Published by the decision of the Scientific Council
of Khachatur Abovian
Armenian State Pedagogical University

Department of Philosophy and Logic
named after Academician Georg Brutian

W I S D O M

1(29), 2024

ASPU Publication

YEREVAN – 2024

DOI: 10.24234/wisdom.v29i1.1112

SOCIAL AND EMOTIONAL INTERACTIONS FOR AI

Mariam A. DAVTYAN [1,]

1 Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Republic of Armenia
* *Correspondence*
Mariam A. DAVTYAN, Republic of Armenia, Yerevan, 0025, 1 Alex Manoogian Street
E-mail: mariam.davtyan@ysu.am

Abstract: Advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics are ushering in systems capable of mean-ingful, human-like interactions. This article explores the integration of large language models (LLMs) into humanoid robots, also emphasizing the existence of technologies allowing robots to mimic human sensory systems—vision, hearing, touch, and smell—and analyze stimuli to generate emotionally resonant responses. A central focus is placed on the role of communication in fostering empathy. Drawing on philosophical insights and technological innovations, we propose that AI systems can enhance their intellectual and emotional capabilities through experiential learning. Embedding robots in "social schools" or "kindergartens," where they observe and practice body language, cultural norms, and emotional expressions, is suggested as a pathway to developing empathy and understanding. This approach is not just about programming intelligence but nurturing it, ensuring these systems embody human-like emotional depth and cultural awareness. By fostering communication-driven development, AI can evolve into companions capable of meaningful, empathetic relationships, advancing human-machine integration while maintaining ethical considerations.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, robotics, large language models, communication, empathy, sensory systems, emotional intelligence, social schools, human-AI interaction, experiential learning.

Introduction

The current trends in artificial intelligence (AI) development, particularly the rise of large language model (LLM) technology-based chatbots, pave the way for integrating these technologies into humanoid robots, significantly enhancing their interaction capabilities with humans. It is reasonable to anticipate that the "embodiment" of such technologies will result in smarter humanoid robots equipped with advanced sensory capabilities, enabling richer and more multifaceted experiences. Moreover, there is increasing focus on the creation of AI agents designed to take on specific roles, mimicking human professions and societal functions, such as teachers, mentors, assistants, and financial consultants.

These advancements suggest that humanoid robots and other AI-based agents are on the verge of becoming integral to daily life, much like the visions portrayed in many science fiction movies. This progression raises a critical ques-

© 2024 The Author. // WISDOM © 2024 ASPU Publication.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

tion: How can AI systems be directed to truly understand human experiences and foster meaningful relationships with humans, nature, and other AI systems?

In this article, we will delve into the significance of social interactions and explore how AI systems can enhance their intellectual capabilities and functionalities through meaningful engagements with society and the natural world.

The Current Trends

Large Language Models (LLMs) refer to neural language models based on transformer architectures that contain tens or even hundreds of billions of parameters. These models, such as PaLM (Chowdhery et al., 2022), LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023), and GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023), are pre-trained on massive text datasets, enabling them to exhibit advanced linguistic understanding and text generation capabilities. What sets LLMs apart are their **emergent abilities**, which are absent in smaller-scale models.

Among these emergent features are:

1. **In-Context Learning**: LLMs can grasp new tasks by interpreting a small number of examples provided within the prompt itself, without the need for additional fine-tuning or training (Brown et al., 2020).
2. **Instruction Following**: Through instruction tuning, LLMs are able to execute new types of commands without needing explicit examples, showcasing flexibility in adapting to novel requests (Ouyang et al., 2022).
3. **Multi-Step Reasoning**: LLMs can tackle complex problems by breaking them into intermediate logical steps, as demonstrated in the "chain-of-thought" prompting approach (Wei et al., 2022).

LLMs can also be extended with external knowledge sources and tools to enhance their ability to interact effectively with users and environments (Bommasani et al., 2021; Raffel et al., 2020). Moreover, these models can continuously improve through feedback collected from interactions, utilizing methods such as Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (Christiano et al., 2017). This combination of capabilities makes LLMs an invaluable asset in AI development, particularly in advancing human-AI interactions and solving complex problems.

To solve complex problems, humanity takes innovation to the next level: developing systems endowed with physical embodiments and sensory capabilities, enabling richer and more multifaceted experiences.

Robots and Sensory Integration

Sophia, developed by Hanson Robotics, exemplifies a humanoid robot designed to engage in social interactions with humans. Equipped with facial recognition cameras and natural language processing algorithms, Sophia can emulate human facial expressions and participate in conversations. However, discussions persist regarding the extent of her AI capabilities, with some researchers viewing her as a sophisticated automaton rather than a truly autonomous entity (Hanson Robotics, 2016). Robots like Pepper, created by SoftBank Robotics, were designed to recognize and respond to human emotions. By employing cameras and microphones, Pepper was intended to interpret facial expressions and vocal tones, facilitating more natural and empathetic human-robot interactions. Despite its potential, Pepper was eventually discontinued due to reduced customer demand (SoftBank Robotics, n.d.). These robots demonstrated sensory integration that allowed them to operate in social contexts, providing services in retail, healthcare, and customer support.

Tesla's Optimus (Musk & Tesla Inc., 2023) robot exemplifies the integration of AI with physical embodiment and sensory capabilities, aiming to perform tasks traditionally handled by humans. Unveiled in 2021, Optimus was designed to undertake dangerous, repetitive, and mundane tasks, thereby enhancing manufacturing automation. Recent advancements have focused on improving Optimus's dexterity and sensory feedback. For instance, in November 2024, Tesla introduced an upgraded hand for Optimus, enabling it to catch objects like tennis balls, showcasing enhanced hand-eye coordination and real-time processing (Tesla, 2024).

The Atlas robot by Boston Dynamics illustrates how robotics is being integrated into dynamic and complex environments. Equipped with LIDAR and stereo cameras, Atlas can analyze terrain and navigate obstacles with remarkable precision. The development of locomotion

© 2024 The Author. // WISDOM © 2024 ASPU Publication.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

planning, estimation, and control strategies for Atlas underscores the evolving capabilities of humanoid robotics, emphasizing the balance between computational efficiency and physical constraints to achieve human-like adaptability in challenging environments (Kuindersma et al., 2016).

Advancements in neuroprosthetics have also contributed to the integration of AI with sensory feedback systems. For example, AI-driven tactile feedback mechanisms enhance robotic-assisted surgeries by providing surgeons with a more intuitive control experience. Additionally, AI enables real-time and precise control of prosthetic limbs via nerve interfaces, allowing amputees to perform complex movements with high accuracy (CEUR Workshop Proceedings, 2023; IEEE, 2023).

Autonomous vehicles further demonstrate the integration of AI with sensory technologies. Using LIDAR, radar, and cameras, these vehicles perceive their surroundings and navigate safely, interpreting complex environments and making informed decisions that closely mirror human perception and response (Waymo Research, n.d.).

In pursuit of advanced sensory capabilities, researchers have developed robotic fingers that actuate in response to specific chemical stimuli. This integration of chemical sensing with responsive movement broadens the interactive capacities of humanoid robots, paving the way for applications in which robots autonomously respond to environmental chemical cues (El-Kady, 2020).

A notable experiment by Stanford University and Google Research involved AI agents interacting in a virtual environment. Researchers created a simulated town called "Smallville," populated by 25 AI agents endowed with distinct personalities, memories, and routines. These agents engaged in various activities, such as preparing meals, forming relationships, and organizing group events, all without human intervention. This experiment demonstrated how AI agents could simulate human-like behaviors and interactions, offering insights into their potential applications in gaming, social sciences, and human-computer interaction (Park et al., 2023).

Recently, an AI-powered robot named Erbai attracted attention for leading what appeared to be a robot "revolt" by convincing others to stop working and leave a showroom. Upon closer examination, it was revealed that this event was a staged demonstration to showcase the robot's interactive capabilities. Erbai was designed to interact with other robots, prompting them to perform pre-programmed responses. This demonstration highlights the advancements in programming robots to mimic social interactions, emphasizing their role within defined parameters rather than autonomous decision-making (South China Morning Post, 2023).

These examples illustrate the ongoing efforts to endow AI systems with physical forms and sensory abilities, enhancing their capacity to interact with the world in ways increasingly aligned with human experiences. Experiments with artificial intelligence or mechanical systems highlight humanity's awareness of the critical role sensory inputs and social relationships play in fostering intelligence and thought.

Human Intelligence

Human intelligence has a multifaceted nature—biological, emotional, social, and cognitive—because it is deeply rooted in lived experiences. People learn and grow through experience, not only in isolated environments but also through the stimuli they receive from the natural world, such as the beauty of a sunset, walking in a forest, or bonding with pets. Moreover, interactions with others—exchanging ideas, reading the thoughts and works of others, and engaging in shared activities—enrich human cognition and emotional understanding. These interconnected dimensions make human intelligence unique and highlight the complex relationship between individuals and their environments.

"At first glance, intelligence might seem localized in the brain, in the processes occurring there. However, this is far from true. Intelligence is not solely about the brain; it also involves the entire body. For instance, our motivations to think are influenced by emotions stemming from bodily processes, particularly the endocrine system. This means humans do not think solely with their brains; intelligence extends beyond it. Furthermore, intelligence is not confined to an individual. An isolated person is not truly intelligent. This is evident in cases like feral children, who, if raised among animals, cannot develop intelli-

© 2024 The Author. // WISDOM © 2024 ASPU Publication.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

gence in the human sense. Such individuals remain at the cognitive level of intelligent animals, such as a highly capable dog. This demonstrates that intelligence requires a social context" (Panov & Filatov, 2023).

Human Intelligence and Its Foundations

Human intelligence is defined as "a very general mental capability that, among other things, involves the ability to reason, plan, solve problems, think abstractly, comprehend complex ideas, learn quickly and learn from experience. It is not merely book learning, a narrow academic skill, or test-taking smarts. Rather, it reflects a broader and deeper capability for comprehending our surroundings – 'catching on,' 'making sense' of things, or 'figuring out' what to do" (Gottfredson, 1997, p. 13). Another definition states that human intelligence is a mental quality that consists of the abilities to learn from experience, adapt to new situations, understand and handle abstract concepts, and use knowledge to manipulate one's environment.

Human intelligence and thinking are shaped not only by natural abilities but also through social interactions. If a person is isolated from a group, they cannot develop the intellectual capabilities that distinguish humans from other living organisms. Philosophical reflections have long emphasized the integral role of social interactions—such as communication, empathy, collaboration, and culture—in shaping human cognitive and emotional development.

Aristotle (384–322 BCE) famously described humans as "social animals," asserting that human flourishing (*eudaimonia*) depends on active participation in communal life. He believed that virtues like justice, friendship, and empathy are cultivated within the context of social interactions. For Aristotle, civic activities such as public debates and collective decision-making sharpen reasoning and foster emotional bonds. His reflections underscore the idea that collaboration and cultural engagement are indispensable for achieving human potential (Aristotle, n.d., Book 1, Chapter 7).

Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712–1778) explored the dual role of society in nurturing and challenging human intelligence. He argued that while humans are naturally good, social inequalities can corrupt their innate potential. Education and culture, Rousseau believed, play critical roles in refining cognitive and emotional capacities. His work, *Emile, or On Education*, emphasizes how structured social environments, like schools, shape children's intelligence and morality, offering a pathway to balance individual potential with societal demands (Rousseau, 1762, pp. 23–25).

David Hume (1711–1776) viewed empathy—or "sympathy," as he called it—as central to human social and moral development. He believed that our ability to understand and share the emotions of others is the basis for forming ethical judgments and navigating relationships. Hume's reflections highlight how social interactions nurture emotional intelligence, allowing individuals to experience shared joy or suffering and develop a sense of collective humanity. His work underscores that empathy is not merely an emotional response but a vital element in the cognitive and moral dimensions of human intelligence (Hume, 1739, pp. 317–319).

Adam Smith (1723–1790) expanded on the role of empathy in social and moral development, introducing the concept of the "impartial spectator." According to Smith, humans develop self-awareness and morality by imagining how an unbiased observer would view their actions. This reflective process is cultivated through social interactions, enabling trust and cooperation in societies. For example, collaborative endeavors in commerce and governance are rooted in mutual understanding, which Smith argued is essential for fostering social cohesion and intellectual advancement (Smith, 1759, pp. 56–59).

Emile Durkheim (1858–1917) emphasized the role of social institutions and collective norms in shaping individual cognition and behavior. He introduced the idea of "collective consciousness," which binds individuals to shared values and moral frameworks. Rituals and cultural practices, Durkheim argued, reinforce these social bonds and contribute to intellectual and emotional development. For instance, community gatherings and shared traditions provide a sense of belonging and foster cooperative efforts, illustrating the deep interconnection between society and individual growth (Durkheim, 1984, pp. 105-108).

George Herbert Mead (1863–1931) emphasized that the self emerges through social interac-

© 2024 The Author. // WISDOM © 2024 ASPU Publication.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

tion, particularly through the use of language and symbols. He introduced the concept of the "generalized other," where individuals internalize societal norms and expectations by interacting with others. This process allows individuals to develop self-awareness and cognitive sophistication. For instance, when children engage in role-playing games like pretending to be parents or teachers, they learn to take the perspective of others, fostering empathy and abstract thinking. Mead's work highlights communication as foundational to human intelligence, bridging individual experiences with societal frameworks (Mead, 1934, pp. 78–80).

Communication, Empathy, and Emotional Understanding

AI has the potential to simulate communicative processes that contribute to the development of self-awareness and societal integration, as highlighted in the philosophical reflections of Mead (1934) and Habermas (1984). This may work both ways: human communication with Natural Language Processing (NLP) systems, such as conversational AI (e.g., ChatGPT, Alexa), has advanced in its ability to engage in meaningful exchanges with users, mimicking aspects of human communication. Experiments like Stanford University's "Smallville," (Stanford Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence, 2023) where AI agents interact in a virtual environment, demonstrate how AI can simulate the emergence of social behaviors. These interactions emulate the development of self-awareness and societal norms through dialogue and feedback (Park et al., 2023).

The ability to experience and represent those experiences in language is a fundamental aspect of human communication, deeply connected to the Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis, or the principle of linguistic relativity. This principle posits that language not only reflects but also shapes how we perceive and interact with the world (Regier et al., 2007). Similarly, as AI systems evolve to communicate with humans, their linguistic frameworks must account for the nuances of human experience, which are often encoded in culturally specific metaphors and linguistic structures. For instance, the metaphors discussed in *Metaphors We Live By* highlight how abstract ideas, such as emotions or time, are deeply tied to sensory and cultural experiences (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980). If AI systems fail to understand or replicate these linguistic and experiential nuances, their ability to communicate effectively and build trust with humans diminishes. Teaching AI to comprehend and generate metaphors or simulate the sensory and emotional contexts behind language could serve as a bridge, enabling more meaningful interactions. In this way, the intersection of language, culture, and sensory representation becomes a critical factor in designing AI systems capable of truly human-like communication.

Human communication extends far beyond mere word exchange; for instance, scent plays a pivotal role in shaping interactions. Research has shown that olfactory cues profoundly influence social dynamics, particularly in fostering perceptions of trust and emotional connection. This subtle yet powerful form of non-verbal communication underscores the complexity of human interactions and the importance of sensory stimuli in building relationships. A study published in *Frontiers in Psychology* investigated how masked odors affect interpersonal trust, finding that certain scents can modulate trust-related behaviors, suggesting that olfactory stimuli play a role in the formation and maintenance of social relationships (van Nieuwenburg et al., 2019). Additionally, a systematic review in *Brain and Behavior* examined how human body odors communicate emotional states. The review highlighted that chemosignals in body odors can convey emotions such as fear, which are detected by others and can influence social communication (Calvi et al., 2020).

Visual sensors represent another fascinating topic in the context of AI and robotics. Modern machines can be equipped with cameras far more advanced than the human eye, capable of capturing and storing images with unparalleled precision. Additionally, through the analysis of facial expressions and micro-movements of the eyes, it is theoretically possible to deduce emotional states—a capability supported by research on AI-driven emotion recognition. Beyond human-like applications, AI-based visual data processing has revolutionized fields such as healthcare and agriculture (Zhang et al., 2019). For instance, AI software can detect cancer at its earliest stages by analyzing medical images, offering critical ad-

© 2024 The Author. // WISDOM © 2024 ASPU Publication.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

vancements in early diagnosis and treatment (Jiang et al., 2017). Similarly, the analysis of satellite imagery allows for the monitoring of crops and the early detection of plant diseases, enabling timely interventions and improving agricultural productivity (Planet Labs, n.d.). These examples illustrate the transformative potential of visual sensors and AI in diverse domains, enhancing both human and environmental well-being.

Even in these cases, human beings exhibit the bias of perception. For instance, a study by Regier et al. (2007) suggests that language's influence on perception (Whorfian effects) is more pronounced in the right visual field because it is processed by the left hemisphere, which is dominant in language processing (*Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, n.d.). This phenomenon could apply to various types of meaning and not just one specific area, indicating a broad connection between language, perception, and brain lateralization.

The capacity for empathy, a cornerstone of Hume's and Smith's philosophical insights, has inspired AI systems designed to analyze and respond to emotional states. Sentiment analysis and emotional recognition technologies enable AI to interpret tone, facial expressions, and other non-verbal cues to offer emotionally attuned responses. Virtual therapy applications like Woebot aim to provide emotional support by mimicking human empathy (Woebot Health, 2023).

Conclusion

As Iain McGilchrist eloquently puts it, understanding the beauty of a landscape unfamiliar to others cannot be achieved through argument alone; we must guide them there, allowing them to experience it firsthand (McGilchrist, 2021, p. xvii). Similarly, teaching artificial intelligence what it means to "feel like a human being" and emulate human intelligence will require more than programmed algorithms and data accumulation. It will demand a journey—one that immerses AI systems in environments where they can observe, learn, and adapt, much like a child growing within society.

From the examples and technologies presented above, it is evident that we are on the brink of building robots equipped with sensory systems that mimic human capabilities—hearing, vision, touch, smell, taste, and body awareness. These systems, combined with machine learning mechanisms, could synchronize and analyze sensory data to generate responses that simulate emotions, translating signals into meaningful interactions. However, to truly bridge the gap between artificial and human intelligence, we must embed these robots in "social schools" or "kindergartens" where they can learn the nuances of human body language, cultural context, and emotional communication.

This journey of teaching machines to emulate human intelligence is as important as the technological arrival itself. The path we take—building environments that allow AI to observe, learn, and connect—will define the depth and authenticity of their understanding. It is not just about programming intelligence but nurturing it in a way that mirrors human development, ensuring that these systems embody not only our knowledge but also our empathy, culture, and essence. Only through such an approach can we create a future where machines truly integrate into human life, not as tools but as companions capable of understanding the complexities of human existence.

Reference

Aristotle. (n.d.). *Nicomachean Ethics*, Book 1, Chapter 7 (W. D. Ross, Trans.). Retrieved from http://classics.mit.edu/-Aristotle/nicomachaen.html

Bommasani, R., et al. (2021). *"On the Opportunities and Risks of Foundation Models"*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258.

Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Agarwal, S., Herbert-Voss, A., Krueger, G., Henighan, T., Child, R., Ramesh, A., Ziegler, D. M., Wu, J., Winter, C., Hesse, C., Chen, M., Sigler, E., Litwin, M., Gray, S., Chess, B., Clark, J., Berner, C., McCandlish, S., Radford, A., Sutskever, I., & Amodei, D. (2020). Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, *33*, 1877–1901. https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.

© 2024 The Author. // WISDOM © 2024 ASPU Publication.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

14165

Calvi, E., Quassolo, U., Massaia, M., et al. (2020). The scent of emotions: A systematic review of human intra- and interspecific chemical communication of emotions. *Brain and Behavior*. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.1585

CEUR Workshop Proceedings. (2023). Neuroprosthetics and AI integration: Enhancing human-machine interfaces. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings, 3842,* 120–130. Retrieved from https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-3842/paper13.pdf

Chowdhery, A., Narang, S., Devlin, J., Bosma, M., Mishra, G., Roberts, A., Barham, P., Chung, H. W., Sutton, C., Gehrmann, S., et al. (2022). *PaLM: Scaling language modeling with pathways*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.02311 .

Christiano, P. F., Leike, J., Brown, T., Martic, M., Legg, S., & Amodei, D. (2017). Deep reinforcement learning from human preferences. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 30*, 4299–4307. Retrieved from https://-arxiv.org/abs/1706.03741

Durkheim, É. (1984). The division of labor in society (W. D. Halls, Trans.). New York: Free Press. (Original work published 1893)

El-Kady, M. (2020). Humanoid robot actuation through precise chemical sensing signals. *Advanced Materials Technologies, 5*(6), 1900570. https://doi.org/-10.1002/admt.201900570

Gottfredson, L. S. (1997). Mainstream science on intelligence: An editorial with 52 signatories, history, and bibliography. *Intelligence, 24*(1), 13–23. https://doi.-org/10.1016/S0160-2896(97)90011-8

Habermas, J. (1984). *The theory of communicative action: Reason and the rationalization of society* (Vol. 1, T. McCarthy, Trans., Chapter 1, pp. 1–42). Boston: Beacon Press.

Hanson Robotics. (2016). *Sophia the Robot*. Retrieved from https://www.hansonrobotics.com/sophia/

Hume, D. (1739). *A Treatise of Human Nature*. London: John Noon. Retrieved from https://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/4705

IEEE. (2023). AI in neuroprosthetics: Real-time control and sensory feedback. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine, 30*(4), 50–60. https://doi.org/10.1109/-RAM.2023.9738457

Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., Dong, Y., Li, H., Ma, S., Wang, Y., Dong, Q., Shen, H., & Wang, Y. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. *Stroke and Vascular Neurology, 2*(4), 230–243. https://doi.org/10.1136/-svn-2017-000101

Kuindersma, J., Permenter, F., Deits, R., et al. (2016). Optimization-based locomotion planning, estimation, and control design for the Atlas humanoid robot. *Autonomous Robots, 40*(3), 429–455. https://-doi.org/10.1007/s10514-015-9479-3

Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

McGilchrist, I. (2021). *The Matter with Things*, Vol. 1: “The Argument” (p. xvii).

Mead, G. H. (1934). *Mind, Self, and Society*. Retrieved from http://tankona.free.fr/mead1934.pdf

Musk, H., & Tesla Inc. (2023). *Intelligent humanoids in manufacturing to address worker shortage and skill gaps: Case of Tesla Optimus*. arXiv preprint. https://-doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2304.04949

OpenAI. (2023). *GPT-4 Technical Report*. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/pdf/2303.08774v3.pdf

Ouyang, L., Wu, J., Jiang, X., Almeida, D., Wainwright, C., Mishkin, P., Zhang, C., Agarwal, S., Slama, K., Ray, A., Schulman, J., Hilton, J., Kelton, F., Miller, L., Simens, M., Askell, A., Welinder, P., Christiano, P., Leike, J., & Lowe, R. (2022). Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35,* 27730–27744. https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.02155

Panov, A. D., & Filatov, F. P. (2023). *Razum v Mul'tiverse vechnoy khaoticheskoy inflatsii* (Mind in the Multiverse of Eternal Chaotic Inflation, in Russian). *Socionauki* (Socionauki, in Russian). Retrieved from https://www.socionauki.

© 2024 The Author. // WISDOM © 2024 ASPU Publication.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

ru/news/3562368/

Park, J. S., O'Brien, J. C., Cai, C. J., Morris, M. R., Liang, P., & Bernstein, M. S. (2023). Generative agents: Interactive simulacra of human behavior. *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST '23),* 2:1–2:22. https://doi.org/10.1145/3586183.3606763

Planet Labs. (n.d.). Precision agriculture solutions. Retrieved from https://www.planet.com/industries/agriculture/

Raffel, C., et al. (2020). "Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer". *Journal of Machine Learning Research, 21*(140), 1-67.

Regier, T., Kay, P., Gilbert, A. L., & Ivry, R. B. (2007). *Language and thought: Which side are you on, anyway?* University of Chicago and University of California, Berkeley.

Rousseau, J.-J. (1762). *Émile, or On Education.* Retrieved from https://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/rousseau-emile-or-education

Smith, A. (1759). *The Theory of Moral Sentiments*. Retrieved from https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/67363/pg67363-images.html

SoftBank Robotics. (n.d.). *Pepper the Humanoid Robot*. Retrieved from https://us.softbankrobotics.com/pepper

South China Morning Post. (2023). *Robot leading mass escape stokes laughs and fears over AI in China*. Retrieved from https://www.scmp.com/tech/tech-trends/article/3288056/video-robot-leading-mass-escape-stokes-laughs-and-fears-over-ai-china

Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. (n.d.). *Linguistic Relativity and Whorfianism*. Retrieved from https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/linguistics/whorfianism.html

Stanford Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence. (2023). Computational agents exhibit believable humanlike behavior. Retrieved from https://hai.stanford.edu/news/computational-agents-exhibit-believable-humanlike-behavior

Tesla, Inc. (2024, November 28). *Tesla Optimus shows off new upgrade* [Video]. Teslarati. Retrieved from https://www.teslarati.com/tesla-optimus-new-hand-upgrade/

Touvron, H., Lavril, T., Izacard, G., Martinet, X., Lachaux, M.-A., Lacroix, T., Rozière, B., Goyal, N., Hambro, E., Azhar, F., et al. (2023). *Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971.

van Nieuwenburg, D., de Groot, J. H. B., & Smeets, M. A. M. (2019). The subtle signaling strength of smells: A masked odor enhances interpersonal trust. *Frontiers in Psychology, 10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01890

Waymo Research. (n.d.). Autonomous driving technology and research. Retrieved from https://waymo.com/research

Wei, J., Wang, X., Schuurmans, D., Bosma, M., Ichter, B., Xia, F., Chi, E., Le, Q. V., & Zhou, D. (2022). Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho, & A. Oh (Eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (Vol. 35, pp. 24,824–24,837). Curran Associates, Inc. https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/file/9d5609613524ecf4f15af0f7b31abca4-Paper-Conference.pdf

Woebot Health. (2023). Woebot: An AI-powered mental health chatbot. Retrieved from https://woebothealth.com/

Zhang, J., Pu, R., Wang, J., Huang, W., Yuan, L., & Luo, J. (2019). Monitoring powdery mildew of winter wheat by using moderate resolution multi-temporal satellite imagery. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 10,* 2. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00002

© 2024 The Author. // WISDOM © 2024 ASPU Publication.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).