MOMENTS OF INERTIA FOR EVEN-EVEN ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te ISOTOPES

I. Hossain^{a*}, A.A. Mubarak^a, F.I. Sharrad^b, and H.Y. Abdullah^c

 ^aDepartment of Physics, Rabigh College of Science and Arts, King Abdulaziz University, Rabigh 21911, Saudi Arabia
 ^bDepartment of Physics, College of Science, Kerbala University, 56001 Kerbala, Iraq
 ^cDepartment of Physics, College of Science Education, Salahaddin University, Erbil, Krg, Iraq

*e-mail: mihossain@kau.edu.sa

Received 6 November 2014

Abstract - The interacting boson model (IBM-1) has been used to perform the moment of inertia of the nuclear structure of yrast bands of even-even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes. These values are found to be in agreement with previous experimental data. Moreover, IBM-1 was applied to study the systematic moment of inertia as a function of the even neutron number of ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes. For even neutrons N= 68 to 72 of Te isotopes, nature properties of backbending were indicated from the analysis of the moment of inertia as a function of the square of the rotational angular velocity.

Keywords: Moment of inertia; Te isotopes; even-even nuclei; ground state band;

1. Introduction

The even-even tellurium isotopes Te (Z=52) are one of the most important in the nuclear science. In recent years, these isotopes were studied theoretically and experimentally [1,2.]. These studies were emphasized on the interpreting experimental data via different collective models [3]. In addition, many theoretically and experimentally studies showed that the low-lying collective quadrupole *E2* excitations

occurred in the even-even nuclei with atomic number Z=52 [4]. Many models were used to investigate the electric quadrupole moments of even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁸Te isotopes; such as the framework of the semi-microscopic model [5], the two-proton core coupling model [6], the dynamic deformation model [7] and the interacting boson model-2 [8-10].

The phenomenon in which a plot of twice the moments of inertia versus the square of rotational frequency, for the various spin states has an S-shaped form, is called the backbending [1]. This property was specifically discovered in the ground state rotational bands (GSRB) of even-even rare earth nuclei at high spins. The phenomenon was investigated in many even-even nuclei studies [12-14]. For many deformed nuclei, it was found that the rotational frequency decreases by the sudden change, while the moment of inertia increases by anomalous change. Mariscotti et al. [15] have proposed the variable moment of inertia (VMI) model, which is a popular model among the nuclear science community. This model defines the excitation energy of the state J by the sum of the rigid rotational energy and the potential energy term. It is used to fit the estimated energies with the measured energies.

The backbending was calculated by different models; such as angular momentum projected Tomm-Dancoff approximation [16], neutron-proton interaction as employed by the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) model [15], projected shell model [17], and projected configuration interaction (PCI) method. These calculations show that the deformed intrinsic states are directly proportional with the shell model wave function [18]. In previous studies [19,20], we have been studied evolution properties and backbending properties of yrast states for even-even ¹⁰⁰⁻¹¹⁰Pd and ¹⁰⁴⁻¹²²Cd isotopes.

IBM-1 model has been successfully used to performed the electromagnetic reduced transition probabilities of even-even ¹⁰⁴⁻¹¹²Cd [21], ¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁶Pd [22], and ¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹²Pd [23] isotopes. Also, a detailed study for the characterization of backbending in even even¹²⁰⁻¹³⁰Te isotopes were previously performed [24].

To the best of our knowledge, there is no experimental or theoretical research about the moments of inertia of yrast states for even-even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes has been reported. We think that this work is worth to be studied by the IBM-1 model. We have investigated the analysis of the moment of inertia of even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes by the framework phenomenological IBM-1 model.

The paper is organized in four sections. Section two is devoted to the method of calculation; section three deals with the results and discussion and in section four we present the conclusion.

2. Theoretical calculation

Interacting Boson Model (IBM-1) of Arima and Iachello [1] has been widely accepted as a tractable theoretical scheme of correlating, describing and predicting low-energy collective properties of complex nuclei. The vibrational model uses a geometric approach, while the IBM employs a severely truncated model space. So that, the nuclei with N nucleons are possible to be calculated, and the experimental results can be compared with the calculated values by providing a quantitative mechanism [11]. In the first approximation of IBM-1; only one pair with the angular momentum L = 0 (called S-bosons) and L = 2 (called d-bosons) are considered.

The Hamiltonian of the interacting bosons in IBM-1 is expressed as [14]

Hossain et al. // Armenian Journal of Physics, 2015, vol. 8, issue 1

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}_i + \sum_{i \langle j}^{N} V_{ij} \quad , \tag{1}$$

where ε is the intrinsic boson energy and V_{ij} is the interaction between bosons *i* and *j*.

The multi-pole form of the IBM-1 Hamiltonian [16] is given by the following equation:

$$H = \varepsilon \hat{n}_{d} + a_{0}(\hat{P}.\hat{P}) + a_{1}(\hat{L}.\hat{L}) + a_{2}(\hat{Q}.\hat{Q}) + a_{3}(\hat{T}_{3}.\hat{T}_{3}) + a_{4}(\hat{T}_{4}.\hat{T}_{4}), \qquad (2)$$

where $\hat{n}_{d} = (d^{+}.\tilde{d}), \ \hat{P} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{d}.\tilde{d}) - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{s}.\tilde{s}),$

$$\hat{L} = \sqrt{10} \left[d^{+} \mathbf{x} \ \tilde{d} \right]^{(1)},$$

$$\hat{Q} = \left[d^{+} \mathbf{x} \ \tilde{s} \ + \ \mathbf{s}^{+} \mathbf{x} \ \tilde{d} \ \right]^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{7} \left[d^{+} \mathbf{x} \ \tilde{d} \ \right]^{(2)},$$

$$\hat{T}_{3} = \left[d^{+} \mathbf{x} \ \tilde{d} \ \right]^{(3)}, \quad \hat{T}_{4} = \left[d^{+} \mathbf{x} \ \tilde{d} \ \right]^{(4)},$$

 \hat{n}_d is the number of d boson, p is the pairing operator for the S and d bosons, J is the angular momentum operator, and Q is the quadrupole operator.T₃ and T₄ are representing the octupole and hexadecapole operators, respectively.

The IBM-1 Hamiltonian tends to be reduced to three limits; which are the vibration U(5), γ -soft O(6) and the rotational SU(3) nuclei. It will be starting with the unitary group U(6) and finishing with group O(2) [15]. The effective parameters are: ϵ , the pairing a_0 and the quadrupole a_0 for the U(5) limit, the γ -soft limit O(6) and the SU(3) limit, respectively.

The eigen-values for the three limits are given as follows [17]:

$$U(5): \quad E(n_d, \nu, L) = \varepsilon n_d + K_1 n_d (n_d + 4) + K_4 \nu(\nu + 3) + K_5 L(L+1) , \qquad (3)$$

$$O(6): E(\sigma,\tau,L) = K_3[N(N+4) - \sigma(\sigma+4)] + K_4\tau(\tau+3) + K_5L(L+1),$$
(4)

$$SU(3): E(\lambda, \mu, L) = K_2(\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + 3(\lambda + \mu) + \lambda\mu) + K_5 L(L+1) , \qquad (5)$$

where K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , K_4 and K_5 are other forms of strength parameters.

2.1. Moment of inertia (9) and gamma energy E_{γ}

The relation between the moment of inertia (ϑ) and the gamma energy E γ is given by [19]

$$2\mathcal{G}/\hbar^{2} = \frac{2(2I-1)}{E(I) - E(I-2)} = \frac{4I-2}{E_{\gamma}},$$
(6)

while the relation between $E\gamma$ and $\hbar\omega$ is given by [25]

$$\hbar\omega = \frac{E(I) - E(I-2)}{\sqrt{I(I+1)} - \sqrt{(I-2)(I-1)}} = \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{I(I+1)} - \sqrt{(I-2)(I-1)}} .$$
 (7)

3. Results and discussions

The strength parameters for different levels in IBM-1 for even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes are given in Table 1. The transition level, the gamma ray energy, the moment of inertia, the square of rotational energy for the ground state band of even-even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes are presented in Table 2 [26-28].

Table 1. Strength parameters for different levels in IBM-1 for ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes.

Nucl.	Ν	States	Limits	ε (keV)	$K_{1}(keV)$	K_4 (keV)	$K_5(keV)$
¹²⁰ Te	8	2-12	U(5)	495.018	9.414	-3.879	5.642
¹²² Te	7	2-12	U(5)	451.183	39.386	-24.092	2.058
¹²⁴ Te	6	2-12	U(5)	514.511	52.332	-42.200	776

Nucl	Ι	I(I + 1)	$E_{exp}(I)$	E _{cal.}	$2 g/\hbar^2$	$2 g / \hbar^2$	$(\hbar\omega)^2$	$(\hbar\omega)^2$
					Exp	Cal	Exp (MeV) ²	Cal
			keV	keV	MeV	MeV		(MeV)
¹²⁰ Te	2	6	560.4	560.4	10.714	10.707	0.0784	0.0785
	4	20	1161.1	1177.1	23.294	22.701	0.0903	0.0951
	6	42	1775.7	1849.9	35.772	32.699	0.0946	0.1132
	8	72	2652.4	2579.0	34.246	41.147	0.1918	0.1329
	10	110	3543.4	3364.3	42.648	48.392	0.1984	0.1542
	12	156	4459.4	4205.9	50.218	54.658	0.2097	0.1777
¹²² Te	2	6	560.4	560.09	10.639	10.713	0.0795	0.0784
	4	20	1161.5	1175.2	22.690	22.760	0.0952	0.0946
	6	42	1776.1	1833.4	38.596	33.424	0.0812	0.1083
	8	72	2652.8	2538.6	41.394	42.541	0.2100	0.1243
	10	110	3273.8	3290.8	61.191	50.518	0.0964	0.1414
	12	156	3978.8	4090.5	65.248	57.522	0.1243	0.1599
	14	210	4888.8	4758.7	59.34		0.2070	
¹²⁴ Te	2	6	602.7	602.7	9.967	9.956	0.0906	0.0908
	4	20	1248.6	1219.5	21.705	22.698	0.1040	0.0951
	6	42	1747.0	1850.4	36.756	34.871	0.2139	0.0995
	8	72	2664.4	2495.3	44.117	46.529	0.1156	0.1039
	10	110	3267.1	3154.4	86.363	57.654	0.0484	0.1086
	12	156		3827.5		68.341		0.1133

Table 2.Excitation energies, moment of inertia and square of rotational frequency for even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes[26-28].

3.1. The moment of inertia

The positive parity yrast levels are connected by a sequence of the stretched E2 transition with energies. A very steep increase in energy occurs for certain I values and the even bending backwards curve (backbending). For constant rotor, the transition energy $\Delta E_{I,I-2}$ should be increase linearly with I as the relation ($\Delta E_{I,I-2} = \hbar^2(4I-2) / 29$), but it is found to be decreasing for certain I values. The moment of inertia $2\mathcal{G}/\hbar^2$ and rotational frequency $\hbar\omega$ are calculated from Eq. (1), (6) and (7). The ground state bands up to 12, 14 and 12 units of angular momentum are investigated for the moment of inertia in even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te nuclei respectively. The moments of inertia are plotted versus the even neutron number as can be seen in Fig.1. It is seen that calculated moment of inertia are agreeable to experimental data. One can conclude that $2g/\hbar^2$ as a function of neutrons do not change up to spin 4⁺. Figure 2 presents $2\theta/\hbar^2$ as a function of the square of the rotational energy in even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te nuclei. A linear proportional occurs between $2g/\hbar^2$ and ω^2 in the lowest order according to VMI model, as shown in Fig. 2. The moment of inertia are rapidly increases at 8⁺ and 6⁺ states for N=72 and N=70, respectively. The backbending phenomena appear clearly in Fig. 2. Table 2 presents the results of collective $\Delta I = 2$ ground band level sequence for the variation of shapes for Te isotopes with even neutron from N=68-72.



Fig. 1. Moments of inertia as a function of yrast spin for even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes.



Fig. 2.Moments of inertia as a function of square of rotational energy for even ¹²⁰⁻¹²⁴Te isotopes.

4. Conclusions

The IBM-1 calculations have been used to investigate the moment of inertia for even-even ¹²⁰⁻¹³⁰Te isotopes. These results are comparable with the experimental results and extremely useful for compiling nuclear data table.

Acknowledgment

The authors thanks to king Abdul Aziz university to provide a research fund.

References

- Zhong Ze L.I., U. Feng Ying L. I., Ling Yan Z.H.U., Yan Song L.I.and Li N.I.U., *Commun. Theor. Phys.* (Beijing, China) 2000, Vol. **33**(3), P.405.
- 2. Robinson S. J., Hamilton W. D. and Snelling D. M., J. Phys. 1983, Vol. 9, P.961.
- 3. Kucukbursa A., Manisa K., *Math. and Com. Appl.*, 2005, Vol. 10(1), P. 9.
- 4. Ghija D.G.et al, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E17, 2008, P.1453.
- 5. Lopac V., Nucl. Phys., 1970, Vol. A155, P. 513.
- 6. Degrieck E., Berghe G. V., Nucl. Phys., 1974, Vol. A231, P. 141.
- Subber A., Hamilton W.D., Park P.and Kumar K., J Phys. G: Nucl. Phys., 1987, Vol. 13, P. 161.
- 8. Sambataro M., Nucl. Phys. 1992, Vol. A380, P. 365.
- 9. Rikovska J., Stone N. J., Walkers W. B., Phys. Rev. 1987, Vol. C36, P. 2162.
- Kucukbursa A.and Yoruk A., Bulletin of Pure and Appl. Sci., 1999, Vol. 18D, P.
 177.
- 11. Sirag M., J. Nucl. Radiat. Phys. 2006, Vol. 1, P. 79.
- 12. Najim L. A., Malek, Kheder H., Int. J. Mod. Phys. 2013, Vol. E22(7), P.1350055.

- 13. Johnson A., Ryde H.and Sztarkier J., Phys. Lett. 1971, B34, P. 605.
- 14. Mariscotti M., Scharff-Goldhaber G.and Buck B., Phys. Rev. 1969, Vol. 178, P.1864.
- 15. Calik A.E., Deniz C., and Gerceklioglu M., Pramana J. Phys.7 (2009)847.
- 16. Sun Y.and Egido J. L., Nucl. Phys. 1994, vol. A580, P.1.
- 17. Wen-Hua Z.and Jian-Zhong G., Chin. Phys. Lett. 2010, Vol. 27, P. 012101.
- 18. Gao Z.C., Horoi M., Chen Y.S. and Tuya, *Phys. Rev. C*2011, Vol. 83, P.057303.
- 19. Ahmed I. M. et al. Int. J. Mod. Phys. E2012, Vol. 21, P. 1250101.
- Hossain I.et al. J. Theoretical and Appl. Phys. 2013, Vol.7, P. 46, doi:10.1186/2251-7235-7-46
- 21. Abdullah H.Y. et al. Indian J. Phys. 2013, Vol. 87, P. 571.
- 22. Hossain I.et al, Indian J. Phys. 2014, Vol. 88. P. 5.
- Hossain I.,. Abdullah H.Y, Ahmad I.M., Saeed M.A., *Chin. Phys. C*,2014, Vol. 38, P.024103.
- 24. Hussein M., Hossain I., Monsour S A, Prob. Atomic Sci. Tech. 2014, vol.N5, P. 26.
- 25. Scholten O. et al., Ann. Phys. 1978, Vol. 115, P. 325.
- 26. Kitao K., Tendow Y.and Hashizume A., Nucl. Data Sheets2002, Vol. 96, P. 241.
- 27. Tamura T., Nucl. Data Sheets, 2007. Vol. 108, P. 455.
- 28. Katakura J. and Wu Z. D., Nucl. Data Sheets 2008, Vol. 109, P. 1655.