

# ՏՆՏԵՍԱԿԱՆ ԶԱՐԳԱՑՈՒՄՆԵՐ ԵՎ ԿՐԹՈՒԹՅԱՆ ՄԵՆԵՋՄԵՆՏ

---

FRED LUNENBURG

*Professor of Education at Sam Houston State University*

## LEADERSHIP VERSUS MANAGEMENT: A KEY DISTINCTION—At LEAST IN THEORY

Organizations provide its managers with legitimate authority to lead, but there is no assurance that they will be able to lead effectively. Organizations need strong leadership and strong management for optimal effectiveness. In today's dynamic workplace, we need leaders to challenge the status quo and to inspire and persuade organization members. We also need managers to assist in developing and maintaining a smoothly functioning workplace.

**Key words and expressions:** leadership, informal leader, effective leadership, cross-department.

There is a continuing controversy about the difference between leadership and management. Not all managers exercise leadership. Often it is assumed that anyone in a management position is a leader. Not all leaders manage. Leadership is performed by people who are not in management positions (e.g., an informal leader). Some scholars argue that although management and leadership overlap, the two activities are not synonymous (Bass, 2010). The degree of overlap is a point of disagreement (Yukl, 2010). Leadership and management entail a unique set of activities or functions.

The first scholar to take a stand on this issue was Abraham Zaleznik, with his landmark article published in the Harvard

Business Review in 1977. Zaleznik argues that both leaders and managers make a valuable contribution to an organization and that each one's contribution is different. Whereas leaders advocate change and new approaches, managers advocate stability and the status quo. Furthermore, whereas leaders are concerned with understanding people's beliefs and gaining their commitment, managers carry out responsibilities, exercise authority, and worry about how things get accomplished.

More recently, John Kotter (1990a, 1990b) of the Harvard Business School argues that leadership and management are two distinct, yet complementary systems of action in organizations. Specifically, he states that leadership is about coping with change, whereas management is about coping with complexity (Kotter, 1987). For Kotter, the leadership process involves (a) developing a vision for the organization; (b) aligning people with that vision through communication; and (c) motivating people to action through empowerment and through basic need fulfillment. The leadership process creates uncertainty and change in the organization.

In contrast, the management process involves (a) planning and budgeting, (b) organizing and staffing, and (c) controlling and problem solving. The management

process reduces uncertainty and stabilizes the organization. Robert House (1997) concurs when he says that management consists of implementing the vision and direction provided by leaders, coordinating and staffing the organization, and handling day-to-day problems.

In emphasizing the difference between leaders and managers, Warren Bennis (1989) notes: “To survive in the twenty-first century, we are going to need a new generation of leaders—leaders, not managers. The distinction is an important one. Leaders conquer the context—the volatile, turbulent, ambiguous surroundings

that sometimes seem to conspire against us and will surely suffocate us if we let them—while managers surrender to it” (p. 7). More recently, Bennis (2007) summarized his previous quotation as follows: «Managers do things right, while leaders do the right things» (p.12).

Table 1 provides a broad view of the difference between leadership and management. The pairs of attributes, shown in Table 1, are presented as the extremes of a continuum. Most business executives do not function at these extremes. However, patterns that tend toward leadership on the one hand or management on the other hand

*Table 1. Comparisons between Leadership and Management*

Category	Leadership	Management
Thinking Process	Focuses on people Looks outward	Focuses on things Looks inward
Goal Setting	Articulates a vision Creates the future Sees the forest	Executes plans Improves the present Sees the trees
Employee Relations	Empowers Colleagues Trusts & develops	Controls Subordinates Directs & coordinates
Operation	Does the right things Creates change Serves subordinates	Does things right Manages change Serves superordinates
Governance	Uses influence Uses conflict Acts decisively	Uses authority Avoids conflict Acts responsibly

As you examine Table 1, mark the point on each continuum item that reflects the relative emphasis on leadership or management by a person for whom you have worked. Business executives may lean more heavily toward either leadership or management at various times depending on the situation. However, most tend to operate primarily in terms of

either the leadership or the management profile (Lunenburg, 2007).

There are several conclusions that can be drawn from the information presented in Table 1. First, good leaders are not necessarily good managers, and good managers are not necessarily good leaders. Second, good management skills transform

a leader's vision into action and successful implementation. Some scholars believe that effective implementation is the driving force of organizational success, especially in relatively stable, "domesticated" organizations (Lunenburg, Thompson, & Pagani, 2010). Third, organizational success requires a combination of effective leadership and management. Furthermore,

team-based organizational structures are extending leadership functions to work groups and cross-department teams in most modern organizations. Thus, there is greater opportunity for more input from group members at all levels of the organization (Lunenburg, 1983).

*Article submission date 05.06.2013*

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. **Bass, B.** (2010). *The Bass handbook of leadership: Theory, research, and managerial applications*. New York, NY: Simon & Schuster.
2. **Bennis, W. G., & Nanus, B.** (2007). *Organizational Change Management*, 2, 7. *Leaders: The strategies for taking charge*. New
3. **House, R. J., & Aditya, R. N.** (1997). *York, NY: HarperCollins. The social scientific study of leadership: Journal of Management*, 23, 445–456.
4. **Kotter, J. P.** (1987). *The leadership factor*. New York, NY: Free Press.
5. **Kotter, J. P.** (1990a). *What leaders really do*. *Harvard Business Review*, 68, 103–111.
6. **Kotter, J. P.** (1990b). *A force for change: How leadership differs from management*. New York, NY: Free Press.
7. **Lunenburg, F. C.** (1983). *Conceptualizing school climate: Measures, research, and effects*. Berkley, CA: McCutchan.
8. **Lunenburg, F. C.** (2007). *Leadership versus Management: A Key distinction—in theory and practice*. In F. L. Dembowksi (Ed.), *Educational administration: The roles of leadership and management* (pp. 142–166). Houston, TX: The NCPEA Press/Rice University.
9. **Lunenburg, F. C., Thompson, B., & Pagani, D.** (2010, May). *The multifactor leadership questionnaire (MLQ): Factor structure of an operational measure*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Denver, CO.
10. **Yukl, G.** (2010). *Leadership in organizations* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
11. **Zaleznik, A.** (1997). *Managers and leaders: Are they different?* *Harvard Business Review*, 55, 67–78.

ՂԵԿԱՎԱՐՈՒՄԸ ԸՆԴԴԵՄ ԿԱՌԱՎԱՐՄԱՆ. ՈՐՈՇ ՀԻՄՆԱԿԱՆ  
ՏԱՐԲԵՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆՆԵՐ

**ՖՐԵԴ ԼՈՒՆԵՆԲՈՒՐԳ**

*Հյուսթոնի պետական համալսարանի  
կրթության պրոֆեսոր*

Կազմակերպություններն իրենց կառավարիչներին ապահովում են ղեկավարելու իրավասություններով, բայց ոչ մի հավաստիք չկա, որ նրանք արգասաբեր աշխատանք կիրականացնեն: Օպտիմալ արդյունավետության համար կազմակերպությունները ուժեղ և կայուն ղեկավարման կարիք ունեն: Ներկայիս դինամիկ աշխատավայրերում կառավարիչները պետք է կարողանան ոգևորել ու համոզել աշխատակիցներին, թույլ տալ նրանց զարգանալ, ինտեգրվել կոլեկտիվի մեջ և ինքնաարտահայտվել:

*Հոդվածի ներկայացման փաթեթիվը՝ 05. 06.2013 թ.*

РУКОВОДСТВО И МЕНЕДЖМЕНТ – КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ РАЗЛИЧИЯ

**ЛУНЕНБУРГ ФРЕД**

*Профессор педагогики государственного университета  
имени Сэма Хьюстона*

Организации предоставляют своим менеджерам законную власть руководить, но нет гарантий, что они смогут руководить эффективно. Для достижения оптимальной эффективности организациям нужно как сильное руководство, так и сильный менеджмент. На современном динамическом рабочем месте нужны лидеры, бросающие вызов статусу-кво, вдохновляющие и убеждающие членов организации. Нужны менеджеры для поддержки и развития бесконфликтной рабочей атмосферы.

*Дата представления статьи: 05.06.2013г.*