related nutritional and clinical trials: Familial Mediterranean fever disease. *Women's Health Reports*, 785-793. <https://doi.org/10.1089/whr.2024.0076>.
26. Pepoyan, A., Pepoyan, E., Galstyan, L., Harutyunyan, N., Tsaturyan, V., & Torok, T. (2023). The effect of immunobiotic/psychobiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* strain INMIA 9602 Er 317/402 Narine on gut Prevotella in Familial Mediterranean Fever: Gender-associated effects. *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 13, 157-167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-021-09779-3>.
27. Pepoyan, A.Z., Arutunian, N., Grigorian, A., Tsaturian, V.V., Manvelian, A.M., & Dilnian, E. (2022a). The certain clinical characteristics of blood in patients with Familial Mediterranean Fever in the Armenian population. *Klinicheskaya Laboratornaya Diagnostika*, 60(6), 46-47.
28. Pepoyan, A.Z., Balayan, M.H., Malkhasyan, L., Manvelyan, A., Bezhanyan, T., & others. (2022b). Effects of probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* strain INMIA 9602 Er 317/402 and putative probiotic lactobacilli on DNA damages in the small intestine of Wistar rats in vivo. *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-018-9491-y>.
29. Pepoyan, E., Marotta, F., Manvelyan, A., Galstyan, A., & Stepanyan, L. (2024). Placebo resistant gut bacteria: *Akkermansia muciniphila* spp. and Familial Mediterranean fever disease. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 14, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1336752>.
30. Rahman, M.S., & Rahman, M.R.T. (2020). pH in food preservation. In *Handbook of Food Preservation* (3rd ed., p. 10). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429091483>.
31. Rozenberg, S., Body, J.J., Bruyère, O., Bergmann, P., Brandi, M.L., Cooper, C., Devogelaer, J.P., Gielen, E., Goemaere, S., Kaufman, J.M., Rizzoli, R., & Reginster, J.Y. (2016). Effects of dairy products consumption on health: Benefits and beliefs – A commentary from the Belgian Bone Club and the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis, Osteoarthritis, and Musculoskeletal Diseases. *Calcified Tissue International*, 98(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-015-0062-x>.
32. Shkembi, B., & Huppertz, T. (2023). Glycemic responses of milk and plant-based drinks: Food matrix effects. *Foods*, 12(3), 453. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12030453>.
33. Silva, R.C. da, Colleran, H.L., & Ibrahim, S.A. (2021). Milk fat globule membrane in infant nutrition: A dairy industry perspective. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 88(1), 105-116. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029921000224>.

34. Siri-Tarino, P.W., Sun, Q., Hu, F.B., & Krauss, R.M. (2010). Saturated fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease: Modulation by replacement nutrients. *Current Atherosclerosis Reports*, 12(6), 384-390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883>.
35. Toghdory, A., Ghoorchi, T., Asadi, M., Bokharaeian, M., Najafi, M., & Ghassemi Nejad, J. (2022). Effects of environmental temperature and humidity on milk composition, microbial load, and somatic cells in milk of Holstein dairy cows in the northeast regions of Iran. *Animals (Basel)*, 12(18), 2484. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12182484>.
36. Tsaturyan, V., Manvelyan, A., Balayan, M., Harutyunyan, N., & Pepoyan, E. (2024). Host genetics and gut microbiota composition: Baseline gut microbiota composition as a possible prognostic factor for the severity of COVID-19. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1107485>.
37. Woźniak, D., Cichy, W., Dobrzyńska, M., Przysławski, J., & Drzymała-Czyż, S. (2022). Reasonableness of enriching cow's milk with vitamins and minerals. *Foods*, 11(8), 1079. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11081079>.
38. Wu, J., Chen, S., & Van der Meeren, P. (2024). Heat stability assessment of milk: A review of traditional and innovative methods. *Foods*, 13(14), 2236. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13142236>.
39. Yenokyan, G., & Armenian, H.K. (2012). Triggers for attacks in familial Mediterranean fever: Application of the case-crossover design. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 175(10), 1054-1061. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwr460>.

---

**Declarations of interest**

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

---

*Received on 26.11.2024*

*Revised on 13.12.2024*

*Accepted on 30.12.2024*





# Dietary Trials and Gut Candidate Phyla Radiation Bacteria: The Effect of Placebo on the Prevalence of *Saccharibacteria* in Healthy Armenian Women and Women with Familial Mediterranean Fever

Natalya Harutyunyan<sup>ID</sup>, Lena Stepanyan<sup>ID</sup>, Lena Malkhasyan<sup>ID</sup>, Astghik Pepoyan<sup>ID</sup>

Armenian National Agrarian University

[natalya.harutyunyan@list.ru](mailto:natalya.harutyunyan@list.ru), [lena.stepanyan95@mail.ru](mailto:lena.stepanyan95@mail.ru), [malkhasyan.1955@mail.ru](mailto:malkhasyan.1955@mail.ru), [aepoyan@gmail.com](mailto:aepoyan@gmail.com)

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Candidate Phyla Radiation,  
placebo,  
*Saccharibacteria*,  
FMF,  
microbiota

## ABSTRACT

“Candidate Phyla Radiation” (CPR) bacteria, representing ~15 % of bacterial diversity and over 70 phyla, are extremely small bacteria that primarily survive in parasitic or symbiotic forms. CPR bacteria, including *Candidatus Brownbacteria*, *Candidatus Hugbacteria*, and *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* (formerly TM7), were first identified in humans in 2007. They are linked to the microbiota of healthy and diseased individuals, being present in the oral cavity, gastrointestinal, and reproductive tracts. CPR bacteria, such as *Saccharibacteria*, are associated with dysbiotic conditions like periodontitis and can act as pathogens and potential protectors against inflammatory damage caused by host-associated bacteria. This study aimed to assess the effect of a placebo on gut *Saccharibacteria* in healthy Armenian women and those with Familial Mediterranean Fever (FMF) disease, a condition with high prevalence in Armenia and often associated with oral microbiota disturbances. Stool samples were analyzed using a culture-independent, high-density DNA microarray method, and statistical analyses were performed with Multibase 2015 Excel Add-in program (NumericalDynamics, Tokyo, Japan). Results indicate that *Saccharibacteria* respond variably to placebo depending on health status, with some showing significant quantitative or qualitative changes while others remained unchanged. In conclusion, this study confirms the presence of CPR bacteria in the gut microbiota of both healthy women and those with FMF. The distinct responses of intestinal CPR bacteria to placebo highlight the importance of placebo-controlled trials in microbiota research. Furthermore, the findings emphasize the potential role of *Saccharibacteria* in gut-brain processes and their implications in health and disease.

## Introduction

Candidate Phyla Radiation bacteria, which make up about 15 % of total bacterial diversity and over 70 phyla,

represent a recently discovered group. The latter show minimal functional variability and are classified as obligate fermenters. (Danczak, et al., 2017). CPR bacteria are characterized by their extremely small cell sizes,

simplified genomes, and limited metabolic capabilities. They are hypothesized to survive in parasitic or symbiotic forms (Ji, et al., 2022). Examples of CPR bacteria include *Candidatus Brownbacteria* and *Candidatus Hugbacteria* (Danczak, et al., 2017). The presence of *nirK* in four *Parcubacteria* genomes, which encodes nitrite reductase, indicates the potential role of these microorganisms in the denitrification process (Danczak, et al., 2017). Some representatives found in various underground niches show potential for carbon processing, which allows them to degrade a wider range of carbon substrates compared to other CPR phyla (Danczak, et al., 2017). Certain proteins unique to CPR bacteria regulate certain cell-to-cell interactions, which are crucial for their episymbiotic lifestyle (Méheust, et al., 2019). A detailed analysis of the CPR-C4 protein has enabled the identification of a non-canonical cysteine-histidine-leucine (carbonyl) catalytic triad in this protein. Structural and functional similarities between CPR-C4 and human vaso-hemoglobins suggest evolutionary relationships (Cornish, et al., 2022).

CRISPR targeting in the *Microgenomates superphylum* has identified three phages and prophages with complete genomes. Interestingly, one of these phages encodes a Cas4-like protein. *Roizmanbacteria*, which possess CRISPR loci, include a fragmented CasY gene (fCasY). These studies on CPR bacteria expand our understanding of CasY diversity and, more broadly, provide insights into the CRISPR-Cas systems and the phages associated with CPR bacteria (Chen, et al., 2019). CPR bacteria were first identified in humans in 2007 as part of the oral microbiota (Liu, et al., 2024; Allison, et al., 2024; Hu, et al., 2024; Rajasekaran, et al., 2024; van der Ploeg, et al., 2024; Baker, et al., 2017; DeDe Kwun Wai et al., 2024). In both healthy and diseased states, the human oral microbiota is associated with CPR bacteria such as *Gracilibacteria*, *Absconditabacteria*, and *Saccharibacteria* (formerly known as TM7). Subsequently, CPR bacteria have also been described in the human gastrointestinal and reproductive tracts, indicating that these bacteria constitute a minor part of the human microbiota. The prevalence of CPR bacteria is also noted in pathological states, under dysbiotic conditions. (Naud, et al., 2022, Jaffe, et al., 2024, Zhu, H., et al., 2024). *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* are regarded as the most widespread bacteria found in the oral microbiota. (Bor, et al., 2019). They have “host” bacteria and, in fact, act as epibionts residing on the surface of the latter (Chipashvili, et al., 2021). The presence of *Saccharibacteria* is primarily associated with periodontitis, suggesting that these bacteria may act as pathogens. However, Chipashvili and co-authors also conclude that the species isolated from individuals with periodontitis may protect mammals from

inflammatory damage caused by host-associated bacteria (Chipashvili, et al., 2021).

In dietary and clinical trials, the effects of nutrients or drugs on the composition and prevalence of gut microbiota and its individual members are frequently compared to the effects of a placebo, an “inert” substance (Munnangi, et al., 2023; Khneizer, et al., 2022; Gupta, et al., 2013; van de Put, et al., 2024; Staudacher, et al., 2017; Pepoyan, 2024a; Lieb, et al., 2020; Jung, et al., 2023; Pepoyan E., et al., 2024). Probiotics have become increasingly used in recent years to regulate dysbiotic conditions in organisms humans (Latif, et al., 2023; Sulaimany, et al., 2024; Tsaturyan, et al., 2022; Jan, et al., 2024; Jangi, et al., 2024; Mirzabekyan, et al., 2023; Pepoyan, et al., 2018a; Pepoyan, et al., 2009), animals (Mirzabekyan, et al., 2023; Pepoyan, et al., 2020a, b, c, d; Manvelyan, et al., 2023; Pepoyan, et al., 2023, 2024) and plants (Harutyunyan, et al., 2022). In nutritional and clinical trials involving probiotics, comparisons are also made against placebo (Kazemi, et al., 2019; Lazou-Ahrén, et al., 2024; Jukic Peladic, et al., 2021; Colletti, et al., 2023; Virk, et al., 2024).

Considering that the composition of the normal human intestinal microbiota is influenced by factors such as genetics (Goodrich, et al., 2014; Goodrich, et al., 2017; Tsaturyan, et al., 2023; Hou, et al., 2022), diet (Rinninella, et al., 2023; Martínez, et al., 2024; Zhang, 2022; González Olmo, et al., 2021), sex (Kim, et al., 2020; Fransen, et al., 2017; Tsai, et al., 2022; Pepoyan, et al., 2021; Galstyan, et al., 2008), healthy or diseased states (Belli, et al., 2023; Yeoh, et al., 2021; Borzan, et al., 2023; Hou, et al., 2022; Van Hul, et al., 2024; Afzaal, et al., 2022; Kho, et al., 2018), environmental factors (Pepoyan, et al., 2023; De Filippis, et al., 2024; Singh, et al., 2022; Jernfors, et al., 2024; Chiu, et al., 2020), and given that Familial Mediterranean Fever (FMF) is relatively common in Armenia (Balayan, et al., 2015; Pepoyan, et al., 2015a; Pepoyan, et al., 2019a, b) and that individuals with FMF often experience oral cavity-related issues (Sogur, et al., 2013; Abouzaid, et al., 2022), the aim of this preliminary study was to characterize the effect of placebo on the prevalence of *Saccharibacteria* (TM7) in the intestinal microbiota of healthy and FMF-affected Armenian women.

## Materials and methods

Our previous works describe the approaches and methods (Tsaturyan, et al., 2023; Pepoyan, et al., 2015b) for conducting the present research, and the datasets are available [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) ((GSE111835 study at: [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)) accessed on March 16, 2008, last modified on July 16, 2018)). This method also made it possible to study

differences in the relative abundance of bacterial taxa based on differences in hybridization intensity.

The bacterial analysis of stool samples was performed using the third-generation, culture-independent, high-density DNA microarray method described by Kellogg and co-authors. As a placebo, high-quality empty gelatin capsules commonly used in pharmaceutical preparations were utilized (Kellogg, et al., 2013; Pepoyan, et al., 2018b). PhyloChip™ DNA microarray, which allows the evaluation of more than 50,000 individual bacterial taxa, also made it possible to study differences in the relative abundance of bacterial taxa based on differences in hybridization intensity. Seven operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of *Candidatus Saccharibacteria spp.* in the human intestinal microbiota were analyzed using the Multibase 2015 Excel Add-in program (NumericalDynamics, Tokyo, Japan).

## Results and discussions

The hybridization scores for gut *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* species (formerly TM7) were analyzed to evaluate their response to placebo administration in healthy and FMF-affected women (Table). The data indicate distinct response patterns between the two groups, highlighting potential differences in microbiota dynamics based on health status.

In FMF-affected women, no statistically significant changes in hybridization scores were observed for any strains ( $P > 0.05$ ) following placebo administration. Strains Str.1, Str.3, and Str.4 exhibited minimal fluctuations in scores, with values before and after placebo administration

remaining comparable (e.g., Str.1:  $6208 \pm 1320$  vs.  $6034 \pm 1297$ ,  $P = 0.83$ ). Strain Str.6 showed a notable increase in intensity ( $3334 \pm 936$  before vs.  $4273 \pm 691$  after); however, this change was not statistically significant ( $P = 0.16$ ).

In healthy women, in contrast to the FMF group, several strains demonstrated changes in hybridization scores following placebo administration: strain Str.5 showed a significant reduction in intensity after placebo ( $558 \pm 330$  vs.  $358 \pm 194$ ,  $P = 0.04$ ), indicating a placebo response, Strain Str.6 also exhibited a significant decrease in scores post-placebo administration ( $4609 \pm 1761$  vs.  $3346 \pm 1047$ ,  $P = 0.02$ ). Strain Str.7 approached statistical significance ( $P = 0.05$ ), with a decrease in hybridization scores from  $3151 \pm 1581$  to  $2352 \pm 784$ . Other strains, including Str.1, Str.3, and Str.4, showed no significant differences before and after placebo administration ( $P > 0.05$ ).

In summary, the stability of scores across all strains in FMF-affected women contrasts with the changes observed in healthy women, particularly for strains Str.5, Str.6, and Str.7. This divergence suggests that the gut microbiota response to placebo may depend on underlying health conditions, with FMF potentially influencing the resilience or responsiveness of *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* species.

At the same time, a study of the quantitative/qualitative prevalence of *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* in the intestinal microbiota of healthy women and women with FMF disease showed that there were no significant quantitative differences between these bacteria in the intestinal microbiota of healthy and FMF patients ( $P > 0.05$ ;  $P$  values not shown in Table).

**Table.** The intensity of hybridization scores of gut *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* (former TM7) spp. before/after placebo administration in healthy and FMF-affected women\*

| Strains | Intensity    |           |                |                    |                   |                |
|---------|--------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|
|         | FMF women    |           |                | Healthy women      |                   |                |
|         | Before       | After     | P before/after | Before the placebo | After the placebo | P before/after |
| Str.1   | 6208±1320    | 6034±1297 | 0.83           | 5254±1203          | 5266±992          | 0.29           |
| Str.2   | 910±323      | 867±205   | 0.64           | 846±587            | 539±318           | 0.06           |
| Str.3   | 202±118      | 402±310   | 0.28           | 1023±1499          | 403±633           | 0.12           |
| Str.4   | 6178±2494    | 5388±2567 | 0.58           | 4244±1805          | 4576±1692         | 0.45           |
| Str.5   | 422±165      | 438±205   | 0.91           | 558±330            | 358±194           | 0.04           |
| Str.6   | 3334±936     | 4273±691  | 0.16           | 4609±1761          | 3346±1047         | 0.02           |
| Str 7   | 3552±1793.54 | 2960±830  | 0.47           | 3151±1581          | 2352±784          | 0.05           |

\*Composed by the authors.

An intriguing finding is that *Saccharibacteria* exhibit no significant quantitative differences in the gut microbiota between healthy women and those with familial Mediterranean fever (FMF). As previously noted, oral diseases are prevalent among FMF patients. In light of these observations, it can be hypothesized that women with FMF may experience a shift in the oral-intestinal microbiota.

Additionally, it is noteworthy that intestinal *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* may exhibit variable responses to placebo, contingent upon the individual's health status. This variability could potentially be attributed to the unique characteristics of the gut-brain axis in healthy versus diseased individuals.

The current study reaffirms the existence of these features and provides evidence of the involvement of intestinal *Saccharibacteria* in gut-brain axes. The distinctive characteristics of this relationship, influenced by the manifestations of depression in female patients with familial Mediterranean fever, have been demonstrated in our previous studies (Pepoyan, et al., 2021). Based on the findings of this study, role of basibiont bacteria of *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* in the gut-brain axis is also suggested. However, these hypotheses necessitate further investigation through advanced molecular biological studies, which are planned for future research endeavors.

## Conclusion

Currently, microbiological research is undergoing rapid development. The advancements have led to the discovery of CPR bacteria. These bacteria were first described as "ultramicrobacteria" with cell sizes smaller than  $0.1 \mu\text{m}^3$  in 1981. CPR bacteria are predominantly found in the microbiomes of subsurface waters and are characterized by low biomass. Although CPR bacteria are relatively rare within the human microbiota and are predominantly associated with the oral microbiota, they are also present, albeit in low concentrations, as constituents of the normal intestinal microbiota. The presence of *Saccharibacteria* in individuals with conditions such as familial Mediterranean fever may highlight their role in mediating specific health responses through the gut-brain axis. This group of bacteria, while still under investigation, could offer insights into how gut microbiota composition influences health conditions with a neurological component. This study highlights the potential role of *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* in the gut microbiota, particularly in the context of FMF. Although their quantitative prevalence did not differ significantly between healthy women and

those with FMF, notable differences in responsiveness to placebo administration were observed. In healthy women, certain strains exhibited significant changes, whereas FMF patients showed no significant alterations, suggesting that FMF may influence microbiota stability and responsiveness.

The findings support the potential involvement of *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* in the gut-brain axis, with their dynamics influenced by host health status. Additionally, the potential shift in oral-intestinal microbiota in FMF patients highlights the interconnectedness of microbiota across anatomical sites.

These results emphasize the need for further molecular studies to confirm the functional role of *Candidatus Saccharibacteria* in human gut and gut-brain health and their implications for conditions like FMF.

We sincerely thank Drs Torok and Piceno for their invaluable contributions to this study.

## References

1. Abouzaid, M., Hassib, N., Hamed, K., Taher, M., Sokkar, M., Eltaweel, N., El-Bassouni, H. (2022). Oro-dental features in Egyptian patients with familial mediterranean fever. // *Spec Care Dentist.* - V. 42, - 376-382 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1111/scd.12687>.
2. Afzaal, M., Saeed, F., Shah, Y.A., Hussain, M., Rabail, R., Socol, C.T., Hassoun, A., Pateiro, M., Lorenzo, J.M., Rusu, A.V., Aadil, R.M. (2022). Human gut microbiota in health and disease: Unveiling the relationship. // *Front Microbiol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.999001>.
3. Allison, J.R., Tiede, S., Holliday, R., Durham, J., Jakubovics, N.S. (2024). Bioerosols and airborne transmission in the dental clinic. // *Int Dent J.* - V. 74, - 418-428 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2024.09.026>.
4. Baker, J.L., Bor, B., Agnello, M., Shi, W., He, X. (2017). Ecology of the oral microbiome: Beyond Bacteria. // *Trends Microbiol.* - V. 25, - 362-374 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.12.012>.
5. Balayan, M., Manvelyan, A., Marutyan, S., Isajanyan, M., Tsaturyan, V., Pepoyan, A.Z., Francesco, M., Torok, T. (2015). Impact of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* INMIA 9602 Er-2 and *Escherichia coli* M-17 on some clinical blood characteristics of familial Mediterranean fever disease patients from the Armenian cohort. // *Int J Probiotics and Prebiotics.* - V. 10, - 133-144 pp.
6. Belli, M., Barone, L., Longo, S., Prandi, F.R., Lecis,



- D., Mollace, R., Margonato, D., Muscoli, S., Sergi, D., Federici, M., Barillà, F. (2023). Gut microbiota composition and cardiovascular disease: A potential new therapeutic target? // *Int J Mol Sci.* - V. 24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241511971>.
7. Bor, B., Bedree, J.K., Shi, W., McLean, J.S., He, X. (2019). Saccharibacteria (TM7) in the Human Oral Microbiome. // *J Dent Res.* - V. 98, - 500-509 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034519831671>.
  8. Borzan, V., Riedl, R., Obermayer-Pietsch, B. (2023). Probiotic vs. placebo and metformin: probiotic dietary intervention in polycystic ovary syndrome - A randomized controlled trial. // *BMC Endocr Disord.* - V. 23, - 82 p. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12902-023-01294-6>.
  9. Chen, L.X., Al-Shayeb, B., Méheust, R., Li, W.J., Doudna, J.A., Banfield, J.F. (2019). Candidate Phyla Radiation Roizmanbacteria From Hot Springs Have Novel and Unexpectedly Abundant CRISPR-Cas Systems. // *Front Microbiol.* <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00928>.
  10. Chipashvili, O., Utter, D.R., Bedree, J.K., Ma, Y., Schulte, F., Mascarini, G., Alayyoubi, Y., Chouhan, D., Hardt, M., Bidlack, F., Hasturk, H., He, X., McLean, J.S., Bor, B. (2021). Episymbiotic Saccharibacteria suppresses gingival inflammation and bone loss in mice through host bacterial modulation. // *Cell Host Microbe.* - V 29, - 1649-1662 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2021.09.009>.
  11. Chiu, K., Warner, G., Nowak, R.A., Flaws, J.A., Mei, W. (2020). The impact of environmental chemicals on the gut microbiome. // *Toxicol Sci.* - V 176, - 253-284 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfaa065>.
  12. Colletti, A., Pellizzato, M., Cicero, A.F. (2023). The possible Role of Probiotic Supplementation in Inflammation: A Narrative Review. // *Microorganisms.* - V 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11092160>.
  13. Cornish, K.A.S., Lange, J., Aevansson, A., Pohl, E. (2022). CPR-C4 is a highly conserved novel protease from the Candidate Phyla Radiation with remote structural homology to human vasohibins. // *J Biol Chem.* - V 298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101919>.
  14. Danczak, R.E., Johnston, M.D., Kenah, C., Slattery, M., Wrighton, K.C., Wilkins, M.J. (2017). Members of the Candidate Phyla Radiation are functionally differentiated by carbon- and nitrogen-cycling capabilities. // *Microbiome.* - V 5, - 112 p. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0331-1>.
  15. DeDe, Kwun, Wai, Man., Syrie, M., Hermans., Martin, Taubert., Sarahi, L., Garcia., Sundar, Hengoju., Kirsten, Küsel., Miriam, A., Rosenbaum. (2024). Enrichment of different taxa of the enigmatic candidate phyla radiation bacteria using a novel picolitre droplet technique. // *ISME Communications.* - V 4. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ismeco/ycae080>.
  16. De Filippis, F., Valentino, V., Sequino, G., Boriello, G., Riccardi, M.G., Pierri, B., Cerino, P., Pizzolante A., Pasolli, E., Esposito, M., Limone, A., Ercolini, D. (2024). Exposure to environmental pollutants selects for xenobiotic-degrading functions in the human gut microbiome. // *Nat Commun.* - V 15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-48739-7>.
  17. Franssen, F., van Beek, A.A., Borghuis, T., Meijer, B., Hugenholtz, F., van der Gaast-de Jongh, C., Savelkoul, H.F., de Jonge, M.I., Faas, M.M., Boekschoten, M.V., Smidt, H., El, Aidy, S., de Vos, P. (2017). The Impact of Gut Microbiota on Gender-Specific Differences in Immunity. // *Front Immunol.* - V 8, - 754 p. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00754>.
  18. Galstyan, L., Tsaturyan, V., Pepoyan, A. (2008). Efficiency of pre and probiotic therapy for the management of periodic disease and hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy of newborns: NLRP3 inflammasome. PARMA (in Armenian). - V 57, - 49-51 pp.
  19. Goodrich, J.K., Davenport, E.R., Clark, A.G., Ley, R.E. (2017). The relationship between the human genome and microbiome comes into view. // *Annu Rev Genet.* - V 51, - 413-433 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-110711-155532>.
  20. Goodrich, J.K., Waters, J.L., Poole, A.C., Sutter, J.L., Koren, O., Blekman, R., Beaumont, M., Van Treuren, W., Knight, R., Bell, J.T., Spector, T.D., Clark, A.G., Ley, R.E., Human genetics shape the gut microbiome. (2014). // *Cell.* - V 159, - 789-799 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.053>.
  21. González Olmo, B.M., Butler, M.J., Barrientos, R.M. (2021). Evolution of the human diet and its impact on gut microbiota, immune responses, and brain health. // *Nutrients.* - V 13, - 196 p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13010196>.
  22. Gupta, U., Verma, M. (2013). Placebo in clinical trials. // *Perspect Clin Res.* - V 4, - 49-52 pp. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-3485.106383>.
  23. Harutyunyan, N., Kushugulova, A., Hovhannisyan, N., Pepoyan, A. (2022). One health probiotics as



- biocontrol agents: One Health Tomato Probiotics. // *Plants* (Basel). - V 11, - 1334 p. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11101334>.
24. Hou, K., Wu, Z.X., Chen, X.Y., Wang, J.Q., Zhang, D., Xiao, Ch., Zhu, D., B.Koya, J., Wei, L., Li, J., Chen, Zh.Sh. (2022). Microbiota in health and diseases. // *Sig Transduct Target Ther.* - V 7, - 135 p. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022-00974-4>.
25. Hu, K., Huang, T., Zhang, Y., Ye, Z., Guo, J., Zhou, H. (2024). A causal association between esophageal cancer and the oral microbiome: a Mendelian randomization study based on an Asian population. // *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* - V 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1420625>.
26. Jaffe, A.L., Banfield, J.F. (2024). Candidate phyla radiation bacteria. // *Curr Biol.* - V 34, - R80-R81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2023.12.024>.
27. Jan, T., Negi, R., Sharma, B., Kumar, S., Singh, S., Rai, A.K., Shreaz, S., Rustagi, S., Chaudhary, N., Kaur, T., Kour, D., Sheikh, M.A., Kumar, K., Yadav, A.N., Ahmed, N. (2024) Next generation probiotics for human health: An emerging perspective. // *Heliyon.* - V 10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e35980>.
28. Jangi, S., Hecht, G. (2024). Microbiome 2.0: lessons from the 2024 gut microbiota for health world summit. // *Gut Microbes.* - V 16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2024.2400579>.
29. Jernfors, T., Lavrinienko, A., Vareniuk, I., Landberg R., Fristedt, R., Tkachenko, O., Taskinen, S., Tukalenko, E., Mappes, T., Watts, P.C. (2024). Association between gut health and gut microbiota in a polluted environment. // *Sci Total Environ.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.169804>.
30. Ji, Y., Zhang, P., Zhou, S., Gao, P., Wang, B., Jiang, J. (2022). Widespread but poorly understood bacteria: Candidate Phyla Radiation. // *Microorganisms.* - V 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10112232>.
31. Jukic, Peladic, N., Dell'Aquila, G., Carrieri, B., Maggio, M., Cherubini, A., Orlandoni, P. (2021). Potential role of probiotics for inflammaging: A narrative review. // *Nutrients.* - V 13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13092919>.
32. Jung, S.H., Lee, Y.H., Lee, E.K., Park, S.D., Shim, J.J., Lee, J.L., Yoo, H.H. (2023). Effects of plant-based extract mixture on alcohol metabolism and hangover improvement in humans: A randomized, double-blind, paralleled, placebo-controlled clinical trial. // *J Clin Med.* - V 12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12165244>.
33. Kazemi, A., Noorbala, A.A., Azam, K., Eskandari, M.H., Djafarian, K. (2019). Effect of probiotic and prebiotic vs placebo on psychological outcomes in patients with major depressive disorder: A randomized clinical trial. // *Randomized Controlled trial.* - V 38, - 522-528 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2018.04.010>.
34. Kellogg, C.A., Piceno, Y.M., Tom, L.M., DeSantis, T.Z., Gray, M.A., Zawada, D.G., Andersen G.L. (2013). Comparing bacterial community composition between healthy and white plague-like disease states in *Orbicella annularis* using PhyloChip™ G3 microarrays. // *PLoS One.* - V 8. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079801>.
35. Khneizer, G., Desai, R. (2022). Placebo Use in medicine: Use, abuse & therapy. // *Mo Med.* - V 119, - 524-528 pp.
36. Kho, Z.Y., Lal, S.K. (2018). The human gut microbiome - A potential controller of wellness and disease. // *Front Microbiol.* - V 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01835>.
37. Kim, Y.S., Unno, T., Kim, B.Y., Park, M.S. (2020). Sex differences in gut microbiota. // *World J Mens Health.* - V 38, - 48-60 pp. <https://doi.org/10.5534/wjmh.190009>.
38. Latif, A., Shehzad, A., Niazi, S., Zahid, A., Ashraf, W., Iqbal, M.W., Rehman, A., Riaz, T., Aadil, R.M., Khan, I.M., Özogul, F., Rocha, J.M., Esatbeyoglu, T., Korma, S.A. (2023). Probiotics: mechanism of action, health benefits and their application in food industries. // *Front Microbiol.* - V 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1216674>.
39. Lazou-Ahrén, I., Björklund, M., Molin, G., Xu, J., Önnings, G., Elmståhl, S., Jeppsson, B. (2024). Probiotic-reduced inflammaging in older adults: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. // *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-024-10310-7>.
40. Lieb, B., Schmitt, P. (2020). Randomised double-blind placebo-controlled intervention study on the nutritional efficacy of a food for special medical purposes (FSMP) and a dietary supplement in reducing the symptoms of veisalgia. // *BMJ Nutr Prev Health.* - V 3, - 31-39 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjnph-2019-000042>.
41. Liu, L., Zhang, Y., Ju, T., Chen, X., Li, X., WuL. A. (2024). Nanozymes: a promising solution for dental antibacterial applications. // *RSC Adv.* - V 14, - 36945-36959 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra07303g>.

42. Manvelyan, A., Balayan, M., Miralimova, S., Chistyakov, V., Pepoyan, A. (2023). Biofilm formation and auto-aggregation abilities of novel targeted aqua-probiotics. *Funct. Foods in Health and Dis.* - V 13, - 179-190 pp. <https://doi.org/10.31989/ffhd.v13i4.1093>.
43. Martínez, A., Velázquez, L., Díaz, R., Huaiquipán, R., Pérez, I., Muñoz, A., Valdés, M., Sepúlveda, N., Paz, E., Quiñones, J. (2024). Impact of novel foods on the human gut microbiome: Current status. // *Microorganisms.* - V 12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12091750>.
44. Méheust, R., Burstein, D., Castelle, C.J., Banfield, J.F. (2019). The distinction of CPR bacteria from other bacteria based on protein family content. // *Nat Commun.* - V 10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12171-z>.
45. Mirzabekyan, S., Harutyunyan, N., Manvelyan, A., Malkhasyan, L., Balayan, M., Miralimova, S., Chikindas, M.L., Chistyakov, V., Pepoyan, A. (2023). Fish probiotics: cell surface properties of fish intestinal Lactobacilli and Escherichia coli. // *Microorganisms.* - V 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11030595>.
46. Munnangi, S., Sundjaja, J.H., Singh, K., Dua, A., Angus, L.D. (2023). Placebo effect. In: *StatPearls* [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK513296/>.
47. Naud, S., Ibrahim, A., Valles, C., Maatouk, M., Bittar, F., Tidjani, Alou, M., Raoult, D. (2022). Candidate Phyla Radiation, an underappreciated division of the human microbiome, and its impact on health and disease. // *Clin Microbiol.* - V 35. <https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00140-21>.
48. Pepoyan, A., Balayan, M., Manvelyan, A., Galstyan, L., Pepoyan, S., Petrosyan, S., Tsaturyan, V., Kamiya, S., Torok, T., Chikindas, M. (2018 b). Probiotic Lactobacillus acidophilus strain INMIA 9602 Er 317/402 administration reduces the numbers of Candida albicans and abundance of enterobacteria in the gut microbiota of Familial Mediterranean Fever patients. // *Front Immunol.* - V 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01426>.
49. Pepoyan, A., Balayan, M., Manvelyan, A., Pepoyan, S., Malkhasyan, L., Bezhanyan, T., Paronikyan, R., Malakyan, M., Bajinyan S., Tsaturyan, V., Kamiya, Sh., Chikindas, M. (2018a). Radioprotective effects of lactobacilli with antagonistic activities against human pathogens. // *Biophys J.* - V 114, - 665a p. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2017.11.3586>.
50. Pepoyan, A. (2024a). Gut Akkermansia muciniphila, Prevotellaceae, and Enterobacteriaceae spp. as possible markers in women-related nutritional and clinical trials: Familial Mediterranean fever disease. // *Womens Health Rep (New Rochelle).* - V 5, - 785-793 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1089/whr.2024.0076>.
51. Pepoyan, A., Harutyunyan, N., Grigoryan, A., Balayan, M., Tsaturyan, V., Manvelyan, A., Dilanyan, E., Torok, T. (2015a). Some clinical blood characteristics of patients with familial Mediterranean fever disease from an Armenian cohort. // *Klin Lab Diagn.* - V 60, - 46-48 pp. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/278670961\\_Some\\_clinical\\_blood\\_characteristics\\_of\\_patients\\_with\\_familial\\_Mediterranean\\_fever\\_disease\\_from\\_an\\_Armenian\\_cohort](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/278670961_Some_clinical_blood_characteristics_of_patients_with_familial_Mediterranean_fever_disease_from_an_Armenian_cohort).
52. Pepoyan, A.M., Manvelyan, M.H., Balayan, V., Kh. Mamikonyan. (2023). Novel potential feed probiotics for fish: Lactobacillus rhamnosus Vahe. // *AgriScience and Technology.* - V 4. Retrieved from: <https://journal.anau.am/index.php/anau/article/view/236>.
53. Pepoyan, A., Mikayelyan, M., Grigoryan, H., Stepanyan, L., Mirzabekyan, S., Malkhasyan, L., Harutyunyan, N., Manvelyan, A., Balayan, M. (2024b). Challenges for heat stress: Intestinal culturable bacteria of Lohmann Brown chickens. // *Res Vet Sci.* - V 172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2024.105258>.
54. Pepoyan, A., Trchounian, A. (2009). Biophysics, molecular and cellular biology of probiotic activity of bacteria, ed. by Trchounian A.H. Research Signpost: Kerala, India. *Bacterial Membr.*, 275-287 pp. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257931974\\_Biophysics\\_molecular\\_and\\_cellular\\_biology\\_of\\_probiotic\\_activity\\_of\\_bacteria](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257931974_Biophysics_molecular_and_cellular_biology_of_probiotic_activity_of_bacteria).
55. Pepoyan, A.Z., Balayan, M.H., Malkhasyan, L., Manvelyan, A., Bezhanyan, T., Paronikyan, R., Tsaturyan, V.V., Tatikyan, S., Kamiya, S., Chikindas, M.L. (2019b). Effects of probiotic Lactobacillus acidophilus Strain INMIA 9602 Er 317/402 and putative probiotic lactobacilli on DNA damages in the small intestine of Wistar rats in vivo. // *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins.* - V 11, - 905-909 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-018-9491-y>.
56. Pepoyan, A.Z., Balayan, M.A., Atrutyunyan, N.A., Grigoryan, A.G., Tsaturyan, V.V., Manvelyan, A.M., Dilanyan, E., Pitseno, I., Torok, T. (2015b). Antibiotic resistance of Escherichia coli of the intestinal microbiota in patients with Familial Mediterranean fever. // *Klin Med (Mosk).* - V 93, - 37-39 pp.

57. Pepoyan, A.Z., Harutyunyan, N.A., Pepoyan, E.S., Tsaturyan, V.V., and Torok, T. (2019a). Relationship between the numbers of *Candida albicans* and abundance of *Helicobacter* spp. in the gut microbiota of Familial Mediterranean fever patients. // *Helicobacter*. - V 24.
58. Pepoyan, A.Z., Manvelyan, A.M., Balayan, M.H., Galstyan, S., Tsaturyan, V.V., Grigoryan, B., Chikindas, M.L. (2020d). Low-dose electron-beam irradiation for the improvement of biofilm formation by probiotic lactobacilli. // *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins*. - V 12, - 667-671 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-019-09566-1>.
59. Pepoyan, A.Z., Manvelyan, A.M., Balayan, M.H., McCabe, G., Tsaturyan, V.V., Melnikov, V.G., Chikindas M.L., Weeks, R., Karlyshev, V.A. (2020a). The effectiveness of potential probiotics *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* Vahe and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* IAH4HI in irradiated rats depends on the nutritional stage of the host. // *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins*. - V 12, - 1439-1450 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-020-09662-7>.
60. Pepoyan, A.Z., Manvelyan, A.M., Balayan, M.H., Harutyunyan, N.A., Tsaturyan, V.V., Batikyan, H., Bren, A.B., Chistyakov, V., Weeks, R., Chikindas, M.L. (2023). Tetracycline resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from water, human stool, and fish gills from the Lake Sevan basin. // *Lett Appl Microbiol*. - V 76. <https://doi.org/10.1093/lambio/ovad021>.
61. Pepoyan, A.Z., Pepoyan, E. S., Galstyan, L., Harutyunyan, N.A., Tsaturyan, V.V., Torok, T., Ermakov, A.M., Popov, I.V., Weeks, R., Chikindas, M.L. (2021). The effect of immunobiotic/psychobiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* Strain INMIA 9602 Er 317/402 Narine on gut *Prevotella* in Familial Mediterranean fever: gender-associated effects. // *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins*. - V 13, - 1306-1315 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-021-09779-3>.
62. Pepoyan, A.Z., Tsaturyan, V.V., Badalyan, M., Weeks, R., Kamiya, Sh., Chikindas, M.L. (2020b). Blood protein polymorphisms and the gut bacteria: impact of probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* Narine on *Salmonella* carriage in sheep. // *Benef Microbes*. - V 11, - 183-189 pp. <https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2019.0138>.
63. Pepoyan, E., Marotta, F., Manvelyan, A., Galstyan, A., Stepanyan, L., Grigoryan, H., Grigoryan, L., Mikayelyan, M., Balayan, M., Harutyunyan, N., Mirzabekyan, S., Tsaturyan, V., Torok, T., Pepoyan, A. (2024). Placebo-resistant gut bacteria: *Akkermansia muciniphila* spp. and Familial Mediterranean fever disease. // *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. - V 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1336752>.
64. Rajasekaran, J.J., Krishnamurthy, H.K., Bosco, J., Jayaraman, V., Krishna, K., Wang, T., Bei, K. (2024). // *Microorganisms*. - V 12(9):1797. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12091797>.
65. Rinninella, E., Tohumcu, E., Raoul, P., Fiorani, M., Cintoni, M., Mele, M.C., Cammarota, G., Gasbarrini, A., Ianiro, G. (2023). The role of diet in shaping human gut microbiota. // *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol*. - 62-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpg.2023.101828>.
66. Sogur, E., Önem, E., Kalfa, M., Emmungil, H., Bostancı, N., Aksu, K., Baksı, B.G., Keser, G., Direskeneli, H., Mumcu, G. (2013). Oral health and oral quality of life in inactive patients with familial Mediterranean fever without amyloidosis. // *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. - V 31, - 15-19 pp.
67. Singh S, Sharma P, Pal N, Kumawat M, Shubham S, Sarma DK, Tiwari RR, Kumar M, Nagpal R. (2022). Impact of environmental pollutants on gut microbiome and mental health via the gut-brain axis. // *Microorganisms*. - V 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10071457>.
68. Staudacher, H.M., Irving, P.M., Lomer, M.C.E., Whelan, K. (2017). The challenges of control groups, placebos and blinding in clinical trials of dietary interventions. // *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*. - V 76, - 203-212 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665117000350>.
69. Sulaimany, S., Farahmandi, K. & Mafakheri, A. (2024). Computational prediction of new therapeutic effects of probiotics. *Sci Rep*. - V 14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-62796-4>.
70. Tsai, Y.L., Liu, Y.W., Wang, P.N., Lin, C.Y., Lan, T.H. (2022). Gender differences in gut microbiome composition between Schizophrenia patients with normal body weight and central obesity. // *Front Psychiatry*. - V 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2022.836896>.
71. Tsaturyan, V., Manvelyan, A., Balayan, M., Harutyunyan, N., Pepoyan, E., Torok, T., Chikindas, M., Pepoyan, A. (2023). Host genetics and gut microbiota composition: Baseline gut microbiota composition as a possible prognostic factor for the severity of COVID-19 in patients with familial Mediterranean fever disease. // *Front Microbiol*. - V 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1107485>.

72. Tsaturyan, V., Poghosyan, A., Toczyłowski, M., Pepoyan, A. (2022). Evaluation of malondialdehyde levels, oxidative stress and host-bacteria interactions: Escherichia coli and Salmonella Derby. // Cells. - V 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11192989>.
73. van de Put, M., van den Belt, M., de Wit, N., Kort, R. (2024). Rationale and design of a randomized placebo-controlled nutritional trial embracing a citizen science approach. // Nutr Res. - V 131, - 96-110 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2024.07.008>.
74. van der Ploeg, G.R., Brandt, B.W., Keijser, B.J.F., van der Veen, M.H., Volgenant, C.M.C., Zaura, E., Smilde, A.K., Westerhuis, J.A., Heintz-Buschart, A. (2024). Multi-way modelling of oral microbiome interactions during induced gingivitis. // NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes. - V 10, - 89 p. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-024-00565-x>.
75. Van Hul, M., Cani, P.D., Petitfils, C., De, Vos, W.M., Tilg, H., El-Omar, E.M. (2024). What defines a healthy gut microbiome? // Gut. - V 73, - 1893-1908 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2024-333378>.
76. Virk, M.S., Virk, M.A., He, Y., Tufail, T., Gul, M., Qayum, A., Rehman, A., Rashid, A., Ekumah, J.N., Han, X., Wang J., Ren. X. (2024). The anti-inflammatory and curative exponent of probiotics: A comprehensive and authentic ingredient for the sustained functioning of major human organs. // Nutrients. - V 16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu16040546>.
77. Yeoh, Y.K., Zuo, T., Lui, G.C., Zhang, F., Liu, Q., Li, A.Y., Chung, A.C., Cheung, C.P., Tso, E.Y., Fung, K.S., Chan, V., Ling, L., Joynt, G., Hui, D.S., Chow, K.M., Ng, S.S., Li, T.C., Ng, R.W., Yip, T.C., Wong, G.L., Chan, F.K., Wong, C.K., Chan, P.K., Ng, S.C. (2021). Gut microbiota composition reflects disease severity and dysfunctional immune responses in patients with COVID-19. // Gut. - V 70, - 698-706 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-323020>.
78. Zhang, P. (2022). Influence of foods and nutrition on the gut microbiome and implications for intestinal health. // Int J Mol Sci. - V 23(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23179588>.
79. Zhu, H., Wang, W. and Li, Y. (2024). The interplay between microbiota and brain-gut axis in epilepsy treatment. // Front. Pharmacol. - V 15, - 1276551 p. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2024.1276551>.

#### Declarations of interest

*The authors declare no conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.*

Received on 05.11.2024

Revised on 18.12.2024

Accepted on 30.12.2024



ԴԱՐԲԵՐԱԿԱՆ ԵՎ ԴՈԿՏՈՐԱԿԱՆ ԵՎ ԹԵԿՆԱԾՈՒԿԱՆ ԱՏԵՆԱԽՈՍԻԹՅՈՒՆՆԵՐԻ ԱՐԴՅՈՒՆՔՆԵՐԻ ԵՎ ԴՈՒՅԹՆԵՐԻ ԶԻՆՈՐԱԿԱՆ ՎԱՐՈՐԴ ԿՈՄԻՏԵ ԸՆԴՈՒՆԵԼԻ ԳԻՏԱԿԱՆ ՀԱՆՐԱՅԻՆԻ ՑԱՆԿՈՒՄ:

ИЗДАНИЕ ВКЛЮЧЕНО В ПЕРЕЧЕНЬ ВЕДУЩИХ НАУЧНЫХ ЖУРНАЛОВ ВАК МНОКС РА, В КОТОРЫХ ДОЛЖНЫ БЫТЬ ОПУБЛИКОВАНЫ ОСНОВНЫЕ РЕЗУЛЬТАТЫ И ПОЛОЖЕНИЯ ДИССЕРТАЦИЙ НА СОИСКАНИЕ УЧЕНОЙ СТЕПЕНИ ДОКТОРА И КАНДИДАТА НАУК.

THE JOURNAL IS INVOLVED IN THE LIST OF SCIENTIFIC PERIODICALS RELEVANT FOR PUBLICATIONS OF THE RESULTS AND PROVISIONS OF DOCTORAL AND PHD THESES AND APPROVED BY THE HIGHER EDUCATION QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE OF THE RA MoESCS.

### ՀՈՂԱԾՆԵՐԻ ԸՆԴՈՒՆՄԱՆ ԿԱՐԳԸ

1. Հոդվածներն ընդունվում են հայերեն, ռուսերեն և անգլերեն լեզուներով:
  2. Հոդվածի առավելագույն ծավալը չպետք է գերազանցի 15 համակարգչային էջը (ներառյալ ամփոփագրերը):
  3. Հեղինակների թիվը չպետք է գերազանցի չորսը:
  4. Հեղինակների տվյալներում պետք է ներառվեն հեղինակ(ներ)ի անունը, ազգանունը, հայրանունը, գիտական աստիճանը, աշխատավայրը, էլ. հասցեն:
  5. Հոդվածը ներկայացվում է տպագիր և էլեկտրոնային (WORD ձևաչափով) տարբերակներով:
  6. **Հոդվածը շարադրվում է հետևյալ կառուցվածքով.** վերնագիր, 5 բանալի բառ, «Նախաբան», «Նյութը և մեթոդները», «Արդյունքները և վերլուծությունը», «Եզրակացություն», «Գրականություն»:
  7. Գրականության հոդվածները կատարվում են տեքստում՝ փակագծով նշվում են հեղինակը և հրատարակման տարեթիվը:
  8. Հոդվածները պետք է ունենան ամփոփագրեր. հայերենով և ռուսերենով ներկայացված հոդվածների դեպքում՝ հայերեն (առնվազն 60 բառ), ռուսերեն (առնվազն 60 բառ) և անգլերեն (150-250 բառ), անգլերենի դեպքում՝ անգլերեն լեզվով (առնվազն 60 բառ):
  9. Հայերեն և ռուսերեն հոդվածների վերնագրերը, հեղինակ(ներ)ի տվյալները և բանալի բառերը ներկայացվում են հայերեն, ռուսերեն և անգլերեն լեզուներով:
  10. Գրականության ցանկը ներկայացվում է առնվազն 10 անուն, շարադրվում է այբբենական կարգով:
  11. Մեջբերված գրականության աղբյուրների առնվազն 30%-ը պետք է հրատարակված լինի վերջին տասը տարիներին:
  12. Էլեկտրոնային հոդվածը որպես աղբյուր մեջբերելիս գրականության ցանկում նշվում է դիտման ամսաթիվը:
- Հոդվածներին ներկայացվող տեխնիկական պահանջներն են.** անգլերեն և ռուսերեն հոդվածների տառատեսակը՝ Times New Roman, հայերեն հոդվածներին՝ GHEA Grapalat, տառաչափը՝ 12, միջտողային տարածությունը՝ 1.5, վերնագիրը՝ մեծատառերով, գծապատկերները՝ Word, Excel ծրագրերով, աղյուսակները՝ ուղղահայաց դիրքով (Portrait), բանաձևերը՝ Microsoft Equation 3.0 ձևաչափով:

**Կարգին չհամապատասխանող հոդվածները չեն ընդունվում:** Հոդվածներն ուղարկվում են գրախոսման: Մերժված հոդվածները չեն վերադարձվում հեղինակին: Հոդվածները չեն հրատարակվի, եթե ամբողջությամբ կամ համառոտ տպագրված լինեն այլ պարբերականում:

### ПОРЯДОК ПРИЁМА СТАТЕЙ

1. Статьи принимаются на армянском, русском и английском языках.
  2. Объем статьи не должен превышать 15 компьютерных страниц (включая аннотации).
  3. Число авторов не должно превышать четырёх.
  4. В сведениях об авторах должны быть включены имя (имена), фамилия, отчество, научная степень, место работы, эл.адрес.
  5. Статья представляется в печатном и электронном (в формате WORD) вариантах.
  6. **Статья должна быть изложена следующим образом:** заглавие, 5 ключевых слов, “Введение”, “Материал и методы”, “Результаты и анализ”, “Заключение”, “Литература”.
  7. Библиографические ссылки указываются в тексте (в скобках пишутся фамилия автора и год издания).
  8. Статьи должны иметь аннотации: статьи, представленные на армянском и русском языках – на армянском (не менее 60 слов), на русском (не менее 60 слов) и на английском (150-250 слов). В случае статей, написанных на английском, аннотация должна быть на английском языке (не менее 60 слов).
  9. Заглавия, данные автора (авторов) и ключевые слова статей на армянском и русском языках представляются на армянском, русском и английском языках.
  10. Список литературы должен содержать не менее 10 наименований источников, указанных в алфавитном порядке.
  11. Не менее 30% цитируемых литературных источников должны быть опубликованы в течение последних десяти лет.
  12. При ссылке на интернет-ресурс как на источник информации в библиографическом списке необходимо указать дату просмотра.
- Технические требования к статьям:** для статей на английском и русском языках – шрифт Times New Roman, для армянского – GHEA Grapalat; размер букв – 12; межстрочное расстояние – 1.5; заголовок – прописными буквами; графические изображения – программой Word, Excel; таблицы – вертикально (Portrait); формулы – в формате Microsoft Equation 3.0;

**Статьи, не отвечающие требованиям, не будут приняты. Статьи передаются на рецензирование. Статьи, не принятые к печати, не возвращаются автору. Статьи не будут опубликованы, если ранее были полностью или частично опубликованы в других периодических изданиях.**

### THE STANDARDS FOR SUBMITTING ARTICLES

1. The articles are accepted in Armenian, Russian and English languages.
2. The size of the article shouldn't exceed 15 PC pages (including summaries).
3. The number of authors should not exceed four.
4. Full name, academic degree, workplace and e-mail of the author (s) should be included in the information about the authors.
5. The article is submitted in a hard copy and electronically (WORD format).
6. **The article should have the following structure:** title, 5 keywords, “Introduction”, “Materials and Methods”, “Results and Discussions”, “Conclusion”, “References”.
7. References to the literature should be indicated in the text (the author and the date of publication in the parentheses).
8. The articles should have abstracts: in case of Armenian and Russian articles, abstracts in Armenian (minimum 60 words), Russian (minimum 60 words) and English (150-250 words) languages should be submitted, while in case of English articles, abstracts in English (minimum 60 words) language should be submitted.
9. The titles, information about the author(s) and keywords should be presented in Armenian, Russian and English languages.
10. The list of literature should be presented with at least 10 names, arranged in alphabetical order.
11. At least 30% of the literature sources being cited in the article, must have been published within the last ten years.
12. When citing internet links as a literature source the date of access should be mentioned.

**Technical requirements for articles:** font for English and Russian articles: Times New Roman, for Armenian articles: GHEA Grapalat, font size: 12, interstitial spacing: 1.5, title: with capital letters, charts: with Word, Excel, tables: vertical (Portrait), formulas: in Microsoft Equation 3.0 format.

**Articles that do not meet the requirements are not accepted. Articles are sent for review. Refused articles are not returned to the authors. The articles which are already published in other scientific journals (completely or partially) can't be valid for publication in our journal.**

☎ (+374 12) 56-07-12, (+374 12) 58-79-82

✉ [agriscience@anau.am](mailto:agriscience@anau.am)

URL: <https://journal.anau.am>

Հասցե՝ Երևան 0009, Տերյան 74, IV հարկ, 421 սենյակ

Адрес: Ереван 0009, Терян 74, IV этаж, 421 кабинет

Address: 74 Teryan, Yerevan 0009, IV floor, room 421