

Some Algebraical and Logical Properties of Two-dimensional Arithmetical Sets Representable in Presburger's System¹

Seda N. Manukian

Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems of NAS of RA
e-mail: zaslav@ipia.sci.am

Abstract

A classification $\Sigma_H^{(0)} \subseteq \Sigma_H^{(1)} \subseteq \Sigma_H^{(2)} \subseteq \dots$ of arithmetical sets representable in M.Presburger's system ([1]-[4]) and a classification $\Delta_H^{(0)} \subseteq \Delta_H^{(1)} \subseteq \Delta_H^{(2)} \subseteq \dots$ of two-dimensional sets of the same kind are considered. It is proved that these classifications are strictly monotone and complete. The operations $\cup, \cap, \circ, \hat{\circ}, ^{-1}$ on two-dimensional arithmetical sets ([5]-[7]) and the algebras Θ^0 and Θ_1 based on these operations ([5]-[7]) are considered. The relations of these operations and algebras to the mentioned classifications are investigated.

1. Introduction

Investigations described in this paper continue the studies described in ([5]-[8]). The notion of Presburger's arithmetical system and auxiliary notions connected with it (in particular, the notion of arithmetical set expressible in this system) are defined as in [1]-[4]. The class Σ_H of all arithmetical sets expressible in M.Presburger's system and its subclass Δ_H of all two-dimensional sets belonging to Σ_H are considered. Some subclasses $\Delta_H^{(n)}$ and $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$ of Δ_H and Σ_H for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are introduced (note that these classes actually coincide with the classes Δ_n and Σ_n considered in [6] and [8]). It will be proved that the union of all $\Delta_H^{(n)}$ coincides with Δ_H , the union of all $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$ coincides with Σ_H , and the statements $\Delta_H^{(n)} \subseteq \Delta_H^{(n+1)}$, $\Delta_H^{(n)} \neq \Delta_H^{(n+1)}$, $\Sigma_H^{(n)} \subseteq \Sigma_H^{(n+1)}$, $\Sigma_H^{(n)} \neq \Sigma_H^{(n+1)}$ hold for any n . The algebras Θ^0 and Θ_1 ([5]-[7]) containing the operations \cup (union), \cap (intersection), \circ (composition), $\hat{\circ}$ (arithmetical sum), $^{-1}$ (inversion) on arithmetical sets are considered (precise definitions will be given below). It is proved in [5] that Δ_H coincides with the class of sets representable in the algebra Θ^0 . Below it will be proved that the class $\Delta_H^{(0)}$ coincides with the class of sets representable in the algebra Θ_1 . It will also be proved that any class $\Delta_H^{(n)}$ is closed under the operations $\cup, \cap, \circ, ^{-1}$, but not closed under the operation $\hat{\circ}$ (cf. [6]).

¹ This work is supported by the grant 11-1b 189 of the Government of the Republic of Armenia.

2. Mathematical Structures

Let A be a non-empty set. The n -th Cartesian degree of A , i.e. the set of all n -tuples (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) , where $x_i \in A$, will be denoted, as usually, by A^n (we admit that A^1 is A). If $B \subseteq A^n$ then an n -dimensional predicate p for A (i.e., the predicate on A^n) such that $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is true if and only if $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in B$, will be called a *representing predicate* for B . In this case we shall also say that B is the *set of truth* for p .

The set of all non-negative integers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ will be denoted by N . Any n -dimensional predicate for N (i.e. a predicate on N^n) will be called a *n -dimensional arithmetical predicate*, and the set of truth for such a predicate will be called a *n -dimensional arithmetical set*.

The notion of *predicate formula* on the basis of logical operations $\&, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \sim, \forall, \exists$, as well as auxiliary notions connected with it, in particular, the notion of *term*, are defined as in [2] and [9] (cf. also [4]). *Signature* is defined as any set of predicate symbols, functional symbols, and symbols of constants. The notion of *mathematical structure* (or *structure*) in a given signature is defined as in [4]. Namely, a structure Ψ in a given signature Γ is defined as a system consisting of some non-empty set M (which is called the *universe* of Ψ) and some assignment which: (1) assigns for each k -dimensional predicate symbol (correspondingly, for each k -dimensional functional symbol) belonging to Γ some k -dimensional predicate for M (correspondingly, some k -dimensional function for M , i.e. a mapping of M^k into M), and (2) assigns some element of M to each symbol of constant belonging to Γ . We say that a predicate formula F (correspondingly, a term t) is a formula (correspondingly, a term) *in* a signature Γ if all predicate symbols, functional symbols, and symbols of constants in F (correspondingly, all functional symbols and all symbols of constants in t) belong to Γ . If Ψ is a structure (having the universe M) in a signature Γ then any predicate formula F in Γ (correspondingly, any term t in Γ) having no free variables except x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k defines in an obvious way some k -dimensional predicate p for M (correspondingly, some k -dimensional function f for M). Such predicate (correspondingly, function) will be called a *predicate* (correspondingly, *function*) *expressed* (or *represented*) *by the formula* F (correspondingly, *by the term* t) *in* Ψ . The set of truth for p will be called in this case the *set, expressed (or represented) by the formula* F *in* Ψ . We shall say that a set $A \subseteq M^k$ is expressible in the structure Ψ if it is expressed by some formula F in the signature of Ψ . Below instead of "formula in the signature of a structure Ψ " or "term in the signature of a structure Ψ " we shall say in short "formula in Ψ ", "term in Ψ ".

We consider (cf. [4]) the following structures having the universe N (where S is interpreted as the function $S(x) = x + 1$, the symbols $=, <, +, 0$ are interpreted in a usual way). By N_H we denote the structure $(N, =, 0, S, <, +)$ (it will be called below "M. Presburger's structure"). By N_L we denote the structure $(N, =, 0, S, <)$. (Note that these structures are considered in [4]; the structure N_H is denoted in [4] by N_A). The classes of sets expressible in N_H and N_L will be denoted correspondingly by Σ_H and Σ_L (this definition of Σ_H is equivalent to the definition given above – see, for example, [2], [4]). The class of two-dimensional sets belonging to Σ_H (correspondingly, Σ_L) will be denoted by Δ_H (correspondingly, Δ_L). The classes Σ_H and Σ_L can be generated by some complete deductive systems of formal arithmetic described in [2], [9] (cf. also [4]). We shall denote these deductive systems correspondingly by Ded_H and Ded_L . Formulas F and G (correspondingly terms t and r) are said to be Ded_H -equivalent or Ded_L -equivalent if the formula $(F \rightarrow G) \& (G \rightarrow F)$ (correspondingly, $t = r$) is deducible in Ded_H or Ded_L . We shall usually consider formulas and terms in N_H or N_L up to their Ded_H - or Ded_L -equivalence.

Below the term $S(S(\dots S(t)\dots))$, where t is a term, and the symbol S is repeated k times, will be denoted by $S^k(t)$. The term $S^k(0)$ will be denoted by \bar{k} . In particular, $S^0(t)$ is t , $\bar{0}$ is 0.

3. Algebras of Arithmetical Sets

Let us recall the definitions of the operations $\cup, \cap, \circ, \diamond, ^{-1}$ on two-dimensional arithmetical sets ([5]-[7]). The operations \cup and \cap are defined in a usual way. The operations $\circ, \diamond, ^{-1}$ are defined by the following generating rules:

- (1) if $(x, y) \in A, (y, z) \in B$ then $(x, z) \in A \circ B$;
- (2) if $(x, y) \in A, (x, z) \in B$ then $(x, y+z) \in A \diamond B$;
- (3) if $(x, y) \in A$, then $(y, x) \in A^{-1}$.

We consider algebras Θ^0 and Θ_1 of two-dimensional arithmetical sets defined as in [5]-[7], namely, the algebra Θ^0 is generated by the operations $\cup, \cap, \circ, \diamond, ^{-1}$, and by the basic elements $R = \{(x, y) | y = x+1\}$ and $Q = \{(x, y) | x < y\}$; the algebra Θ_1 is generated by the operations $\cup, \cap, \circ, ^{-1}$ and by the basic elements R, Q , and $Z = \{(x, y) | x = 0\}$. We say that a set is *inductively represented* in the algebra Θ^0 or Θ_1 if it can be obtained from the basic elements of the algebra by its operations.

4. Classes $\Delta_H^{(n)}$ and $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$

Now let us define the classes $\Delta_H^{(n)}$ and $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The following lemmas are proved in [2], [4], [5] (in some other terms); note that the expression $(t = r)(\text{Mod } w)$ in Lemma 4.1 (where t and r are terms in N_H , w is a positive natural constant) denotes the formula $\exists z((t + z + z + \dots + z = r) \vee (r + z + z + \dots + z = t))$, where the variable z is not included in t and r and is repeated w times in any part of the disjunction.

Lemma 4.1. Any term in N_H is Ded_H -equivalent to some term having the form $n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_kx_k + \bar{q}$, where any expression n_ix_i denotes the term $(x_i + x_i + \dots + x_i)$ in which the variable x_i is repeated n_i times, and q is a non-negative integer constant. Any formula in N_H is Ded_H -equivalent to some formula which can be obtained by $\&$ and \vee from subformulas having the form $(t < r)$ or $(t = r)(\text{Mod } w)$, where t and r are terms, w is a positive integer constant.

Below we shall use a special form of formulas considered in Lemma 4.1. We say that a formula $(t < r)$ or $(t = r)(\text{Mod } w)$ having the form described in Lemma 4.1 has a *reduced form* (cf. [8]) if it satisfies the following conditions: (1) no variable is included simultaneously in t and r ; (2) either t or r (or, possibly, both of them) does not contain a term \bar{q} , where $q \neq 0$. It is easy to see that for any formula $(t < r)$ or $(t = r)(\text{Mod } w)$ having the form described in Lemma 4.1 there exists a formula which is Ded_H -equivalent to the mentioned formula and has a reduced form.

Lemma 4.2. Any term in N_L has the form $S^k(x)$ or $S^l(0)$, where x is a variable. Any formula in N_L is Ded_L -equivalent to some formula which can be obtained by $\&$ and \vee from subformulas having the form $(t < r)$, where t and r are terms.

Lemma 4.3. The class Δ_H coincides with the class of two-dimensional arithmetical sets which can be represented by formulas in N_H obtained by $\&$ and \vee from formulas having the form $kx + ly < \bar{m}$, $\bar{m} < kx + ly$, $kx + \bar{m} < ly$, $kx < ly + \bar{m}$, $kx < \bar{m}$, $\bar{m} < kx$ (or a form obtained from those by replacing x by y and y by x), where k, l are positive integer constants, m is a non-negative integer.

constant, or the form $(x = \bar{l})(\text{Mod } w)$, $(y = \bar{l})(\text{Mod } w)$, where l is a non-negative integer constant, w a positive integer constant.

Note. The expressions having the form $(t = r)$ may be added to the list of expressions $(t < r)$ and $(t = r)(\text{Mod } w)$ in the formulation of Lemma 4.1; they may be added to the list of expressions $(t < r)$ in the formulation of Lemma 4.2. The statements obtained by this change are equivalent to Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, correspondingly. Indeed, the formula $(t = r)$ is Ded_H -equivalent to $(t < r + \bar{1} \& r < t + \bar{1})$; this formula is Ded_L -equivalent to $(t < S(r) \& r < S(t))$.

Let us consider (cf. [6], [8]) the monotone sequence p_n consisting of all prime numbers: $p_0 = 2$, $p_1 = 3$, $p_2 = 5$, ... The class Π_n , where $n \geq 0$ is defined as the class of all positive natural numbers such that all their prime divisors belong to the set $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$. For example, if $n = 0$ then the set $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$ is admitted to be empty, and the class Π_0 contains only the number 1. The class Π_1 contains all the numbers having the form 2^n , where $n \geq 0$, etc. Obviously, $\Pi_n \subseteq \Pi_{n+1}$ for any n . The class $\Delta_H^{(n)}$ of two-dimensional arithmetical sets is defined as the class of sets which can be expressed by formulas in N_H obtained by $\&$ and \vee from subformulas having one of the forms (1) $kx + ly < \bar{m}$, $kx < ky + ly$, $kx + \bar{m} < ly$, $kx < ly + \bar{m}$, $kx < ly$, $kx < \bar{m}$, $\bar{m} < kx$ (or a form obtained from those by replacing x by y and y by x), where $k \in \Pi_n$, $l \in \Pi_n$, or (2) the form $(x = \bar{l})(\text{Mod } w)$, $(y = \bar{l})(\text{Mod } w)$, where $w \in \Pi_n$ (cf. [6]).

The class $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$ of arithmetical sets is defined as the class of sets which can be represented by formulas in N_H having the form described in Lemma 4.1 and satisfying the following conditions: all subformulas $(t < r)$ and $(t = r)(\text{Mod } w)$ have a reduced form, and all the coefficients n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k in the representations of t and r in the form $n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_kx_k + \bar{q}$, as well as the numbers w in the expression $(t = r)(\text{Mod } w)$, belong to Π_n (cf. [8]).

Main Theorems

The following theorems will be considered below. For the reader's convenience we recall some theorems proved earlier in [5] and [7].

Theorem 1. $\Delta_H^{(n)} = \Delta_H \cap \Sigma_H^{(n)}$ for any $n \in N$.

Theorem 2. Δ_H coincides with the class of sets inductively representable in the algebra Θ^0 .

Theorem 3. Δ_L coincides with the class of sets inductively representable in the algebra Θ_1 .

Theorem 4. $\Delta_H = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_H^{(n)}$; $\Sigma_H = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Sigma_H^{(n)}$; $\Delta_H^{(n)} \subseteq \Delta_H^{(n-1)}$; $\Sigma_H^{(n)} \subseteq \Sigma_H^{(n-1)}$ for any $n \in N$.

Theorem 5. $\Delta_H^{(n)} \neq \Delta_H^{(n+1)}$; $\Sigma_H^{(n)} \neq \Sigma_H^{(n+1)}$ for any $n \in N$.

Theorem 6. Any class $\Delta_H^{(n)}$ is closed under the operations \cup , \cap , \circ , $^{-1}$ but it is not closed under the operation $\hat{\circ}$.

Theorem 7. $\Delta_H^{(0)}$ coincides with the class of sets inductively representable in the algebra Θ_1 .

Theorems 2 and 3 were proved earlier: Theorem 2 - in [5], Theorem 3 - in [7].

Theorems 4 - 7 were formulated (without proofs) in [6] and [8].

6. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. The statement

$$\Delta_H^{(n)} \subseteq \Delta_H \cap \Sigma_H^{(n)}$$

is obtained easily from the definitions.

The reverse statement

$$\Delta_H \cap \Sigma_H^{(n)} \subseteq \Delta_H^{(n)}$$

is proved similarly to the proof of Corollary of Lemma 3.1 in [5].

The proof of Theorem 4 follows easily from the definitions.

For the proof of Theorem 5 let us introduce some auxiliary notions and establish some lemmas.

If $A \subseteq N$ is a one-dimensional arithmetical set then the set A is said to be *eventually periodic* (cf. [4]) if there exists a non-negative integer g and a positive integer h such that for any natural $x \geq g$ the statement $x \in A$ is equivalent to $x+h \in A$. In this case we say that h is a *period* of A . If A is eventually periodic with $g=0$ then we say that A is *purely periodic*. If A is eventually periodic with the period h , and no positive $h_1 < h$ is a period of A , then we say that h is a *minimal period* of A .

Lemma 6.1. If $A \subseteq N$ is eventually periodic with the minimal period h , then all the periods of A have the form hw , where w is a positive natural number.

Lemma 6.2. If $A \subseteq N$ and $B \subseteq N$ are eventually periodic with the periods h_1 and h_2 , correspondingly, then $A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$ are eventually periodic with the period $h_1 h_2$.

The proofs of these lemmas are obtained easily using the corresponding definitions.

Lemma 6.3. (cf. [4], Theorem 32F) A set $A \subseteq N$ belongs to $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$ if and only if it is eventually periodic with the period belonging to Π_n .

Proof. If $A \in \Sigma_H^{(n)}$ then (see the definition of $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$) A can be represented by a formula in N_H having a single free variable x and such that it can be obtained by $\&$ and \vee from subformulas having the form $(kx < \bar{l})$, $(\bar{l} < kx)$, $(x = \bar{l}(\text{Mod } w))$, where all the numbers k and w belong to Π_n .

But the sets represented by formulas having the forms $(kx < \bar{l})$ or $(\bar{l} < kx)$ are either finite or have finite complements, so they are eventually periodic with the period 1. Every set represented by the formula having the form $(x = \bar{l}(\text{Mod } w))$ is purely periodic with the period w . So we conclude (see Lemma 6.2) that A is eventually periodic with the period belonging to Π_n .

Now let A be eventually periodic with the period $h \in \Pi_n$. Let $x \in A$ is equivalent to $x+h \in A$ when $x \geq g$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $g > 0$; let us denote the number $g-1$ by k . Let k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t be all natural numbers less than g and belonging to A , l_1, l_2, \dots, l_u be all natural numbers greater than k (i.e. $\geq g$) and less than $g+h$. Then the set A is represented by the formula which is the disjunction of subformulas $x = \bar{k}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and of subformulas $((\bar{k} < x) \& ((x = \bar{l}_j) \vee (\text{Mod } h)))$ for $1 \leq j \leq u$. It is easy to see that this formula represents the set A . Hence $A \in \Sigma_H^{(n)}$. This completes the proof.

Note. If a one-dimensional set A has a period belonging to Π_n , then its minimal period also belongs to Π_n (see Lemma 6.1). So in the formulation of Lemma 6.3 we may say "minimal period" instead of "period".

Lemma 6.4. If a two-dimensional arithmetical predicate $p(x, y)$ is the representing predicate for a set $A \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$, then $\exists x p(x, y)$ and $\exists y p(x, y)$ are representing predicates for some one-dimensional sets belonging to $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$.

Proof. Let us prove the statement of Lemma for $\exists yp(x, y)$; the proof for $\exists xp(x, y)$ is obtained similarly.

The predicate $p(x, y)$ is represented by a formula having the form described in the definition of the class $\Delta_H^{(n)}$. It will be convenient to use a shorter form of this description; this form can be obtained by including of subtraction symbol in the language of terms (cf. [4]). Namely we shall consider the expressions having the form $\pm n_1 x_1 \pm n_2 x_2 \pm \dots \pm n_k x_k \pm \bar{q}$, where the expressions $n_i x_i$ are interpreted as it is defined in the formulation of Lemma 4.1. Obviously, any formula $(t < r)$, where t and r are expressions of such kind, can be transformed to the formula without the symbol of subtraction (for example, $2x - 3y < -7$ can be transformed to $2x + 7 < 3y$). Of course, some formulas of the mentioned kind are identically true or identically false (for example, $2x + 3y < -5$ is identically false). In this case such formulas are Ded_H -equivalent to $0 < \bar{1}$ or $0 < 0$.

If the symbol of subtraction is used, then the general form of subformulas $(t < r)$ of the formula representing the predicate $p(x, y)$ can be given by the expression $kx + ly < \bar{m}$, where k, l, m are integers (possibly, negative or 0), and $|k|, |l|$ are zeros or belong to Π_n .

Now, let us apply the algorithm for elimination of quantifiers described in [4] to the formula F representing the predicate $\exists yp(x, y)$. We shall prove that the set of truth for the formula obtained by this algorithm, belongs to $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$.

Using some elementary logical transformations described in [4], we can transform the formula F representing $\exists yp(x, y)$ to a formula \tilde{F} which can be obtained by $\&$ and \vee from subformulas of the following two kinds: (1) some of them do not contain $\exists y$ and were included previously in F ; (2) some of them have the form

$$(6.4.1) \quad \exists y(F_1 \& F_2 \& \dots \& F_r \& G),$$

in which all F_i have the form $(k_i x + l_i y < \bar{m}_i)$ where $l_i \neq 0$, $|l_i| \in \Pi_n$, $k_i = 0$ or $|k_i| \in \Pi_n$; G has the form $(y = \bar{t})(Mod w)$, where $w \in \Pi_n$. The subformulas of \tilde{F} which do not contain $\exists y$ satisfy the conditions noted in the definition of $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$, so for the proof of Lemma it is sufficient to consider the process of elimination of $\exists y$ from the formulas having the form (6.4.1).

The following step of algorithm described in [4] is characterized there as "a uniformization of coefficients at y ". Namely we consider the product $|l_1 l_2 \dots l_r| = T$ and the numbers $l_1^*, l_2^*, \dots, l_r^*$ such that $T = |l_i l_i^*|$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. The formula (6.4.1) can be transformed to the form

$$(6.4.2) \quad \exists y(F_1' \& F_2' \& \dots \& F_r' \& G'),$$

where any F_i' is $(k_i l_i^* x + l_i^* y < \bar{m}_i l_i^*)$, G' is $(Ty = \bar{t}T)(Mod Tw)$. This formula is further transformed (by introducing a new variable $z = Ty$) to the form

$$(6.4.3) \quad \exists z(F_1'' \& F_2'' \& \dots \& F_r'' \& G'' \& (z = 0)(Mod T)),$$

where F_i'' is $(k_i l_i^* x + z < \bar{m}_i l_i^*)$, when $l_i > 0$ and is $(k_i l_i^* x - z < \bar{m}_i l_i^*)$, when $l_i < 0$; G'' is $(z = \bar{t}T)(Mod Tw)$. Obviously, any coefficient $k_i l_i^*$ either is equal to 0, or satisfies the condition:

$|k_i l_i^*| \in \Pi_n$; the modules T and Tw belong to Π_n . Any formula F_i'' can be represented in the form $z < \bar{m}_i l_i^* - k_i l_i^* x$ when $l_i > 0$ and in the form $k_i l_i^* x - \bar{m}_i l_i^* < z$ when $l_i < 0$. If F_i'' is $z < \bar{m}_i l_i^* - k_i l_i^* x$ then the expression $\bar{m}_i l_i^* - k_i l_i^* x$ will be denoted below by U_i (it is an upper bound for z); if F_i'' is $k_i l_i^* x - \bar{m}_i l_i^* < z$ then the expression $k_i l_i^* x - \bar{m}_i l_i^*$ will be denoted below by L_i (it

is a lower bound for z). Following to the method described in [4] we also add the number (-1) to the set of lower bounds; this additional lower bound reflects the condition: the number z should be non-negative, i.e. $z > -1$.

Let us denote by M the least common multiple of modules contained in the considered formula (in our case $M = Tw$, hence $M \in \Pi_n$). As it is proved in [4], the existing number z satisfying the condition expressed by formula

$$(6.4.4) \quad F_1^* \& F_2^* \& \dots \& F_r^* \& G^* \& (z=0)(\text{Mod } T)$$

is equivalent to the following statement: this condition is satisfied for one of the numbers $L_i + \bar{q}$, where $1 \leq q \leq M$. So the formula (6.4.3) is equivalent to the disjunction of formulas such that each of them is obtained by the substitution of some expression $L_i + \bar{q}$, for z in (6.4.4). The disjunction of formulas obtained by such substitution is the result of the mentioned algorithm; clearly, every formula F_i^* after such substitution obtains the form $L_i < L_j + \bar{q}$, or $L_i + \bar{q} < U_j$, but any such formula contains a single variable x , hence it is equivalent to some formula having one of the forms $x < \bar{d}$, $\bar{d} < x$, $0 < \bar{T}$, $0 < 0$. So, the conditions noted in the definition of the class $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$ are satisfied for these formulas. Similarly the formulas obtained from G^* or $(z=0)(\text{Mod } T)$ by the substitution of $L_i + \bar{q}$, for z can be easily reduced to the formulas satisfying these conditions. Hence the set of truth for the formula obtained by the mentioned algorithm belongs to $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$. This completes the proof.

Let us consider two-dimensional arithmetical sets $D_k = \{(x, y) | y = kx\}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and the set $E = D_1$. Obviously, $E \in \Delta_H^{(0)}$, hence $E \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$ for any n .

Lemma 6.5. $D_k \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$ if and only if $k \in \Pi_n$.

Proof. Let n be a natural number such that $k \in \Pi_n$. Then D_k is represented by the formula

$$(y < kx + \bar{T}) \& (kx < y + \bar{T}). \text{ Hence } D_k \in \Delta_H^{(n)}.$$

Now let n be a natural number such that $D_k \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$. The representing predicate for the set D_k is $y = kx$. Let us denote this predicate by $p(x, y)$. Using Lemma 6.4 we conclude that the set of truth for the predicate $\exists y p(x, y)$ belongs to $\Sigma_H^{(n)}$. But this set is $\{x | (x=0)(\text{Mod } k)\}$; it is a purely periodic set and its minimal period is k . Using Lemma 6.3 we conclude that $k \in \Pi_n$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let n be a natural number, $n \geq 0$, let p_n be n -th prime number. Obviously $p_n \in \Pi_{n+1}$, $p_n \notin \Pi_n$. Let $k = p_n$. Using Lemma 6.5 we conclude that $D_k \in \Delta_H^{(n+1)}$, $D_k \notin \Delta_H^{(n)}$. $D_k \in \Delta_H^{(n+1)}$, $D_k \notin \Sigma_H^{(n)}$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 6.6. Let A and B be two-dimensional arithmetical sets, $A \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$, $B \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$. Then $A \circ B \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$.

Proof. Let us denote the representing predicates for A and B by $p(x, y)$ and $q(x, y)$ correspondingly. Then the representing predicate for $A \circ B$ will be expressed by $\exists z(p(x, z) \& q(z, y))$. We shall prove that the set of truth for this predicate belongs to $\Delta_H^{(n)}$. We shall use (as in the proof of Lemma 6.4) the algorithm for elimination of quantifiers given in [4].

The predicates $p(x, y)$ and $q(x, y)$ can be represented by formulas having the form described in the definition of $\Delta_H^{(n)}$. We shall apply the mentioned algorithm to the formula $\exists z(p(x, z) \& q(z, y))$. We denote this formula by F .

The symbol of subtraction is added to the language of terms similarly to [4] and to the proof of Lemma 6.4.

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.4 we can transform the formula F to a formula \tilde{F} which can be obtained by $\&$ and \vee from subformulas of the following two kinds: (1) some of them do not contain $\exists z$ and were included previously in F ; (2) some of them have the form

$$(6.6.1) \quad \exists z((F_1 \& F_2 \& \dots \& F_n) \& (G_1 \& G_2 \& \dots \& G_r) \& J),$$

in which: (1) every F_i has the form $k_i x + l_i z < \bar{g}_i$, where k_i, l_i, g_i are integers (possibly, negative or positive), $l_i \neq 0, |l_i| \in \Pi_n, k_i = 0$ or $|k_i| \in \Pi_n$; (2) every G_j has the form $c_j z + d_j y < \bar{h}_j$, where c_j, d_j, h_j are integers (possibly, negative or positive), $c_j \neq 0, |c_j| \in \Pi_n, d_j = 0$ or $|d_j| \in \Pi_n$; (3) J has the form $\bar{I}(Mod w)$, where $w \in \Pi_n$. The uniformization of coefficients at z is implemented similarly to [4] and to the proof of Lemma 6.4. Namely, we consider the number

$$T = |l_1 l_2 \dots l_n c_1 c_2 \dots c_r|,$$

and the numbers $l_i^*, l_2^*, \dots, l_n^*, c_1^*, c_2^*, \dots, c_r^*$ such that $T = |l_i l_i^|, T = |c_j c_j^|, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r$. The formula (6.6.1) is transformed to the form

$$(6.6.2) \quad \exists z((F'_1 \& F'_2 \& \dots \& F'_n) \& (G'_1 \& G'_2 \& \dots \& G'_r) \& J'),$$

in which:

(1) every F'_i has the form $k_i l_i^* x + l_i^* z < \bar{g}_i l_i^*$; (2) every G'_j has the form $c_j c_j^* z + d_j c_j^* y < \bar{h}_j c_j^*$;

(3) J' has the form $(Tz = \bar{T})(Mod w)$.

Obviously, $T \in \Pi_n$, every $l_i^* \in \Pi_n$, every $c_j^* \in \Pi_n$, $l_i l_i^* = T$ or $l_i l_i^* = -T$, $c_j c_j^* = T$ or $c_j c_j^* = -T$, $k_i l_i^* = 0$ or $|k_i l_i^*| \in \Pi_n$, $d_j c_j^* = 0$ or $|d_j c_j^*| \in \Pi_n$, $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r$. The formula (6.6.2)

is further transformed (by introducing a new variable $z_1 = Tz$) to the formula

$$(6.6.3) \quad \exists z_1((F''_1 \& F''_2 \& \dots \& F''_n) \& (G''_1 \& G''_2 \& \dots \& G''_r) \& J'' \& (z_1 = 0)(Mod T)),$$

in which:

(1) every F''_i has the form $k_i l_i^* x + z_1 < \bar{g}_i l_i^*$ when $l_i > 0$ and the form $k_i l_i^* x - z_1 < \bar{g}_i l_i^*$ when $l_i < 0$;

(2) every G''_j has the form $z_1 + d_j c_j^* < \bar{h}_j c_j^*$ when $c_j > 0$ and the form $-z_1 + d_j c_j^* < \bar{h}_j c_j^*$ when $c_j < 0$;

(3) the formula J'' is $(z_1 = \bar{T})(Mod w)$.

Now lower bounds L_ρ and upper bounds U_ρ for z_1 are defined similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.4.

Namely, any lower bound L_ρ has the form $k_i l_i^* x - \bar{g}_i l_i^*$ or $d_j c_j^* y - \bar{h}_j c_j^*$; any upper bound U_ρ has the form $\bar{g}_i l_i^* - k_i l_i^* x$ or $\bar{h}_j c_j^* - d_j c_j^* y$. Similarly to [4] and the proof of Lemma 6.4 we add the number (-1)

to the set of lower bounds; this additional lower bound reflects the condition $z_1 > -1$. Note the fact which is essential for further conclusions: no expression for the lower bound or the upper bound contains two variables together, x and y .

By M we denote the least common multiple of modules included in the considered formula (in our case $M = Tw$, hence $M \in \Pi_n$). Now, similarly to [4] and to the proof of Lemma 6.4, we can conclude about the existence of some number z_1 satisfying the condition expressed by the formula

$$(6.6.4) \quad ((F''_1 \& F''_2 \& \dots \& F''_n) \& (G''_1 \& G''_2 \& \dots \& G''_r) \& J'' \& (z_1 = 0)(Mod T))$$

is equivalent to the following statement: this condition is satisfied for one of the numbers $L_\rho + \bar{q}$, where

L_ρ is one of the lower bounds for z_1 , and $1 \leq \rho \leq M$. So, the formula (6.6.3) can be transformed to the conjunction of formulas such that each of them is obtained from (6.6.4) by the substitution of some expression $L_\rho + \bar{q}$ for z_1 . The formula obtained by such a way is the result of the mentioned algorithm.

It is easy to see that this formula is equivalent to some formula which can be obtained by $\&$ and \vee from subformulas having one of the forms $L_p + \bar{q} < U_r$, $L_p < L_r + \bar{q}$, $(x = \bar{k})(\text{Mod } M)$, $(y = \bar{l})(\text{Mod } M)$. If L_p and U_r (L_p and L_r , correspondingly) contain the same variable x or y , then the formula $L_p + \bar{q} < U_r$ ($L_p < L_r + \bar{q}$, correspondingly) is equivalent to some formula having one of the forms $x < \bar{m}$, $\bar{m} < x$, $y < \bar{m}$, $\bar{m} < y$, $0 < 0$, $0 < \bar{1}$. If the variables contained in L_p and U_r (L_p and L_r , correspondingly) are different, then the formula $L_p + \bar{q} < U_r$ ($L_p < L_r + \bar{q}$, correspondingly) is equivalent to some formula having the form noted in the definition of the class $\Delta_H^{(n)}$. So the formula obtained by the algorithm for elimination of quantifiers is equivalent to some formula having the form noted in the definition of the class $\Delta_H^{(n)}$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 6. If $A \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$, $B \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$, then using Lemma 4.3 we conclude that the set $A \cup B$, $A \cap B$, A^{-1} belong to $\Delta_H^{(n)}$. Using Lemma 6.6 we conclude that $A \circ B \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$. On the other hand, let n be a natural number, $n \geq 0$. If $k = p_n - 1$ then, obviously, $k \in \Pi_n$, $k+1 \notin \Pi_n$, hence $D_k \in \Delta_H^{(n)}$, $E \in \Delta_H^{(n)} \subseteq \Delta_H^{(n)}$, but the set $D_{k+1} = D_k \circ E$ does not belong to $\Delta_H^{(n)}$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 7. It is sufficient to prove that $\Delta_H^{(0)}$ coincides with the class Δ_L (see Theorem 3). Let A be a two-dimensional arithmetical set. If A belongs to Δ_L then it can be represented (see Lemma 4.2) by a formula F in N_L which is obtained by $\&$ and \vee from formulas having the form $(t < r)$ where t and r have one of the forms $S^k(x)$, $S^l(y)$, $S^m(0)$. But the terms $S^k(x)$ and $S^l(y)$ are Ded_H -equivalent to $x + \bar{k}$ and $y + \bar{l}$, correspondingly. Using Lemma 4.3 we obtain that $A \in \Delta_H^{(0)}$. Now if $A \in \Delta_H^{(0)}$ then it can be represented (see the definition of $\Delta_H^{(n)}$) by a formula F which is obtained by $\&$ and \vee from formulas having one of forms $x + y < \bar{m}$, $\bar{m} < x + y$, $x + \bar{m} < y$, $x < y + \bar{m}$, $x < y$, $x < \bar{m}$, $\bar{m} < x$ (or a form obtained from those by replacing x by y and y by x).

But $x + y < \bar{m}$ is Ded_H -equivalent to the formula $(x = 0 \& y < \bar{m}) \vee (x = \bar{1} \& y < \bar{m} - 1) \vee \dots \vee (x = \bar{m} - 1 \& y < \bar{1})$, similarly, $\bar{m} < x + y$ is Ded_H -equivalent to the formula $(x = 0 \& \bar{m} < y) \vee (x = \bar{1} \& \bar{m} - 1 < y) \vee \dots \vee (x = \bar{m} - 1 \& 0 < y) \vee (\bar{m} < x)$.

The terms $x + \bar{m}$ and $y + \bar{m}$ are Ded_H -equivalent to $S^m(x)$ and $S^m(y)$, correspondingly. Using Lemma 4.2 we complete the proof.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Professor Patrick Cegielski for his attention to this work and for valuable advice and notes.

References:

- [1] M. Presburger, "Über die Vollständigkeit eines gewissen System der Arithmetik ganzer Zahlen, welchem die Addition als einzige Operation hervortritt", *Comptes Rendu du I Congrès des Mathématiciens des Pays Slaves*, Warszawa, pp. 92-101, 1930.

- [1] D. Hilbert und P. Bernays. *Grundlagen der Mathematik*. Band I. Zweite Auflage, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer Verlag, 1968.
- [2] R. Stansifer. Presburger's Article on Integer Arithmetic: Remarks and Translation. Department of Computer Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, 1984.
- [3] H. Enderton. *A Mathematical Introduction to Logic*. 2nd ed., San Diego, Harcourt, Academic Press, 2001.
- [4] S. N. Manukian, "Algebras of Recursively Enumerable Sets and Their Applications to Fuzzy Logic", *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 130, №2, pp. 4598-4606, 2005.
- [5] S. N. Manukian, "A Classification of Arithmetical Sets Expressible in Presburger's System", *Proceedings of the International Conference «Computer Science and Information Technologies»*, CSIT-05, Yerevan, Armenia, pp. 161-162, 2005.
- [6] S. N. Manukian, "On the Representation of Recursively Enumerable Sets in Weak Arithmetic", *Mathematical Problems of Computer Science*, vol. 27, pp. 90-110, 2006.
- [7] S. N. Manukian, "Classification of Many-dimensional Arithmetical Sets Represented in M. Presburger's System", *Reports of the National Academy of Science of Armenia*, vol. 111, №2, pp. 114-120, 2011 (in Russian).
- [8] S. C. Kleene. *Introduction to Metamathematics*. D. Van Nostard Comp., Inc., New York-Toronto, 1952.

Մ. Պրեսբուրգերի համակարգում ներկայացվող երկչափ թվաբանական բազմությունների որոշ հանրահաշվական և տրամաբանական հատկություններ

Ս. Ն. Մանուկյան

Ամփոփում

Էփտարկվում են $\Sigma_H^{(0)} \subseteq \Sigma_H^{(1)} \subseteq \Sigma_H^{(2)} \subseteq \dots$ դասերը, որոնց հաջորդականությունը ընդգրկում է Մ. Պրեսբուրգերի համակարգում ներկայացվող թվաբանական բազմությունների դասը $([1]-[4])$ և $\Delta_H^{(0)} \subseteq \Delta_H^{(1)} \subseteq \Delta_H^{(2)} \subseteq \dots$ դասերը, որոնց հաջորդականությունն ընդգրկում է նման տիպի երկչափ բազմությունների դասը: Էփտարկվում է, որ նշված դասակարգումները խիստ մոնոտոն են և լրիվ: Էփտարկվում են երկչափ թվաբանական բազմությունների վրա որոշված \cup , \cap , \circ , \diamond , գործողությունները $([5]-[7])$ և այդ գործողությունների վրա հիմնված Θ^0 և Θ_1 հանրահաշիվները $([5]-[7])$: Հետազոտվում են այդ գործողությունների և հանրահաշիվների փոխհարաբերությունները նշված դասակարգումների հետ:

О некоторых алгебраических и логических особенностях двумерных арифметических множеств, представимых в системе Пресбургера

С. Н. Манукян

Аннотация

Рассматривается классификация $\Sigma_N^{(0)} \subseteq \Sigma_N^{(1)} \subseteq \Sigma_N^{(2)} \subseteq \dots$ арифметических множеств, представимых в системе Пресбургера ([1]-[4]), а также классификация $\Delta_N^{(0)} \subseteq \Delta_N^{(1)} \subseteq \Delta_N^{(2)} \subseteq \dots$ двумерных арифметических множеств аналогичного типа. Доказывается полнота и строгая монотонность этих классификаций. Рассматриваются операции $\cup, \cap, \circ, \phi, ^{-1}$ на двумерных арифметических множествах ([5]-[7]), а также алгебры Θ^0 и Θ_1 , основанные на этих операциях ([5]-[7]). Исследуются взаимоотношения рассматриваемых операций и алгебр с вышеупомянутыми классификациями.