

ON THE CONVERGENCE AND SUMMABILITY OF DOUBLE
WALSH-FOURIER SERIES OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED
GENERALIZED VARIATION

U. GOGINAVA, A. A. SAHAKIAN

*I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
Yerevan State University*

E-mails: zazagoginava@gmail.com, sart@ysu.am

Abstract. ¹The convergence of partial sums and Cesàro means of negative order of double Walsh-Fourier series of functions of bounded generalized variation is investigated.

MSC2010 numbers: 42C10.

Keywords: Walsh function; bounded variation; Cesàro means.

1. CLASSES OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED GENERALIZED VARIATION

In 1881 C. Jordan [17] introduced a class of functions of bounded variation and applied it to the theory of Fourier series. Hereinafter this notion was generalized by many authors (quadratic variation, Φ -variation, Λ -variation etc. (see, e.g., [2, 18, 27, 29])). In two dimensional case the class of functions of bounded variation (BV) was introduced by G. Hardy [16].

Let f be a real and measurable function of two variables on the unit square. Given intervals $\Delta = (a, b)$, $J = (c, d)$ and points x, y from $I := [0, 1]$ we denote

$$f(\Delta, y) = f(b, y) - f(a, y), \quad f(x, J) = f(x, d) - f(x, c)$$

and

$$f(\Delta, J) = f(a, c) - f(a, d) - f(b, c) + f(b, d).$$

Let $E = \{\Delta_i\}$ be a collection of nonoverlapping intervals from I ordered in arbitrary way and let Ω be the set of all such collections E . Denote by Ω_n the set of all collections of n nonoverlapping intervals $I_k \subset I$.

For the sequences of positive numbers

$$\Lambda^1 = \{\lambda_n^1\}_{n=1}^\infty, \quad \Lambda^2 = \{\lambda_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

¹The research of U. Goginava was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant no.31/48 (Operators in some function spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis).

and $I^2 = [0, 1]^2$ we denote

$$\Lambda^1 V_1(f; I^2) = \sup_y \sup_{E \in \Omega} \sum_i \frac{|f(\Delta_i, y)|}{\lambda_i^1} \quad (E = \{\Delta_i\}),$$

$$\Lambda^2 V_2(f; I^2) = \sup_x \sup_{F \in \Omega} \sum_j \frac{|f(x, J_j)|}{\lambda_j^2} \quad (F = \{J_j\}),$$

$$(\Lambda^1 \Lambda^2) V_{1,2}(f; I^2) = \sup_{F, E \in \Omega} \sum_i \sum_j \frac{|f(\Delta_i, J_j)|}{\lambda_i^1 \lambda_j^2}.$$

Definition 1.1. We say that a function f has bounded (Λ^1, Λ^2) -variation on I^2 and write $f \in (\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2) BV(I^2)$, if

$$(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2) V(f; I^2) := \Lambda^1 V_1(f; I^2) + \Lambda^2 V_2(f; I^2) + (\Lambda^1 \Lambda^2) V_{1,2}(f; I^2) < \infty.$$

If $\Lambda^1 = \Lambda^2 = \Lambda$, then we say that f has bounded Λ -variation on I^2 and use the notation $\Lambda BV(I^2)$.

We say that a function f has bounded partial Λ -variation on I^2 and write $f \in P\Lambda BV(I^2)$, if

$$P\Lambda BV(f; I^2) := \Lambda V_1(f; I^2) + \Lambda V_2(f; I^2) < \infty.$$

If $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ with $\lambda_n \equiv 1$, or if $0 < c < \lambda_n < C < \infty$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the classes ΛBV and $P\Lambda BV$ coincide, respectively, with the Hardy class BV and with the class PBV functions of bounded partial variation introduced by Goginava [6]. Hence it is reasonable to assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and since the intervals in $E = \{\Delta_i\}$ are ordered arbitrarily, we can assume, without loss of generality, that the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is increasing. Thus, we assume that

$$(1.1) \quad 1 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/\lambda_n) = +\infty.$$

In the case where $\lambda_n = n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we will use the term *harmonic variation* instead of Λ -variation and will write H instead of Λ , that is, HBV , $PHBV$, $HV(f)$, etc.

The notion of Λ -variation was introduced by Waterman [27] in one dimensional case, and by Sahakian [23] in two dimensional case; the notion of bounded partial Λ -variation ($P\Lambda BV$) was introduced by Goginava and Sahakian [12].

Dyachenko and Waterman [5] introduced another class of functions of generalized bounded variation. Denoting by Γ the set of finite collections of nonoverlapping

rectangles $A_k := [\alpha_k, \beta_k] \times [\gamma_k, \delta_k] \subset I^2$, we define

$$\Lambda^* V_{1,2}(f) := \sup_{\{A_k\} \in \Gamma} \sum_k \frac{|f(A_k)|}{\lambda_k}.$$

Definition 1.2 (Dyachenko and Waterman, [5]). Let f be a real function on I^2 . We say that $f \in \Lambda^* BV$, if

$$\Lambda^* V(f) := \Lambda V_1(f) + \Lambda V_2(f) + \Lambda^* V_{1,2}(f) < \infty.$$

In [13], the authors have introduced new classes of functions of generalized bounded variation and investigated the convergence of Fourier series of functions from that classes.

For the sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ we define

$$\Lambda^\# V_1(f) := \sup_{\{y_i\} \subset I} \sup_{\{I_i\} \in \Omega} \sum_i \frac{|f(I_i, y_i)|}{\lambda_i},$$

$$\Lambda^\# V_2(f) := \sup_{\{x_j\} \subset I} \sup_{\{J_j\} \in \Omega} \sum_j \frac{|f(x_j, J_j)|}{\lambda_j}.$$

Definition 1.3. We say that a function f belongs to the class $\Lambda^\# BV$, if

$$\Lambda^\# V(f) = \Lambda^\# V_1(f) + \Lambda^\# V_2(f) < \infty.$$

The notion of continuity of functions in Λ -variation plays an important role in the study of convergence of Fourier series of functions of bounded Λ -variation.

Definition 1.4. We say that a function f is continuous in (Λ^1, Λ^2) -variation on I^2 and write $f \in C(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2) V$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^1 V_1(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^2 V_2(f) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda_n^1, \Lambda^2) V_{1,2}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^1, \Lambda_n^2) V_{1,2}(f) = 0,$$

where $\Lambda_n^i := \{\lambda_k^i\}_{k=n}^\infty = \{\lambda_{k+n}^i\}_{k=0}^\infty$, $i = 1, 2$.

Definition 1.5. A function f is continuous in $\Lambda^\#$ -variation on I^2 and write $f \in C\Lambda^\# V$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^\# V(f) = 0,$$

where $\Lambda_n = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=n}^\infty$.

Definition 1.6. We say that a function f is continuous in Λ^* -variation on I^2 and write $f \in C\Lambda^*V$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n V_1(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n V_2(f) = 0; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^* V_{1,2}(f) = 0.$$

Now, we define

$$v_1^\#(n, f) := \sup_{\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n} \sup_{\{I_i\} \in \Omega_n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(I_i, y_i)|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$v_2^\#(m, f) := \sup_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} \sup_{\{J_k\} \in \Omega_m} \sum_{j=1}^m |f(x_j, J_j)|, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

The following theorems hold.

Theorem 1.1 (Goginava, Sahakian [13]). $\left\{ \frac{n}{\log n} \right\}^\# BV \subset HBV$.

Theorem 1.2 (Goginava, Sahakian [13]). Suppose

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_s^\#(f; n) \log(n+1)}{n^2} < \infty, \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Then $f \in \left\{ \frac{n}{\log(n+1)} \right\}^\# BV$.

Theorem 1.3 (Goginava [10]). Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_s^\#(f; 2^j)}{2^{j(1-(\alpha+\beta))}} < \infty, \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Then $f \in C\{n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}\}^\# V$.

Theorem 1.4 (Goginava [10]). Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then

$$C\{i^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}\}^\# V \subset C\{i^{1-\alpha}\} \{j^{1-\beta}\} V.$$

The next theorem shows, that for some sequences Λ the classes $\Lambda^\#V$ and $C\Lambda^\#V$ coincide.

Theorem 1.5. Let the sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ be as in (1.1) and

$$(1.2) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{2n}}{\lambda_n} = q > 1.$$

Then $\Lambda^\#V = C\Lambda^\#V$.

Proof. Assume the opposite, that there exists a function $f \in \Lambda^\#V$ for which $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^\#V(f) > 0$ (see Definition 1.5). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^\#V_1(f) =$

$\delta > 0$ and that $\delta = 1$. Then, taking into account that the sequence $\{\Lambda_n^\# V_1(f)\}$ is decreasing, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^\# V_1(f) = 1.$$

Let a natural k and the numbers $\varepsilon > 0$, $q_0 \in (1, q)$ be fixed.

According to (1.2) and (1.3) there exist a natural $N' > k$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\lambda_{2n}}{\lambda_n} > q_0, \quad \Lambda_n^\# V_1(f) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq N'.$$

Then for a natural $N > 2N'$ there are a set of points $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{2i_0}$ and a set of nonoverlapping intervals $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^{2i_0} \in \Omega$ such that

$$(1.5) \quad I := \sum_{i=1}^{2i_0} \frac{|f(\delta_i, y_i)|}{\lambda_{N+i}} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Adding, if necessary, new terms in (1.5) we can assume that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2i_0} \delta_i = (0, 1).$$

Denote

$$(1.6) \quad I_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \frac{|f(\delta_{2i-1}, y_{2i-1})|}{\lambda_{N+2i-1}}, \quad I_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \frac{|f(\delta_{2i}, y_{2i})|}{\lambda_{N+2i}}.$$

Since $N > 2N'$ implies that $N + 2i - 1 \geq 2(N' + i)$, from (1.4) and (1.6) we have

$$(1.7) \quad I'_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \frac{|f(\delta_{2i-1}, y_{2i-1})|}{\lambda_{N'+i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \frac{|f(\delta_{2i-1}, y_{2i-1})|}{\lambda_{N+2i-1}} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{N+2i-1}}{\lambda_{N'+i}} > q_0 I_1$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad I'_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \frac{|f(\delta_{2i}, y_{2i})|}{\lambda_{N'+i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \frac{|f(\delta_{2i}, y_{2i})|}{\lambda_{N+2i}} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{N+2i}}{\lambda_{N'+i}} > q_0 I_2.$$

Consequently, by (1.5) we get

$$(1.9) \quad I' := I'_1 + I'_2 \geq q_0(I_1 + I_2) = q_0 I \geq q_0(1 - \varepsilon).$$

Now, we take a natural M to satisfy

$$(1.10) \quad M > N + 2(i_0 + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2(2i_0 + 1)}{\lambda_M} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x)| < \varepsilon,$$

and hence using (1.4), we can find a set of points $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{j_0}$ and a set of nonoverlapping intervals $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^{j_0} \in \Omega$ such that

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \frac{|f(\Delta_j, z_j)|}{\lambda_{M+j}} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Denote by Q the set of indices $j = 1, 2, \dots, j_0$ for which the corresponding interval Δ_j does not contain an endpoint of the intervals $\delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2i_0$, that is, Δ_j lies in one of the intervals $\delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2i_0$. Then the number of indices in $[1, j_0] \setminus Q$ does not exceed $2i_0 + 1$, and by (1.10) we get

$$\sum_{j \in [1, j_0] \setminus Q} \frac{|f(\Delta_j, z_j)|}{\lambda_{M+j}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, by (1.11) we have

$$(1.12) \quad J := \sum_{j \in Q} \frac{|f(\Delta_j, z_j)|}{\lambda_{M+j}} \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Denoting

$$Q_1 = \left\{ j \in Q : \Delta_j \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_0} \delta_{2i-1} \right\}, \quad Q_2 = \left\{ j \in Q : \Delta_j \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_0} \delta_{2i} \right\}$$

and

$$J_1 := \sum_{j \in Q_1} \frac{|f(\Delta_j, z_j)|}{\lambda_{M+j}}, \quad J_2 := \sum_{j \in Q_2} \frac{|f(\Delta_j, z_j)|}{\lambda_{M+j}},$$

from (1.9) and (1.12) we obtain

$$(I'_1 + J_2) + (I'_2 + J_1) = I' + J \geq q_0(1 - \varepsilon) + 1 - 2\varepsilon \geq q_0 + 1 - 3\varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$I'_1 + J_2 \geq \frac{q_0 + 1 - 3\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad (I'_2 + J_1) \geq \frac{q_0 + 1 - 3\varepsilon}{2},$$

which means that

$$\Lambda_{N'}^{\#} V_1(f) \geq \frac{q_0 + 1 - 3\varepsilon}{2},$$

and hence

$$\Lambda_k^{\#} V_1(f) \geq \frac{q_0 + 1}{2},$$

since ε is any positive number and $N' > k$. Taking into account that k is an arbitrary natural number, the last inequality implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n^{\#} V_1(f) \geq \frac{q_0 + 1}{2} > 1,$$

which contradicts the assumption (1.3), and the result follows. Theorem 1.5 is proved.

It is easy to see that for any $\gamma > 0$ the sequence $\lambda_n = n^\gamma, n = 1, 2, \dots$ satisfies the condition (1.2) with $q = 27$. Hence Theorem 1.5 implies the following result.

Corollary 1.1. *If $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, then $\{n^\gamma\}^{\#} V = C \{n^\gamma\}^{\#} V$.*

This, combined with Theorem 1.4 implies the next result.

Corollary 1.2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then

$$\{i^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}\}^\# V \subset C \{i^{1-\alpha}\} \{j^{1-\beta}\} V.$$

2. WALSH FUNCTIONS

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of positive integers, and $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$. We denote the set of all integers by \mathbb{Z} and the set of dyadic rational numbers in the unit interval $I = [0, 1)$ by \mathbb{Q} . Each element of \mathbb{Q} is of the form $\frac{p}{2^n}$ for some $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq p < 2^n$. By a dyadic interval in I we mean an interval of the form $I_N^l := [l2^{-N}, (l+1)2^{-N})$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq l < 2^N$. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in I$, we denote by $I_N(x)$ the dyadic interval of length 2^{-N} that contains x . Finally, we set $I_N := [0, 2^{-N})$ and $\bar{I}_N := I \setminus I_N$.

Let $r_0(x)$ be the following function

$$r_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2) \\ -1, & \text{if } x \in [1/2, 1) \end{cases}, \quad r_0(x+1) = r_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Rademacher system is defined by

$$r_n(x) = r_0(2^n x), \quad x \in I, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The Walsh functions w_0, w_1, \dots are defined as follows. Denote $w_0(x) = 1$ and if $k = 2^{n_1} + \dots + 2^{n_s}$ is a positive integer with $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_s \geq 0$, then

$$w_k(x) = r_{n_1}(x) \cdots r_{n_s}(x).$$

The Walsh-Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Recall that (see [15, 25])

$$(2.1) \quad D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in [0, 2^{-n}) \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in [2^{-n}, 1) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad D_{2^n+m}(x) = D_{2^n}(x) + w_{2^n}(x) D_m(x), \quad 0 \leq m < 2^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is well known that (see [25])

$$(2.3) \quad D_n(t) = w_n(t) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j w_{2^j}(t) D_{2^j}(t), \quad \text{if } n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j 2^j$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad |D_{q_n}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{4x}, \quad 2^{-2n-1} \leq x < 1,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad q_n := 2^{2n-2} + 2^{2n-4} + \dots + 2^2 + 2^0.$$

Given $x \in I$, the expansion

$$(2.6) \quad x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k 2^{-(k+1)},$$

where each $x_k = 0$ or 1 , is called a dyadic expansion of x . If $x \in I \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then (2.6) is uniquely determined. For $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ we choose the dyadic expansion with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0$. The dyadic sum of $x, y \in I$ in terms of the dyadic expansion of x and y is defined by

$$x + y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k - y_k| 2^{-(k+1)}.$$

We say that $f(x, y)$ is continuous at (x, y) if

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{h, \delta \rightarrow 0} f(x+h, y+\delta) = f(x, y).$$

We consider the double system $\{w_n(x) \times w_m(y) : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on the unit square $I^2 = [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$.

If $f \in L^1(I^2)$, then

$$\hat{f}(n, m) = \int_{I^2} f(x, y) w_n(x) w_m(y) dx dy$$

is the (n, m) -th Walsh-Fourier coefficient of f .

The rectangular partial sums of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh system are defined by

$$S_{M,N}(x, y; f) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(m, n) w_m(x) w_n(y).$$

The Cesàro $(C; \alpha, \beta)$ -means of double Walsh-Fourier series are defined as follows

$$\sigma_{n,m}^{\alpha,\beta}(x, y; f) = \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha} A_{m-1}^{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m A_{n-i}^{\alpha-1} A_{m-j}^{\beta-1} S_{i,j}(x, y; f),$$

where

$$A_0^{\alpha} = 1, \quad A_n^{\alpha} = \frac{(\alpha+1) \cdots (\alpha+n)}{n!}, \quad \alpha \neq -1, -2, \dots$$

It is well-known that (see [30])

$$(2.8) \quad A_n^{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1},$$

$$(2.9) \quad A_n^{\alpha} \sim n^{\alpha}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \sigma_{n,m}^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y;f) = \int_{I^2} f(s,t) K_n^\alpha(x+s) K_m^\beta(y+t) ds dt,$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad K_n^\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} D_k(x).$$

3. CONVERGENCE OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

The well known Dirichlet-Jordan theorem (see [30]) states that the Fourier series of a function $f(x)$, $x \in T$ of bounded variation converges at every point x to the value $[f(x+0) + f(x-0)]/2$.

Hardy [16] generalized the Dirichlet-Jordan theorem to the double Fourier series. He proved that if a function $f(x,y)$ has bounded variation in the sense of Hardy ($f \in BV$), then $S[f]$ converges at any point (x,y) to the value $\frac{1}{4} \sum f(x \pm 0, y \pm 0)$. Here and below we consider the convergence of **only rectangular partial sums** of double Fourier series.

Convergence of d -dimensional trigonometric Fourier series of functions of bounded Λ -variation was investigated in details by Sahakian [23], Dyachenko [3, 4, 5], Bakhvalov [1], Sablin [22], Goginava, Sahakian [12, 13], and others.

For the d -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series the convergence of partial sums of functions of bounded harmonic variation and other bounded generalized variations were studied by Moricz [19, 20], Onnewer, Waterman [21], and Goginava [7].

In the two-dimensional case the following result is known.

Theorem 3.1 (Sargsyan [24]). *If $f \in HBV(I^2)$, then the double Walsh-Fourier series of f converges to $f(x,y)$ at any point $(x,y) \in I^2$ where f is continuous.*

The authors have investigated convergence of multiple Walsh-Fourier series of functions of partial Λ -bounded variation. In particular, the following result was proved in [14].

Theorem 3.2 (Goginava, Sahakian [14]). *The following assertions hold:*

- If $f \in P\{\frac{n}{\log^{1+\varepsilon} n}\}BV(I^2)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then the double Walsh-Fourier series of f converges to $f(x,y)$ at any point $(x,y) \in I^2$ where f is continuous.*
- There exists a continuous function $f \in P\{\frac{n}{\log n}\}BV(I^2)$ such that the quadratic partial sums of its Walsh-Fourier series diverge at some point.*

The next theorem contains a similar result for functions of bounded $\Lambda^\#$ -variation.

Theorem 3.3. *The following assertions hold:*

- a) If $f \in \left\{ \frac{n}{\log n} \right\}^\# BV$, then the double Walsh-Fourier series of f converges to $f(x, y)$ at any point (x, y) where f is continuous.
- b) For an arbitrary sequence $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ there exists a continuous function $f \in \left\{ \frac{n\alpha_n}{\log(n+1)} \right\}^\# BV$ such that the quadratic partial sums of its Walsh-Fourier series diverge unboundedly at $(0, 0)$.

Proof. The assertion a) immediately follows from Theorems 1.1 and 3.1.

To prove the assertion b), observe first that for any sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ satisfying (1.1) the class $C(I^2) \cap \Lambda^\# BV$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda^\# BV} = \|f\|_C + \Lambda^\# BV(f),$$

and $S_{N,N}(0, 0, f)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is a sequence of bounded linear functionals on that space. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{N,j}(x) &= \begin{cases} 2^{2N+1}x - 2j, & \text{if } x \in [j2^{-2N}, (j+1)2^{-2N-1}], \\ -(2^{2N+1}x - 2j - 2), & \text{if } x \in [(j+1)2^{-2N-1}, (j+2)2^{-2N}], \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in I \setminus [j2^{-2N}, (j+2)2^{-2N}], \end{cases} \\ (3.1) \quad \varphi_N(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \varphi_{N,j}(x), \quad x \in I, \\ g_N(x, y) &= \varphi_N(x) \varphi_N(y) \operatorname{sgn} D_{q_N}(x) \operatorname{sgn} D_{q_N}(y), \quad x, y \in I, \end{aligned}$$

where q_N is defined in (2.5).

Suppose $\Lambda = \left\{ \lambda_n = \frac{n\alpha_n}{\log(n+1)} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$, where $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to show that for $s = 1, 2$

$$\Lambda^\# V_s(g_N) \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \frac{\log(i+1)}{i\alpha_i} = o(N^2) \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $\|g_N\|_{\Lambda^\# BV} = o(N^2) = \eta_N N^2$, where $\eta_N \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, denoting $G_N = \frac{g_N}{\eta_N N^2}$, we conclude that $G_N \in \Lambda^\# BV$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \sup_N \|G_N\|_{\Lambda^\# BV} < \infty.$$

By construction of the function G_N we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{q_N, q_N}(0, 0; G_N) &= \iint_{I^2} G_N(x, y) D_{q_N}(x) D_{q_N}(y) dx dy \\
 (3.3) \quad &= \frac{1}{N^2 \eta_N} \iint_{I^2} \varphi_N(x) \varphi_N(y) |D_{q_N}(x)| |D_{q_N}(y)| dx dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2 \eta_N} \left(\int_I \varphi_N(x) |D_{q_N}(x)| dx \right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, using (2.4), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_I \varphi_N(x) |D_{m_N}(x)| dx &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \int_{j2^{-2N}}^{(j+1)2^{-2N}} \varphi_{N,j}(x) |D_{m_N}(x)| dx = \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \left| D_{m_N} \left(\frac{j}{2^{2N}} \right) \right| \int_{j2^{-2N}}^{(j+1)2^{-2N}} \varphi_{N,j}(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{2^{2N+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \frac{2^{2N}}{4j} \geq cN.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (3.3) we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad |S_{q_N, q_N}(0, 0; G_N)| \geq \frac{c}{\eta_N} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

According to the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem, (3.2) and (3.4) imply that there exists a continuous function $f \in \left\{ \frac{\eta \alpha_n}{\log(n+1)} \right\}^{\#} BV$ such that

$$\sup_N |S_{N,N}(0, 0; f)| = +\infty.$$

Theorem 3.3 is proved. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 3.3 we have the following result.

Theorem 3.4. Let the function $f(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in I^2$, satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_s^{\#}(f, n) \log(n+1)}{n^2} < \infty, \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Then the double Walsh-Fourier series of f converges to $f(x, y)$ at any point $(x, y) \in I^2$ where f is continuous.

4. CESÁRO MEANS OF NEGATIVE ORDER FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

The problem of summability of Cesàro means of negative order for one dimensional Walsh-Fourier series was studied in the papers [8], [26]. In the two-dimensional case the summability of Walsh-Fourier series by Cesàro method of negative order for

functions of partial bounded variation was investigated by the first author in [9], [11]. In particular, the following results were obtained.

Theorem 4.1 (Goginava [9]). *Let $f \in C_w(I^2) \cap PBV$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then the double Walsh-Fourier series of the function f is uniformly $(C; -\alpha, -\beta)$ summable in the sense of Pringsheim.*

Theorem 4.2 (Goginava [9]). *Let $\alpha, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta \geq 1$. Then there exists a continuous function $f_0 \in PBV$ such that the Cesàro $(C; -\alpha, -\beta)$ means $\sigma_{n,n}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(0, 0; f_0)$ of the double Walsh-Fourier series of f_0 diverge.*

Theorem 4.3 (Goginava [11]). *Let $f \in C(\{i^{1-\alpha}\}, \{i^{1-\beta}\}) \vee (I^2)$ with $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$. Then the $(C, -\alpha, -\beta)$ -means of double Walsh-Fourier series converge to $f(x, y)$, if f is continuous at (x, y) .*

Theorem 4.4 (Goginava [11]). *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1), \alpha + \beta < 1$. The following assertions hold:*

- If $f \in P\left\{\frac{n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}}{\log^{1+\varepsilon}(n+1)}\right\} BV(I^2)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then the double Walsh-Fourier series of the function f is $(C; -\alpha, -\beta)$ summable to $f(x, y)$, if f is continuous at (x, y) .*
- There exists a continuous function $f \in P\left\{\frac{n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}}{\log(n+1)}\right\} BV(I^2)$ such that the means $\sigma_{2n, 2n}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(0, 0; f)$ diverge.*

In this paper we prove the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *The following assertions hold:*

- Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1), \alpha + \beta < 1$ and $f \in \{n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}\}^\# BV$. Then the means $\sigma_{n,n}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(x, y; f)$ converge to $f(x, y)$, if f is continuous at (x, y) .*
- Let $\Lambda := \{n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}\xi_n\}$, where $\xi_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists a function $f \in C(I^2) \cap C\Lambda^\#V$ for which the $(C; -\alpha, -\beta)$ -means of double Walsh-Fourier series diverge unboundedly at $(0, 0)$.*

Proof. The assertion a) immediately follows from Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 4.3. To prove part b) of the theorem, observe first that

$$\{n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}\sqrt{\xi_n}\}^\# BV \subset C\{n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}\xi_n\}^\# V,$$

and since $\xi_n \uparrow \infty$ is arbitrary, it is enough to show that there exists a continuous function $f \in \Lambda^\# BV$ for which $(C; -\alpha, -\beta)$ -means of double Walsh-Fourier series diverge unboundedly at $(0, 0)$.

Denote

$$h_N(x, y) := \varphi_N(x) \varphi_N(y) \operatorname{sgn} K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x) \operatorname{sgn} K_{2^{2N}}^{-\beta}(y),$$

where φ_N is defined in (3.1), and the kernel K_n^α is defined in (2.11). It is easy to show that for $s = 1, 2$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\left\{ n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)} \xi_n \right\}^\# V_s(h_N) \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \frac{1}{i^{1-(\alpha+\beta)} \xi_i} = o\left(2^{2N(\alpha+\beta)}\right).$$

Hence

$$\|h_N\|_{\Lambda^*BV} = o\left(2^{2N(\alpha+\beta)}\right) = \eta_N 2^{2N(\alpha+\beta)},$$

where $\eta_N = o(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, denoting

$$H_N(x, y) = \frac{h_N(x, y)}{\eta_N 2^{2N(\alpha+\beta)}},$$

we conclude that $H_N \in C(I^2) \cap \Lambda^*BV$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_N \|H_N\|_{\Lambda^*BV} < \infty.$$

By construction of the function H_N , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{2^{2N}, 2^{2N}}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(0, 0; H_N) &= \iint_{I^2} H_N(x, y) K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x) K_{2^{2N}}^{-\beta}(y) dx dy \\ (4.2) \quad &= \frac{1}{\eta_N 2^{2N(\alpha+\beta)}} \iint_{I^2} h_N(x, y) K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x) K_{2^{2N}}^{-\beta}(y) dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta_N 2^{2N(\alpha+\beta)}} \int_I \varphi_N(x) |K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x)| dx \int_I \varphi_N(y) |K_{2^{2N}}^{-\beta}(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the following estimate from [26]:

$$\int_{2^{m-N-1}}^{2^{m-N}} |K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x)| dx \geq c(\alpha) 2^{m\alpha}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m = 1, \dots, N, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad \int_I \varphi_N(x) |K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x)| dx &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \int_{j2^{-2N}}^{(j+1)2^{-2N}} \varphi_{N,j}(x) |K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x)| dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \left| K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{j}{2^{2N}}\right) \right| \int_{j2^{-2N}}^{(j+1)2^{-2N}} \varphi_{N,j}(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \left| K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{j}{2^{2N}}\right) \right| \int_{j2^{-2N}}^{(j+1)2^{-2N}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{2N}-1} \int_{j2^{-2N}}^{(j+1)2^{-2N}} |K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x)| dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{2N-1} \sum_{j=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} \int_{j2^{-2N}}^{(j+1)2^{-2N}} |K_{2^{2N}}^{-\alpha}(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{2N-1} \int_{2^{m-2N}}^{2^{m+1-2N}} |K_{2^N}^{-\alpha}(x)| dx \geq c(\alpha) \sum_{m=0}^{2N-1} 2^{m\alpha} \geq c(\alpha) 2^{2N\alpha}.$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$(4.4) \quad \int_I \varphi_N(x) |K_{2^N}^{-\beta}(x)| dx \geq c(\beta) 2^{2N\beta}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 0 < \beta < 1.$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.4) we get

$$(4.5) \quad \left| \sigma_{2^N, 2^N}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(0, 0; H_N) \right| \geq \frac{c(\alpha, \beta)}{\eta_N} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, from (4.1) and (4.5) we infer that there exists a continuous function $f \in \Lambda^{\#}BV$ such that

$$\sup_N \left| \sigma_{N, N}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(0, 0; f) \right| = +\infty.$$

Theorem 4.5 is proved. \square

Taking into account the embedding $\Lambda^*BV \subset \Lambda^{\#}BV$, from Theorem 4.5 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and $f \in \{n^{1-(\alpha+\beta)}\}^*BV$. Then the means $\sigma_{n, m}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(x, y; f)$ converge to $f(x, y)$, if f is continuous at (x, y) .*

A combination of Theorems 1.3 and 4.5 yields the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_s^{\#}(f; 2^j)}{2^{j(1-(\alpha+\beta))}} < \infty \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2.$$

Then the means $\sigma_{n, m}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(x, y; f)$ converge to $f(x, y)$, if f is continuous at (x, y) .

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

- [1] A. N. Bakhvalov, "Continuity in Λ -variation of functions of several variables and the convergence of multiple Fourier series" [in Russian], *Mat. Sb.* 193, 12–20 (2002); English transl. in *Sb. Math.* 193, 11–12, 1731–1748 (2002).
- [2] Z. A. Chanturia, "The modulus of variation of a function and its application in the theory of Fourier series", *Soviet. Math. Dokl.*, 15, 67–71 (1974).
- [3] M. I. Dyachenko, "Waterman classes and spherical partial sums of double Fourier series", *Anal. Math.* 21, 3–21 (1995).
- [4] M. I. Dyachenko, "Two-dimensional Waterman classes and u -convergence of Fourier series" [in Russian], *Mat. Sb.* 190, no. 7, 23–40 (1999), English transl. in *Sb. Math.* 190, no. 7–8, 955–972 (1999).
- [5] M. I. Dyachenko, D. Waterman, "Convergence of double Fourier series and W -classes", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357, 397–407 (2005).
- [6] U. Goginava, "On the uniform convergence of multiple trigonometric Fourier series", *East J. Approx.* 3, 5, 253–266 (1999).

- [7] U. Goginava, "On the uniform convergence of Walsh-Fourier series", *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **93**, no. 1-2, 59 – 70 (2001).
- [8] U. Goginava, "On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series", *J. Approx. Theory*, **115**, no. 1, 9 – 20 (2002).
- [9] U. Goginava, "Uniform convergence of Cesàro means of negative order of double Walsh-Fourier series", *J. Approx. Theory*, **124**, no. 1, 96 – 108 (2003).
- [10] U. Goginava, "Cesàro means of negative order of double Fourier series and Generalized Bounded variation", *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, **54**, no. 6, 1005 – 1013 (2013).
- [11] U. Goginava, "On the summability of double Walsh-fourier series of functions of bounded generalized variation", *Ukrainian Math. J.*, **64**, no. 4, 555 – 574 (2012).
- [12] U. Goginava, A. Sahakian, "On the convergence of double Fourier series of functions of bounded partial generalized variation", *East J. Approx.*, **16**, no. 2, 153 – 165 (2010).
- [13] U. Goginava, A. Sahakian, "Convergence of double Fourier series and generalized Λ -variation", *Georgian Math. J.*, **19**, no. 3, 497 – 509 (2012).
- [14] U. Goginava, A. Sahakian, "On the convergence of multiple Walsh-Fourier series of functions of bounded generalized variation", *Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Analysis*, **47**, no. 5, 221 – 233 (2012).
- [15] B. I. Golubov, A. V. Efimov and V. A. Skvortsov, "Series and transformations of Walsh [Russian]", Moscow (1987); English translation, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1991).
- [16] G. H. Hardy, "On double Fourier series and especially which represent the double zeta function with real and incommensurable parameters", *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, **37**, 53 – 79 (1906).
- [17] C. Jordan, "Sur la serie de Fourier", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **92**, 228 – 230 (1881).
- [18] J. Marcinkiewicz, "On a class of functions and their Fourier series", *Compt. Rend. Soc. Sci. Warsaw*, **26**, 71 – 77 (1934).
- [19] F. Myricz, "Rate of convergence for double Walsh-Fourier series of functions of bounded fluctuation", *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **60**, no. 3, 256 – 265 (1993).
- [20] F. Myricz, "On the uniform convergence and L^1 -convergence of double Walsh-Fourier series", *Studia Math.*, **102**, no. 3, 225 – 237 (1992).
- [21] C. W. Onneweer, D. Waterman, "Fourier series of functions of harmonic bounded fluctuation on groups", *J. Analyse Math.*, **27**, 79 – 83 (1974).
- [22] A. I. Sablin, " Λ -variation and Fourier series [in Russian]", *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, **10**, 66 – 68 (1987); English transl. in *Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ)*, **31** (1987).
- [23] A. A. Sahakian, "On the convergence of double Fourier series of functions of bounded harmonic variation [in Russian]", *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Ser. Mat.*, **21**, no. 6, 517 – 529 (1986); English transl., *Soviet J. Contemp. Math. Anal.*, **21**, no. 6, 1 – 13 (1986).
- [24] O. G. Sargsyan, "On convergence and the Gibbs phenomenon of double Fourier-Walsh series of functions of bounded harmonic variation [in Russian]", *Izv. NAN Armenii, ser. Math.*, **30**, no. 5, 41 – 59 (1995); English transl. *J. Contemp. Math. Anal.*, **30**, no. 5, 30 – 46 (1995).
- [25] F. Schipp, W. R. Wade, P. Simon and P. J., *Walsh Series, an Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*. Adam Hilger, Bristol, New York (1990).
- [26] V. Tevzadze, "Uniform (C, α) ($-1 < \alpha < 0$) summability of Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Paley system", *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. N. (N.S.)*, **22**, no. 1, 41 – 61 (2006).
- [27] D. Waterman, "On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation", *Studia Math.*, **44**, 107 – 117 (1972).
- [28] D. Waterman, "On the summability of Fourier series of functions of Λ -bounded variation", *Studia Math.*, **55**, 87 – 95 (1976).
- [29] N. Wiener, "The quadratic variation of a function and its Fourier coefficients", *Massachusetts J. Math.*, **3**, 72 – 94 (1924).
- [30] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1959).

Поступила 5 декабря 2013 года