## 24344446 UU2 ԳԻՏՈՒԹՅՈՒՆՆԵՐԻ ԱԿԱԴԵՄԻԱՅԻ ՏԵՂԵԿԱԳԻՐ ИЗВЕСТИЯ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК АРМЯНСКОЯ ССР

Մաթեմատիկա

#### X, № 5, 1975

Математика

#### D. A. EDINJIKLIAN

### ON SOME FORMAL REPRESENTATIONS OF ALGORITHMS DEFINED BY GRAPH SCHEMES WITH MEMORY\*

§ 0. Introduction. In this paper we shall consider some problems relating to the transformation of graph schemes with memory. The general concept of algorithmic schemes used here is based on the notions of the logical scheme (A. A. Ljapounov [8, 9], Ju. I. Ianov [5], L. A. Kaluznin [7], R. I. Podlovchenko [11, 12]; the concepts concerning the use of memory locations and of the classes of basic algorithms are founded on the notions introduced by A. P. Ershov [2, 3]; the definition of graph scheme with memory and the set of parallel definitions are same as in [15]. The general direction of investigations is in some aspects similar to that of the papers [1], [4], [6], [10], [13], [14].

In [15] definitions were given for the notion of normal closure for a given set of algorithms, and for graph schematic closure. The normal closure of a given set is the set of algorithms which can be obtained rom algorithms of a given set, plus some standard algorithms and the operations of Composition, Branching and Repetition of algorithms, including the adjoining and dropping of fictitious veriables. The graph schematic closure of a given set of algorithms is the set of those algorithms which are specified by graph schemes with memory constructed on the base of the algorithms belonging to the given set. It was proved that graph schematic closure and normal closure are equal for every given set of algorithms.

However, the method of obtaining the given graph schemes in the corresponding normal expression in [15] is essentially dependent on the interpretation of the schemes under consideration.

In the present paper we shall show that the analogous theorems can be obtained on a purely formal level, independently of the interpretations of graph schemes with memory defined in formal terms. In order to do this, the notion of normal closure has been slightly changed, for example, by rejecting the operation of the dropping of fictiti ous variables which cannot be given in formal terms. However, the whole concept of normal closure remains unchanged.

We shall prove that for every graph scheme with memory given in formal terms these exists an algebraical expression using the operations of normal closure and such that for every interpretation of elementary symbols in the given graph scheme, the same algorithm as described by our graph scheme will be expressed. For this purpose we shall

I wish to thank my advisor, I. D. Zaslavskil, for his help and encouragement in the preparation of this paper. consider the notion of generalized graph scheme having some interest in itself, and we shall prove some theorems about standard forms of these schemes.

The transformations of graph schemes considered here may be useful in studying practical algorithmic languages.

§ 1. Basic definitions. In the interest of economy, we shall omit some of the definitions, and the proofs of some of the lemmas, which are similar to those of [15]. Such references will be noted by the symbol [15], followed by the page number. Eg. [15: 139].

We shall consider the following types of variables:

1) Algorithmic functor variables  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \cdots$ ,

2) Algorithmic predicate veriables  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \cdots$ ,

3) Object variables  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots$ .

The dimensions of an algorithmic functor variable  $f_{2^k(2l+1)}$  and of an algorithmic predicate variable  $p_{2^k(2l+1)}$  is k.

Def. 1. Let us define F-terms and P-terms inductively as follows:

1) Every  $f_i$  is an F-term of corresponding dimension.

2) Every  $p_i$  is a *P*-term of corresponding dimension.

3) I is an F-term of dimension one.

4) D is an F-term of dimension zero.

5) T is a P-term of dimension zero.

6) L is a P-term of dimension zero.

7) If  $T_1, \dots, T_{m+1}$  are *F*-terms of dimensions  $m, n, \dots, n$  respectively, then Comp  $(T_1, \dots, T_{m+1})$  is an *F*-term of dimension n.

8) If  $T_1$  is a *P*-term of dimension *m* and  $T_2, \dots, T_{m+1}$  are *F*-terms of dimension *n*, then Comp  $(T_1, \dots, T_{m+1})$  is a *P*-term of dimension *n*.

9) If  $T_1$  is a *P*-term of dimension *n* and  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are *F*-terms of dimension *n*, then Br  $(T_1, T_2, T_3)$  is an *F*-term of dimension *n*.

10) If  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are *P*-terms of dimension *n*, then Br  $(T_1, T_2, T_3)$  is a *P*-term of dimension *n*.

11) If  $T_1$  is a *P*-term of dimension *n*, and  $T_2, \dots, T_{n+1}$  are *F*-terms of dimension *n*, then Rep  $(i, T_1, \dots, T_{n+1})$ , where  $(1 \le i \le n)$  is an *F*-term of dimension *n*.

12) If T is an F-term or a P-term of dimension n, then Adj (i, T) $(0 \le i \le n)$  is correspondingly an F-term or a P-term of dimension n + 1.

Def. 2. An expression of the form  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is called an A-term of dimension *n*, iff every  $T_i(1 \le i \le r)$  is an F-term of dimension *n*.

Def. 3. An expression of the form  $\alpha - C$  is called a generalized memory transformation row iff either  $\alpha$  is a functor skeleton variable ([15: 173]) and C is an A-term or  $\alpha$  is a predicate skeleton variable ([15: 173]) and C is a P-term.

Def. 4. A system (possibly empty) of generalized memory transformation rows is called a generalized memory transformation table.

Def. 5. A quadruple of objects consisting of a skeleton scheme  $\Sigma$ , ([15: 174]), a generalized memory transformation table  $\Xi$ , a system of

input variables  $W_1$  and a system of output variable  $W_2$  will be called a generalized memory transformation scheme of dimension n, denoted by  $(\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  iff the table  $\Xi$  is matched ([15: $\frac{2}{3}$ 178]) with the scheme  $\Sigma$  and every A-term or P-term in the right side of the rows of  $\Xi$  has a dimension n and all the object variables in each of the systems  $W_1, W_2$  are distinct pairwise and their index set is a subset of  $[1, \dots, n]$ .

Def. 6. A pair of objects is called a generalized graph scheme of dimension n in M, denoted by  $(\Psi, L)$ , iff the first member of the ordered pair is a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  of dimension n and the second is a matched table of algorithms L ([15: 182]) in the constructive set M ([15: 179]).

Def. 7. The value of an *F*-term or a *P*-term *R* in the state *P* ([15: 185]) of a generalized graph scheme by P(R) is defined inductively as follows:

1) If the F-term R has the form  $f_{2^n(2l+1)}$ , then P(R) is defined iff!  $R(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$  and its value is  $R(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$ .

2) If the P-term R has the form  $P_{2^n(2l+1)}$ , then P(R) is defined iff!  $R(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$  and its value is  $R(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$ .

3) If the F-term R has the form I, then P(R) is defined and its value is  $P(x_1)$ .

4) If the F-term R has the form D then P(R) is undefined and has no value.

5) If the P-term R has the form T, then P(R) is defined and its value is true.

6) If the P-term R has the form L, then P(R) is defined and its value is false.

7) If the F-term or the P-term R has the form Comp  $(T_1, \dots, T_{m+1})$ of dimension n, then P(R) is defined iff every  $! T_i(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$  $(1 \le i \le m+1)$  and if  $T_i(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n)) = a_i$  then  $! T_1(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and its value is  $T_1(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ .

8) If the F-term or the P-term R has the form Br  $(T_1, T_2, T_3)$  of dimension n, then P(R) is defined iff either (i)  $! T_1(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$  and has the value true with  $! T_2(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$  or (ii)  $! T_1(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$  and has the value false with  $! T_3(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n)) \cdot P(R)$  in the first case is  $T_2(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$  and in the second case  $T_3(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n))$ .

9) If the *P*-term *R* has the form Rep  $(k, T_1, \dots, T_{n+1})$ , then P(R) is defined iff it is possible to construct a natural number  $t \ge 0$  and a system of objects  $a_i^{(j)} (1 \le i \le n)$  and  $(0 \le j \le t)$ . Further for t = 0 we have  $a_i^{(0)} = P(x_i) (1 \le i \le n), ! T_1(a_1^{(0)}, \dots, a_n^{(0)})$  and  $T_1(a_1^{(0)}, \dots, a_n^{(0)})$  is true, whereas for  $t \ge 0$  we have  $a_i^{(0)} = P(x_i) (1 \le i \le n), ! T_1(a_i^{(0)}, \dots, a_n^{(0)})$  and  $T_1(a_i^{(j)}, \dots, a_n^{(0)})$  is true, whereas for  $t \ge 0$  we have  $a_i^{(0)} = P(x_i) (1 \le i \le n), ! T_1(a_i^{(j)}, \dots, a_n^{(j)}) ((1 \le i \le n), (0 \le j < t)), a_i^{(j+1)} = T_{i+1}, (a_i^{(j)}, \dots, a_n^{(j)}) ((1 \le i \le n), (0 \le j < t)); ! T_1(a_i^{(j)}, \dots, a_n^{(j)}) (0 \le j \le t); T_1(a_i^{(j)}, \dots, a_n^{(j)})$  is false  $(0 \le j \le t-1)$ ; and  $T_1(a_i^{(t)}, \dots, a_n^{(t)})$  is true. The value of *R* in this case will be  $a_i^{(t)}$ .

10) If the *F*-term or the *P*-term *R* has the form Adj (i, T), then P(R) will be defined iff  $P^*(T)$  is defined, where  $P^*$  is a memory state such that  $\overline{P^*} = \overline{P}$ ,  $P^*(x_j) = P(x_j)$ , when  $(1 \le j \le i)$ ; and  $P^*(x_j) = P(x_{j+1})$  when j > i. If P(R) is defined, 'then the value P(R) is  $P^*(T)$ .

The assertion "P(R) is defined" will be denoted by ! P(R).

Note: In what follows, we shall use the *F*-terms  $I_m^j$ , where  $(1 \le j \le m)$ , which are defined recursively as (i)  $l_1 = I$ , (ii)  $I_{n+1}^{k+1} = Adj(1, I_n)$   $(0 \le 1 \le k)$ , (iii)  $I_{n+1}^{k+1} = Adj(1, I_n)$   $(k \le 1 \le n)$ . It is easy to see that if  $P = (\alpha, (a_1, \dots, a_m))$  then  $P(I_m) = a_j$ .

Def. 8. A memory state P of a generalized graph scheme G of dimension n is said to be amenable to G and denoted by !! G(P) if one of the following conditions holds:

(i)  $\overline{P}$  is a starter.

(ii)  $\overline{P}$  is a skeleton functor variable such that if  $\overline{P}$  is  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  then  $|P(T_1), |P(T_2), \dots, |P(T_n)$ .

(*iii*)  $\overline{P}$  is a predicate skeleton variable such that if  $\overline{P}$  is Q, where Q is a P-term, then |P(Q)|.

Def. 9. For any memory state P of a generalized graph scheme G of dimension n amenable to G, a direct successor memory state Q of G, denoted by  $P \vdash_{G} Q$ , is assigned as follows:

(i) If  $\overline{P}$  is a starter, with  $[\overline{P}] = (\overline{P}, \varsigma)$ , we have  $\overline{Q} = \varsigma$ , and  $Q(x_i) = P(x_i) \ (1 \le i \le n)$ .

(ii) If  $\overline{P}$  is a functor skeleton variable with  $|\overline{P}| = (\overline{P}, \varsigma)$  and  $\overline{P} \leftrightarrow [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  then  $\overline{Q} = \varsigma$ , and for every  $i(1 \le i \le n) \quad Q(x_i) = P(T_i)$ . (iii) If  $\overline{P}$  is a predicate "skeleton variable with  $[\overline{P}] = (\overline{P}, \varsigma, \eta)$  and  $\overline{P} \leftrightarrow R$ , where R is a P-term, then  $\overline{Q} = \begin{cases} \varsigma & \text{if } P(R) \text{ is true} \\ \eta & \text{if } P(R) \text{ is false} \end{cases}$  and  $Q(x_i) = P(x_i) (1 \le i \le n)$ .

Def. 10. A generalized graph scheme G of dimension n is called regular iff G is equivalent ([15:188]) to itself.

Def. 11. A generalized memory transformation scheme  $(\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  of dimension *n* is called strongly regular iff for every algorithmic table *L* in the constructive set *M*, if  $((\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2), L)$  is a generalized graph scheme of dimension *n*, then it is regular.

Def. 12. Two generalized memory transformation schemes  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  of dimensions *n* and *m* respectively are said to be *D*-equivalent iff for every algorithmic table *L*, in the constructive set *M*, if  $(\Psi_1, L)$  and  $(\Psi_2, L)$  are generalized graph schemes, then they are equivalent.

Def. 13. A generalized functor graph scheme G([15:179]) in M of dimension n with input variables  $x_1, \dots, x_t$  and output variable r is

said to specify a functor U in M of dimension t iff for every  $a_1, \cdots, a_t$  in M, the value of  $U(a_1, \cdots, a_t)$  is obtained as follows:

(i) An initial memory state P of G is constructed such that  $\overline{P} = H$  and  $P(x_i) = a_i$  ( $1 \le i \le t$ ) (For object variables other than  $x_1, \cdots, x_i$ ,  $P(x_i)$  is taken arbitrary).

(ii) The generalized graph scheme is applied ([15:188]) to the state P, and if a terminal state Q is arrived at, then Q(r) is the value of  $U(a_1, \dots, a_l)$ .

Def. 14. A generalized predicate graph scheme ([15:179]) G in M of dimension n with input variables  $x_1, \dots, x_t$  is said to specify a predicate P in M of dimension t iff for every  $a_1, \dots, a_t$  in M, the value  $P(a_1, \dots, a_t)$  is obtained as follows:

(i) An initial memory state P of G is constructed such that  $\widehat{P} = H$ and  $P(x_i) = a_i$   $(1 \le i \le t)$ .

(*ii*) The generalized graph scheme is applied to the state P, and if a terminal state Q is arrived at, then the value of  $P(a_1, \dots, a_l)$  is either true or false depending on whether Q is  $O_T$  or  $O_F$  respectively.

Def. 15. An F-term R of dimension n is said to realize an algorithm U with respect to L iff U is specified by the generalized graph scheme  $((((H, \varphi_1), (\varphi_1, O_k)), \varphi_1 \leftrightarrow [R, I_n^2, \cdots, I_n^n]), (x_1, \cdots, x_n), (x_1)), L)$ .

Def. 16. A *P*-term *R* of dimension *n* is said to realize an algorithm *P* with respect to *L* iff *P* is specified by the generalized predicate graph scheme (((((*H*,  $\Pi_1$ ), ( $\Pi_1$ ,  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$ )), ( $\Pi_1 \leftarrow R$ ), ( $x_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $x_n$ ), ()), *L*). 2. Substitution: Let  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  be a generalized memory

2. Substitution: Let  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  be a generalized memory transformation scheme of dimension n, with the skeleton scheme  $\Sigma = (S_1, \dots, S_k)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a system consisting of some of its skeleton functor and predicate variables, and let E be a subscheme of  $\Psi$  generalized by  $\Gamma$  ([15: 194]).

Let  $E^*$  be a generalized memory transformation scheme of dimension *m D*-equivalent to *E* in which the systems of input and output variables coincide with the corresponding systems of the generalized memory transformation scheme *E*. The operation of substitution of a generalized memory transformation scheme  $E^*$  into a generalized transformation scheme  $\Psi$  instead of subscheme *E* will be defined as follows:

(i) By appropriate renaming ([15:195]) of skeleton and algorithmic variables of the transformation scheme E we obtain a transformation scheme  $\widetilde{E} = (\widetilde{\Sigma}, \widetilde{\Xi}, W_1, W_2)$  that differs from  $E^*$  only by the name of the variables, and such that all its skeleton functor and predicate variables and algorithmic variables are distinct from the skeleton and algorithmic variables of  $\Psi$ .

(*ii*) From the ske leton scheme  $\Sigma$  of the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  we eliminate all the terms whose first member is contained in  $\Gamma$ , in each of the remaining skeleton Terms ([15:173]) S we perform the following replacements: If the second mem-

ber of the term S occurs in  $\Gamma$ , we replace it by the second member of the (unique) skeleton term of the scheme  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  whose first member is  $v'_i$ ; if the third member of S occurs in  $\Gamma$ , we shall replace it by the second member of the skeleton term of the scheme  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  whose first member is  $v'_i$ .

(*iii*) From the skeleton scheme  $\Sigma$  of the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\tilde{E}$  we eliminate all the initial terms; in each of the remaining skeleton terms we replace each stop  $\omega'_i$  by the second member of the term  $S_t$ , and each stop  $\omega'_i$  by the third member of  $s_i$ .

(iv) We construct a skeleton scheme  $\tilde{\Sigma}^*$  which is a union of the skeleton scheme obtained from  $\Sigma$  by the transformations indicated in step 2 and the skeleton scheme (obtained from  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  by the transformation indicated in step 3.

(v) The generalized memory transformation table  $\Xi^*$  is constructed as follows:

a) If m = n, then  $\overline{\Xi}^*$  is the union of the generalized memory transformation tables  $\Xi$  and  $\overline{\Xi}$  of the generalized memory transformation schemes  $\Psi$  a and  $E^*$ .

b) If m > n, then a generalized memory transformation table  $\Xi'$  of dimension n is obtained by cancelling in  $\Xi$  all the rows whose left sides are not contained in  $\Gamma$ . Let us construct a generalited memory transformation table  $\overline{\Xi}$  of dimension m, such that each row  $\varphi_i \leftrightarrow [T_1, \cdots, T_n]$  in  $\Xi'$  is replaced by  $\varphi_i \leftrightarrow [T'_1, \cdots, T'_m]$  where every F-term  $T'_j(n+1 < j < m)$  is  $I'_m$ , and every F-term  $T'_k$  (1 < k < n) is Adj (m-1,Adj  $(m-2,\cdots, Adj(n, T_k))\cdots)$ . And thus  $\overline{\Xi}^*$  is the union of the generalized transformation tables  $\overline{\Xi}$  end  $\overline{\Xi}$ .

c) Similarly for n > m.

(vi) We construct a system of objects  $\Psi^* = (\Sigma^*, \Xi^*, W_1, W_2)$  where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are the systems of input and output variables of the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$ .

It is easy to see that  $\Psi^*$  is a generalized memory transformation scheme of dimension max (m, n)

Theorem 1. (On substitution). Let  $\Psi$  be a strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme of dimension n,  $\Gamma$  a system consisting of some of its skeleton functor and predicate variables,  $\lambda$  a subscheme of  $\Psi$  generalized by  $\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda^*$  a generalized transformation scheme of dimension m, D-equivalent to  $\lambda$  with the system of input and output variables of  $\lambda^*$  coinciding with the systems of input and output variables of  $\lambda$ . Let  $\Psi^*$  be the result of substituting the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda^*$  for the subscheme of  $\lambda$  into the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$ . Then  $\Psi^*$  will be D-equivalent to  $\Psi$ .

Proof: Let L be any algorithmic table in the constructive set M such that  $(\Psi, L)$  and  $(\Psi^*, L)$  are generalized graph schemes of corresponding dimensions. The proof that the generalized graph schemes  $(\Psi, L)$  and  $(\Psi^*, L)$  are equivalent, is carried in a similar way, as in [15:198-200]. Thus  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi^*$  are D-equivalent.

§ 3. In this last section, with the aid of a series of lemmas we shall prove the main theorem of this paper.

Lemma 1. For any strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  it is possible to construct a D-equivalent one-sided ([15:179]) generalized memory transformation scheme  $\overline{\Psi}$ , of the same dimension. Proof: The proof is carried in a way similar to the proof of lemma 6.3 of [15:232-233].

Lemma 2. For every one-sided pseudopredicate ([15:233]) strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_3)$  which does not contain any predicate skeleton variable in  $\Sigma$  it is possible to construct a D-eguivalent generalized memory transformation scheme,  $\Psi = (\Sigma', \Xi', W_1, W_2)$  of the same dimension as  $\Psi$  such that  $\Sigma'$ has the form (( $v_1, \Phi_1$ ), ( $\Phi_1, \omega_1$ )).

Proof: The proof is carried in a way similar to the proof of lemma 6.4 of [15:234-235].

Lemma 3. For any generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  of dimension *n* that satisfies the conditions of lemma 2, it is possible to construct a primitive ([15:233]) generalized memory transformation scheme  $\overline{\Psi}$  of dimension *n* which is D-equivalent to  $\Psi$  and has the same systems of input and output variables as  $\Psi$ .

Proof: The proof is carried in a way similar to the proof of lemma 6,5 of [15:235-236].

Lemma 4. For any one-sided pseudopredicate strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  without cycles [15:234] it is possible to construct a primitive generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  D-equivalent to  $\Psi$  that has the same dimension and the same systems of input and output variables as  $\Psi$ .

Proof: The proof is carried in a way similar to the proof of lemma 6.6 of [15:236-241].

Lemma 5. For any primitive strongly regular generalized functor memory transformation scheme  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  of dimension *n* it is possible to construct a generalized functor memory transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  of dimension *s*, where *s* is the maximum index of the object variables in  $W_1 \cup W_2$ , which is D-equivalent to  $\Psi$  and has a skeleton scheme of the form  $((H, \Phi_1), (\Phi_1, \omega_1))$ .

Proof: Let  $\Psi$  be a primitive strongly regular generalized functor memory transformation scheme of dimension n, which has the form ((( $\upsilon_1$ ,  $\Pi_1$ ), ( $\Pi_1$ ,  $\Phi_1$ ,  $\Phi_2$ ), ( $\Phi_1$ ,  $\omega_1$ ), ( $\Phi_2$ ,  $\omega_3$ )), ( $\Pi_1 \leftarrow B$ ,  $\Phi_1 \leftarrow [T_1, \cdots, T_n)$ ,  $\Phi_2 \leftarrow [T_1, \cdots, T_n]$ ),  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ), where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are systems of pairwise distinct variables. Since  $\Psi$  is generalised functor memory transformation scheme, we have  $\upsilon_1 = H$ ,  $\omega_1 = O_k$  and  $\omega_2 = O_k$ , the system  $W_2$  will consist of one variable, denoted by  $x_l$ , and  $W_1$  will be either empty or have variables which are denoted by  $x_{k_1}, \cdots, x_{k_\ell}$  ( $t \leq n$ ).

Case 1: Suppose  $W_1$  is empty and there exists at least a functor algorithmic variable (*F*-term), call it  $T_0$ , of dimension 0. Then the required generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  is ((( $H, \Phi_1$ ), ( $\Phi_1, \omega_1$ )), ( $\Phi_1 - [T_1, \dots, T_l]$ ),  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ), where  $T_l = \text{Adj}(0, \text{Comp}(Br(B, T_l, T_l), \overline{T_0, \dots, T_0}) \cdots$ ) ( $1 \le i \le l$ ), which has dimension l.

Case 2: Suppose  $W_1$  is empty and there is no functor algorithmic variable of dimension 0 in  $\Psi$ . Then  $\Psi'$  of dimension l is ((( $H, \Phi_1$ ),  $(\Phi_1, \omega_1$ )),  $(\Phi_1 - - [I_1, \dots, I_l^{l-1}, \operatorname{Adj} (l - 1, \dots, Adj (0, D) \cdots)], W_1, W_2$ ).

Let us show that  $\Psi'$  is *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . From the construction of  $\Psi'$ , it is obvious that for any algorithmic table *L* in a constructive set *M* and for any initial memory state *P*. of the generalized graph scheme ( $\Psi'$ , *L*), ( $\Psi'$ , *L*) is inapplicable to *P*. Hence  $\Psi'$  is strongly regular.

Subcase 1: Suppose  $\Psi$  is a generalized memory transformation scheme such that for every algorithmic table L in a constructive set  $M(\Psi, L)$  is a generalized graph scheme, and for every initial memory state P in  $M(\Psi, L)$  is inepplicable to P. Hence  $\Psi$  is strongly regular and D-equivalent to  $\Psi'$ .

Subcase 2: Suppose L is an algorithmic table in a constructive set M such that  $(\Psi, L)$  is a generalized graph scheme and there exists an initial memory state P in M such that  $(\Psi, L)$  is applicable to P. It is obvious that  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  are not D-equivalent. In this case let us show that  $\Psi$  is not strongly regular.

(i) If L is empty, then  $\Psi$  is not strongly regular, since  $W_1$  is empty and  $W_2$  is non-empty.

(ii) If L is non-empty, let us construct a constructive set  $M^*$  from M such that  $M^* = M \cup \{a^*\}$ , where  $a^* \& M$ . Let us construct an algorithmic table  $L^*$  in  $M^*$  from L by "replacing every algorithm U of dimension  $k(k \le n)$  in L by an algorithm  $U^*$  of the same dimension in  $M^*$  as follows:

$$U^*(r_1, \cdots, r_k) = \begin{cases} U(r_1, \cdots, r_k), & \text{if there exists no i } (1 \le i \le k) \\ & \text{such that } r_i = a^*; \\ & \text{undefined, otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence  $(\Psi, L^*)$  is a generalized graph scheme.

The initial memory state P is also applicable to  $(\Psi, L^*)$  in M. Let P be an initial memory state such  $P^*(x_i) = a^* (1 \le i \le n)$ , then  $P^*$  is inapplicable to  $(\Psi, L^*)$ , since L is non-empty. Hence  $(\Psi, L^*)$  is not regular since  $W_1$  is empty, and thus  $\Psi$  is not strongly regular. 3-694 Hence the only case that  $\Psi$  is strongly regular is in subcase 1 which is *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi'$ .

Case 3: Suppose  $W_1$  is not empty, and let  $x_s$  is an object variable in  $W_1 \cup W_2$  with maximum index. Then the required generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  of dimension s, *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$  is constructed as follows:  $(((H, \Phi_1), (\Phi_1 \omega_1))), \Phi_1 \rightarrow [T_1, \cdots, T_s]), W_1, W_2)$ , where for every  $i(1 \le i \le s)$   $T_i^* = \text{Comp}(Br(B, T_i, T_i), f_s, \cdots, f_s)$ .

It is easily seen that  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  are D-equivalent.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 6. For any premitive strongly regular generalized predicate memory transformation scheme  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  of dimension *n*, it is possible to construct a generalized predicate memory transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  of dimension *s*, where *s* is the maximum index of the object variable in  $W_1 \cup W_2$ , which is *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$  and has a skeleton scheme of the form  $((H, \Pi_1), (\Pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2))$ .

Proof: Let  $\Psi$  be a primitive strongly regular generalized predicate memory transformation scheme of dimension *n*, which has the form  $(((\upsilon_1, \Pi_1), (\Pi_1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2), (\varphi_1, \omega_1), (\varphi_2, \omega_2)), (\Pi_1 \leftrightarrow B, \varphi_1 \leftrightarrow [T, \cdots, T_n], \varphi_2 \leftrightarrow [T_1, \cdots, T_n]), W_1, W_2)$ , where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are systems of pairwise distinct variables. Since  $\Psi$  is a generalized predicate memory transformation scheme, we have  $\upsilon_1 = H$ , and each of the stops  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  is equal either to  $O_T$  or  $O_F$  and  $W_2$  is empty.

Case 1: Suppose  $W_1$  is empty and there exists at least a functor algorithmic variable (F-term), call it  $T_0$ , of dimension 0 in  $\Psi$ . Then the required generalized transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  of dimension 0 is  $(((H, \Pi_1), (\Pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_3)), (\Pi_1 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Comp}(Br(B, \operatorname{Comp}(R_1, T_1 \cdots, T_n),$  $\operatorname{Comp}(R_2, T_1, \cdots, T_n)), \overline{T_0, \cdots, T_0}), W_1, W_2$  where  $R_t (i = 1, 2)$  is either Adj  $(n-1, \cdots, \operatorname{Adj}(0, T) \cdots)$  or Adj  $(n-1, \operatorname{Adj}(n-2, \cdots, \cdots, \operatorname{Adj}(0, L)) \cdots)$  in the cases  $\omega_t = O_T$  or  $\omega_t = O_F$  respectively. It is clear that  $\Psi'$  is D-equivalent to  $\Psi$ .

Case 2: Suppose  $W_1$  is empty and there is no functor algorithmic variable with dimension 0 in  $\Psi$ . Then two subcases arise:

Subcase 1: Suppose  $\Psi$  is a generalized predicate memory transformation scheme such that  $\Psi$  does not contain D, and for an empty algorithmic table L in a constructive set M,  $(\Psi, L)$  is a generalized graph scheme. Then  $\Psi'$  is D-equivalent either to  $(((H, \Pi_1), (\Pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2)), (\Pi_1 \leftrightarrow T), W_1, W_2)$  if B is Adj  $(n-1, \cdots, \text{Adj}(0, T))$  or to  $(((H, \Pi_1), (\Pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2)), (\Pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2)), (\Pi_1 \to L), W_1, W_2)$  if B is Adj  $(n-1, \cdots, \text{Adj}(0, L) \cdots)$ .

Subcase 2: Suppose  $\Psi$  is a generalised predicate memory transformation scheme, such that either  $\Psi$  contains the *F*-term D, or for an empty algorithmic table *L*,  $(\Psi, L)$  is not a generalized graph scheme-Then the required generalized predicate memory transformation scheme of dimension 0 is  $(((H, \Pi_1), (\Pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2)), (\Pi_1 \leftrightarrow \text{Comp}(\text{Adj}(0, T), D)),$  $W_1, W_2).$  We show that  $\Psi$  is *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi'$  by a similar method as in case 2 of lemma 5.

Case 3: Suppose  $W_1$  is not empty. Let  $x_i$  be an object variable in  $W_1$  with maximum index. Let us construct *P*-terms;  $R_i$  (i = 1,2), such that each has one of either forms Adj  $(n-1, \dots, Adj(0, T) \dots)$  or Adj  $(n-1, \dots, Adj(0, L)) \dots$  in case  $w_i = O_T$  or  $w_i = O_F$  respectively. The required generalized predicate memory transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  of dimension s is constructed as follows: ((( $H, \Pi_1$ ),  $(\Pi_1, w_1, w_2)$ ),  $(\Pi_1 \leftrightarrow \text{Comp}(Br(B, \text{Comp}(R_1, T_1, \dots, T_n), \text{Comp}(R_2, T_1, \dots, T_n), I'_s, \dots, I'_s)$ ),  $W_1, W_2$ ).

It is evident that  $\Psi'$  is *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 7: For any one-sided pseudpredicate strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$ , it is possible to construct a one-sided strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  without cycles which is *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$  and has the same dimension as  $\Psi$ .

Proof: Let  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  be a one-sided strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme of dimension n that has a skeleton scheme  $\Sigma = (S_1, \dots, S_h)$ .

We shall carry out the proof by induction on the number of inverse predicate skeleton variables occurring in  $\Psi$ . If this number is equal to zero, the assertion of the lemma is evident, since in this case  $\Psi$  is a generalized memory transformation scheme without cycles and

## we can write $\Psi = \Psi$ .

Now let us assume that  $\Psi$  has inverse predicate skeleton variables. Let q be the smallest length of a cycle for the inverse predicate skeleton variables occurring in  $\Psi$ . We shall denote by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_h$  the first members, by  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_h$  the second members, and by  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_h$  the third members of skeleton terms  $S_1, \dots, S_h$ .

Since  $\Psi$  is a one-sided pseudopredicate generalized memory transformation scheme, we have  $\beta_{l'} = \alpha_{l+1}$  for  $(1 \le i \le h-1)$ , and moreover  $\alpha_1$ , will be a starter and  $\beta_h$  will be a stop. Let  $\alpha_k$  be an finverse foredicate skeleton variable whose cyclehas length q. By denoting the number k-q+1 by l, we can write the cycle of the variable  $\alpha_k$  in the form  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ .

Let us denote by  $\lambda$  a subscheme of  $\Psi$  generated by the system of skeleton variables  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ .

Now we shall construct a generalized memory transformation scheme D-equivalent to  $\lambda$  such that by substituting it for  $\lambda$  in  $\Psi$  we obtain a generalized memory transformation scheme with a smaller number of inverse predicate skeleton variables as compared to  $\Psi$ .

In the skeleton scheme  $\lambda$  let us permute the skeleton terms in such a way that the initial terms precede all the others, whereas the skele-

ton terms which are not initial are located in the same order as in  $\lambda_{ij}$ the resulting generalized memory transformation scheme (evidently *D*-equivalent to  $\lambda$ ) will be denoted by  $\lambda'$ . The skeleton scheme of  $\lambda'$  will be  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_d, S_l, S_{l+1}, \dots, S_k$ , where  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_d$  are initial terms  $(d \ge 1)$  and  $S_i, \dots, S_k$  are skeleton terms  $S_i, \dots, S_k$  that have been transformed in accordance with the definition of a subscheme. Let us denote the first, second and third member of each term  $S_l$  by  $\alpha_l$ ,  $\beta_l$ and  $\gamma_l$ . Hence, in accordance with the definition of a subscheme, all the  $\alpha_l$  will coincide with  $\alpha_l$  and each of the variables  $\beta_l$ ,  $\gamma_l$  will either coincide with  $\beta_l$ ,  $\gamma_l$  or it will be a stop. Moreover,  $\gamma_k$  coincides with  $\alpha_l$ .

It is easy to see that  $\lambda'$  is one-sided. Since  $\alpha_{\xi}$  is an inverse variable with smallest length of cycle in  $\Psi$ , the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda'$  will have only one inverse predicate skeleton variable  $\alpha_{k}$ .

Let us construct a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Delta$  that can be obtained from  $\lambda'$  by the following transformation:

1) The group of initial terms  $R_1, \dots, R_d$  in the skeleton scheme  $\lambda'$  is replaced by the initial term  $(H, a_i)$ .

2) The skeleton term  $S_k = (\alpha_k, \beta_k, \alpha_l)$  in the skeleton scheme of  $\lambda'$  is replaced by the skeleton term  $(\alpha_k, O_T, O_F)$ .

3) In all the skeleton terms other than  $S_1$  all the stops are replaced by the stop  $O_r$ .

It is clear that  $\Delta$  is a one-sided generalized memory transformation scheme. By construction,  $\Delta$  is a pseudopredicate generalized memory transformation scheme without cycles. Since all the object variables contained in  $\Delta$  belong to the system of its input variables, it follows that  $\Delta$  is a strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme. Hence according to lemma 4 we can construct a primitive generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Delta D$ -equivalent to  $\Delta$  which have the form  $(((H, \Pi_1), (\Pi_1, \varphi_1, \varphi_2), (\varphi_1, \omega_1), (\varphi_2, \omega_3)), (\Pi_1 \leftrightarrow R_1^*, \varphi_1 \leftrightarrow [T_1, \dots, T_n],$  $\varphi_2 \leftrightarrow [T_1, \dots, T_n]), (x_1, \dots, x_n), (x, \dots, x_n))$ , where each of the stops  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  is either  $O_T$  or  $O_F$ .

Let us construct a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Delta''$ by replacing the system of output variables of  $\Delta'$  by an empty system of variables. Hence  $\Delta''$  will be a primitive strongly regular generalized predicate memory transformation scheme of dimension n. Hence bv lemma 6 it is possible to construct a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Delta^*$  of dimension *n* which is *D*-equivalent to  $\Delta''$  and have the form  $(((H, \Pi_1), (\Pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2)), (\Pi \leftrightarrow \overline{R}_1), (x_1, \dots, x_n), ())$ . For any algorithmic table L adjoined to  $\lambda'$  such that  $(\lambda', L)$  is a generalized graph scheme, it is easy to verify that the predicate U specified by  $(\Delta^*, L)$  and realized by the P-term  $R_1$  has the following property: for any state P of the generalized graph scheme  $(\lambda', L)$  such that  $P = x_i$  we

have  $U(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n)) = F$  iff it is possible to construct a state Q of the graph scheme  $(\lambda', L)$  such that PtQ and  $Q = x_i$ ; moreover  $U(P(x_1), \dots, P(x_n)) = T$  iff it is possible to construct a finite sequence of states  $P_1, \dots, P_t$  of the generalized graph scheme  $(\lambda', L)$  such that  $P_1 \models P_2 \models \dots \models P_t$ ;  $P_1 = P$ ;  $\overline{P}_t$  is a stop and  $\overline{P}_t \neq \alpha'_t$  for any i such that  $2 \leq i \leq t$ .

We can say that the *P*-term  $R_1$  recognizes for a given state *P* and a given algorithmic table *L* whether or not the graph scheme  $(\lambda', L)$  "performs" a cycle by operating over the state *P*, or whether it "drops out" the cycle.

Let every  $G_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  denotes the F-term  $B_r(\overline{R_1}, T_i, T_i)$ . Then it is easy to verify that the algorithms  $V_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  realized by the F-terms  $C_i$  in L have the property that for any finite non-empty sequence of states  $P_1 \cdots, P_i$  of  $(\lambda', L)$  that satisfies the conditions

a) 
$$P_1 \vdash P_2 \vdash \cdots \vdash P_t$$
  $(t > 1)$ , b)  $P_1 = a'_t$ ,  
c)  $\overline{P}_t = a'_t$  or  $\overline{P}_t$  is a stop, d)  $\overline{P}_t = a'_t$  for  $1 < i < t$ ,

we have  $P_{i}(x_{j}) = V_{j} (P_{1}(x_{1}), \dots, P_{1}(x_{n})) (1 \leq j \leq n).$ 

We can say that the *F*-terms  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  describe a transformation performed by the cycle contained in the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda'$ .

Let us also construct F-terms  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  such that

 $D_i = \operatorname{Rep}(i, \overline{R}_1, C_1, \cdots, C_n) (1 \leq i \leq n).$ 

Now we can construct a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda^*$  as follows:

1) Let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_w$  be all the functor and predicate skeleton variables occurring in  $\lambda$ . Let us consider skeleton variables  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$  $\dots, \varepsilon_w, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_w$ , that differ from one another and from all the variables  $\varepsilon_i$ , we shall select these variables in such a way that  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  are functor (predicate) variable if  $\varepsilon_i$  is a functor (predicate) variable. We shall also consider a functor skeleton variable  $\eta$  and predicate skeleton variable  $\theta$  which are distinct from all the variables  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $\varepsilon_i^*, \varepsilon_i^*$ .

2) We construct skeleton terms  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_d$  that can be obtained from  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_d$  by replacing all the  $s_i$  by  $s_i$ .

3) We construct skeleton terms  $S_1, \dots, S_{k-1}$  by replacing all the  $\varepsilon_i$  by  $\varepsilon_i$  and all stops by  $\alpha_i$  in each term  $S_i$ .

4) We construct the skeleton terms  $S_k = (a_k, 0, \eta), U = (\theta, \eta, a_k)$ and  $V = (\eta, a_l)$ . 5) We construct skeleton terms  $S_i$ ,  $S_{i+1}$ ,  $S_{k-1}$  that can be obtained from  $S_i, \dots, S_{k-1}$  by replacing all the  $\varepsilon_i$  by  $\varepsilon_i^*$ .

6) We construct the skeleton term  $S_k = (\alpha_k, \beta_k, \beta_k)$ .

7) We construct the skeleton scheme  $\Sigma^*$  of the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda^*$  as follows:

 $\Sigma^* = (R_1, \cdots, R_d^*, S_l^*, \cdots, S_{k-1}^*, U, V, S_l^*, \cdots, S_{k-1}^*, S_k^*).$ 

8) We construct the generalized memory transformation table  $\Xi^*$ of  $\lambda^*$  by adjoining to the generalized transformation table of  $\lambda$ , firstly all possible rows of the form  $\varepsilon_i \leftrightarrow [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  and  $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow [T_1, \dots, T_n]$ where  $\varepsilon_i \leftrightarrow [T_1, \dots, T_n^*]$  and secondly, the rows  $\eta \leftrightarrow [D_1, \dots, D_n]$  and  $\theta \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Adj}(n-1, \dots, \operatorname{Adj}(\theta, \mathbf{L}) \cdots)$ .

9) We construct the generalized memory transformation scheme

 $\lambda^* = (\Sigma^*, \Xi^*, (x_1, \cdots, x_n), (x_1, \cdots, x_n)).$ 

It is easy to see that  $\lambda^*$  is *D*-equivalent to  $\lambda$  and is a one-sided scheme without cycles.

It is evident that  $\lambda^*$  and  $\lambda$  satisfy all the conditions of theorem 1; and hence the generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi^*$  obtained as a result of the substitution of  $\lambda^*$  for subscheme  $\lambda$  in  $\Psi$  will be *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . In accordance with the definition of substitution, the skeleton scheme of  $\Psi^*$  will be

$$(\overline{S}_1, \overline{S}_2, \cdots, \overline{S}_{l-1}, \overline{S}_{k+1}, \cdots, \overline{S}_{l}, \overline{S}_l^*, \overline{S}_{l+1}^*, \cdots, \overline{S}_{k-1}^*, \overline{S}_k^*, \overline{U}, \overline{V}, \overline{S}_l^{**}, \cdots, \overline{S}_k^{**}),$$

where each symbol of the form z denotes a skeleton term z transformed in accordance with the operation of substitution of a generalized memory transformation scheme into a generalized memory transformation scheme. Let us permute the skeleton terms in the skeleton schemeof  $\Psi^*$  by arranging them in the folliwing order:

 $(\overline{S}_1, \overline{S}_2, \cdots, \overline{S}_{p-1}^*, \overline{S}_l^*, \cdots, \overline{S}_{k-1}^*, \overline{S}_k, \overline{U}, \overline{V}, \overline{S}_l^*, \overline{S}_{l+1}, \cdots, \overline{S}_{k-1}, \overline{S}_k, \overline{S}_{k+1}, \cdots, \overline{S}_h).$ 

As a result we obtiain a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi^*$  which is evidently *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$  and is one-sided, and the inverse predicate skeleton variable in  $\Psi^*$  being by one smaller than in  $\Psi$ . By the inductive assumption it is possible to construct a one-sided generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  without cycles which is *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 2: For every strongly regular generalized functor or predicate memory transformation scheme  $(\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  of dimension n it is possible to construct a corresponding D-equivalent generalized memory transformation scheme  $(\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  of dimension t, where it is the maximum index of the object variables in  $W_1 \cup W_2$ , such that

 $\Sigma' = \begin{cases} ((H, \Pi_1), (\Pi, \omega_1, \omega_2)) \text{ if } (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2) \text{ is a generalized} \\ \text{predicate memory transformation} \\ \text{scheme,} \\ ((H, \Phi_1), (\Phi_1, \omega_1)) \text{ if } (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2) \text{ is a generalized} \end{cases}$ 

 $((H, \Phi_1), (\Phi_1, \omega_1)) \quad if (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2) is a generalized functor memory transformation scheme,$ 

and  $\Xi'$  consists of a single row, and has only those functor and predicate variables which  $(\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  contains.

Proof: Let W be a generalized functor or predicate memory transformation scheme of dimension n. According to lemma 1 it is possible to construct a one-sided generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi_1$  of the same dimension, *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . Next, according to lemma 7 we can construct a one-sided generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi_2$  of the same dimension as  $\Psi_1$ , without cycles, which is D-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . Since  $\Psi$  is a generalized functor or predicate memory transformation scheme and  $\Psi_2$  is D-equivalent to  $\Psi_1$ , any superfluous stop which is produced in constructing  $\Psi_2$  is replaced by the stop  $O_k$  of  $\Psi$  if  $\Psi$  is a generalized functor memory transformation scheme, or by a stop  $O_r$  if  $\Psi$  is a generalized predicate memory transformation scheme. Hence we obtain a one-sided pseudopredicate generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi_{1}$  of dimension n, without cycles, D-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . By virtue of lemma 3 we can construct a primitive generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi_4$  of dimension n D-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . Thus by lemma 5 or 6 we get the required generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi_5$  of dimension t. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 8: For every strongly regular generalized functor memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  of dimension n, with input variables  $(x_{1i}, \dots, x_{Ii})(t \ge 0)$ , it is possible to construct a strongly regular generalized functor memory transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  of dimension n, such that for every algorithmic table L in M matched with  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  the generalized graph scheme  $(\Psi', L)$  specifies the same algorithmic functor as  $(\Psi, L)$  and the systems of input and output variables of  $\Psi'$  is  $(x_1, \dots, x_l)$  and  $(x_1)$  respectively.

Proof: Suppose  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  is a generalized functor memory transformation scheme of dimension *n*, where  $W_1 = (x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l})$  and  $W_2$  is  $x_l$ .

Let us construct the required generalized functor memory transformation scheme of dimension n as follows:

1) Let  $\Phi_1^*$ ,  $\Phi_2^*$  be functor skeleton variables which are not contained in  $\Sigma$ . Let us construct a skeleton scheme  $\Sigma'$  obtained from  $\Sigma$  by replacing the initial skeleton term  $(H, \eta)$  of  $\Sigma$  by  $(H, \Phi_1^*)$ ,  $(\Phi_1^*, \eta)$  and each terminal term  $(\Phi_i, O_k)$  by  $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2)$ ,  $(\Phi_2^*, O_k)$ . 2) Let us construct a generalized memory transformation table  $\Xi'$  obtained from  $\Xi$  by adjoining to it the rows;

 $\Phi_1^* \to [I_n^i, I_n^2, \cdots, I_n^{i_1-1}, I_n^i, I_n^{j_1+1}, I_n^{j_1+2}, \cdots, I_n^{j_1-1}, I_n^2, I_n^{j_n+1}, I_n^{j_1+3}, \cdots, I_n^{j_1-1}, I_n^i, I_n^{j_1+1}, I_n^{j_1+2}, \cdots, I_n^n], \text{ and } \Phi_2^* \leftrightarrow [I_n^i, I_n^2, \cdots, I_n^n].$ 

3) Let  $W'_1$  is  $(x_1, \cdots, x_l)$  and  $W'_2$  is  $(x_1)$ .

Then the required generalized functor memory transformation scheme is  $(\Sigma, \Xi', W_1, W_2)$ . It is evident that  $(\Psi', L)$  specifies the same functor as  $(\Psi, L)$ .

Lemma 9: For every strongly regular generalized predicate memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  of dimension *n*, it is possible to construct a strongly regular generalized predicate memory transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  of dimension *n* such that for every algorithmic table *L* in *M* matched with  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  the generalized predicate graph scheme  $(\Psi', L)$  specifies the same predicate as  $(\Psi, L)$  and the system of input variables in  $\Psi'$  is  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ , where t  $(t \ge 0)$  is the number of input variables in  $\Psi$ .

Proof: Suppose  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  is a generalized predicate memory transformation scheme of dimension *n*, where  $W_1 = (x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l})$  and  $W_2$  is empty.

Let us construct the required generalised memory transformation scheme as follows:

(i) Let  $\Phi_1^*$ , be a functor skeleton variable which is not contained in  $\Sigma$ . Let us construct a skeleton scheme  $\Sigma^*$  from  $\Sigma$  by replacing the initial skeleton term  $(H, \eta)$  of  $\Sigma$  by  $(H, \Phi_1^*)$ ,  $(\Phi_1^*, \eta)$ .

(ii) Let us construct a generalized memory transformation table  $\Xi'$  from  $\Xi$  by adjoining to it the row

$$\Phi_1^* \leftarrow [I'_n, I^2_n, \cdots, I^{j_1-1}_n, I'_n, I^{j_1+1}_n, I^{j_2+2}_n, \cdots, I^{j_n-1}_n, I^2_n, I^{j_1+1}_n, I^{j_2+2}_n, \cdots, I^{j_n-1}_n, I^2_n, I^{j_1+1}_n, I^{j_1+2}_n, \cdots, I^n_n].$$

(iii) Let  $W_1$  is  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  and  $W_2$  is ().

Then the required generalized predicate memory transformation scheme is  $(\Sigma', \Xi', W_1, W_2)$ . It is evident that  $(\Psi', L)$  specifies the same predicate as  $(\Psi, L)$ .

Theorem 3: For every strongly regular generalized functor (predicate) memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  of dimension n it is possible to construct an F-term (P-term) T such that (i) for every algorithmic table L in M matched with  $\Psi$ , the term T realizes with respect to L the same functor (predicate) which is specified by ( $\Psi$ , L) and (ii) every functor or predicate variable contained in T is also contained in  $\Psi$ .

428

Proof: Case (i) Suppose  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  is a strongly regular generalized functor memory transformation scheme of dimension *n*. According to lemma 8, it is possible to construct a strongly regular generalized functor transformation scheme  $\Psi_1 = (\Sigma', \Xi', W_1, W_2)$  of dimension *n*, where  $W_1^*$  and  $W_2^*$  are  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  and  $(x_1)$  respectively, and *t* is the number of variables in  $W_1$  such that for every algorithmic table *L* in *M* matched with  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi_1$ ,  $(\Psi_1, L)$  specifies the same functor as  $(\Psi, L)$ . Next according to theorem 2, we can construct a generalized memory transformation scheme of dimension  $t_1$  *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi_1$ and having the form  $(((H, \Phi_1), (\Phi_1, \omega_1)), (\Phi_1 \leftrightarrow [T_1, \dots, T_{t_1}]) W_1, W_2^*)$ , where  $t_1$  is the number of variables in  $W_1^* \cup W_2^*$ .

The required F-term is Br (Comp (Adj  $(0, \dots, Adj (0, T) \dots)$ ,  $T_1, \dots, T_t$ ),  $T_1, T_1$ ), if  $W_1$  is non-empty and Comp  $(T_1, R)$  if  $W_1$  is empty, where R is a functor algorithmic variable of dimension zero if is contained is  $\Psi$ , otherwise R is D.

Clase (ii): Suppose  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  is a strongly regular generalized predicate memory transformation scheme of dimension *n*. According to lemma 9 it is possible to construct a strongly regular generalized predicate transformation scheme  $\Psi_1 = (\Sigma_1, \Xi', W_1, W_2)$  of dimension *n* where  $W_1$  is  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ , and  $t \ge 0$  is, the number of object variables in  $W_1$  such that for every algorithmic table *L* in *M* matched with  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi_1(\Psi_1, L)$  specifies the same predicate as  $(\Psi, L)$ . Next according to theorem 2 we can construct a strongly regular generalized predicate transformation scheme  $\Psi_2$  of dimension *t*, *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi_1$ and having the form  $(((H, \pi_2), (\pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2)), (\pi_1 \leftrightarrow R), W_1, W_2)$ . Then the required *P*-term of dimension *t* is *R*-

This complets the proof of the theorem.

Def. 17. The depth of an F-term or P-terms is defined inductively as follows:

1) Every *k*-term of the form  $f_{2^n(2l+1)}$ , D or I has the depth zero.

2) Every P-term of the form  $P_{2^n(2l+1)}$ , T. or L has the depth zero. 3) Every F-term or P-term of the form Comp  $(T_1, \dots, T_m)$  has  $\sum_{k=1}^{m} t_k + 1$  depth, where  $t_k (1 \le k \le m)$  is the depth of  $T_k$ .

4) Every F-term or P-term of the form Br  $(T_1, T_2, T_3)$  has  $\sum_{k=1}^{3} t_k + 1$  depth, where  $t_k$   $(1 \le k \le 3)$  is the depth of  $T_k$ .

5) Every F-term of P-term of the form Adj (i, T) has the same depth as T.

6) Every F-term of the form Rep  $(i, T_1, \cdots, T_m)$  has  $\sum_{k=1}^{m} t_k + 1$  depth, where  $t_k$   $(1 \le k \le m)$  is the depth of  $T_k$ .

Def 18. The depth of an A-term  $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is defined as max  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  where  $t_i (1 \le i \le n)$  is the depth of the F-term  $T_i$ .

Def. 19. The depth of a generalized memory transformation scheme is said to be  $k \ (k>0)$  iff these exists an A-term or P-term in  $\Psi$  whose depth is k and every A-term or P-term in  $\Psi$  has a depth m such that  $m \leq k$ .

Theorem 4: For every strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$  of dimension n it is always possible to construct a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi'$  of dimension  $m \ (m \ge n)$  and depth zero, which is D-equivalent to  $\Psi$ .

Proof: Let  $\Psi = (\Sigma, \Xi, W_1, W_2)$  be a strongly regular generalized memory transformation scheme of dimension *n* with depth *k*. The proof is by induction on the depth of  $\Psi$ . If k = 0, then  $\Psi'$  is  $\Psi$ .

Now let us assume that k > 0. For every skeleton variable  $\alpha$  in the skeleton scheme  $\Sigma$  of  $\Psi$  such that  $(\alpha \rightarrow B) \in \Xi$ , B is P-term or A-term of depth k in  $\Psi$ , let us construct a subscheme  $\lambda$  of dimension n with depth k generated by  $[\alpha]$ . Let us construct a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda^*$  of dimension m with the same input and output variable as  $\lambda$  with depth  $k_1$   $(k_1 < k)$ , D-equivalent to  $\lambda$  as follows:

Case 1: Suppose  $\alpha$  is a predicate skeleton variable, then without loss of generality let us assume that  $\Psi$  has the form  $(((v_1, \alpha), (v_1, \alpha), \cdots, (v_i, \alpha), (\alpha_1, \omega_2)), (\alpha - B), (x_1, \cdots, x_n), (x_1, \cdots, x_n)).$ 

Let us specify predicate skeleton variables  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$ ,  $\pi_3$  and a functor skeleton variable  $\Phi_1$ , that differ from one another and from all the skeleton variables of  $\Psi$  and  $\lambda$ .

Les R be a P-term defined as follows:

 $R = \begin{cases} B' & \text{if } B = \text{Adj } (i_1, \cdots, \text{Adj}(i_l, B') \cdots) \text{ where } l < n-1 \text{ and } B \\ \text{does not have the form Adj } (i_{l+1}, B''); \\ B & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

Now let us construct the required generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda^*$  as follows:

(i) If R has the form  $Br(T_1, T_2, T_3)$ , then  $\lambda^* = (((\upsilon_1, \pi_1), (\upsilon_2, \pi_1), \cdots, (\upsilon_l, \pi_1), (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3), (\pi_2, \omega_1, \omega_2), (\pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2)), (\pi_1 \leftrightarrow T_2^*, \pi_2^* \leftrightarrow T_2^*, \pi_3 \leftrightarrow T_3^*), (x_1, \cdots, x_n), (x_1, \cdots, x_n))$ , where  $T_l^*(1 \le l \le 3)$  is obtained from  $T_l$  by adjoining all those object variables from  $x_1, \cdots, x_n$  which  $T_l$  does not contain.

(ii) If R has the form Comp  $(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m)$ , then  $\lambda^* = (((v_1, \Phi), (v_2, \Phi_1), \dots, (v_t, \Phi_l), \Phi_1, \pi_1), (\pi_1, \omega_1, \omega_2)), (\pi_1 \leftrightarrow T_1^*, \Phi_1 \leftrightarrow [L_1, L_1, \dots, \dots, L_s]), (x_1, \dots, x_n), (x_1, \dots, x_n), \stackrel{*}{\to}$  here s is the number of all distinct object variables in  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and every  $L_1$  ( $1 \le i \le s$ ) is obtained from  $T_i$ , by adjoining all the object variables from  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  which  $T_j$  does not contain, if  $x_l$  is the (j-1) - th position of  $T_1$ , otherwise  $I_i$  is  $I_s^i$ ; and  $T_1^*$  if obtained from  $T_1$  does not contain.

It is easy to see that the constructed generalized memory transformation scheme 1.\* has the depth  $k_1 < k$  and is D-equivalent to 1.

Case 2: Suppose z is a functor skeleton variable. Then without loss of generality we can assume that the subscheme  $\lambda$  has the form  $(((v_1, \alpha), (v_2, \alpha), \cdots, (v_1, \alpha), (\alpha, v_1)), (\alpha \leftrightarrow [T_1, \cdots, T_n]), (x_1, \cdots, x_n),$  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ). Since B is an A-term of depth k, we can assume without loss of generality that  $T_{r_1}, \dots, T_{r_p}$  are all the F-terms in  $[T_1, \cdots, T_n]$  which have depth k.

1) Let us specify functor skeleton variables  $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{n+1}$  that differ from one another and from all the skeleton variables  $\lambda$  and  $\Psi$ .

2) Let us construct a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\overline{\lambda}$  with dimension 2n and depth k as follows:  $\overline{\lambda} = (((v_1, \Phi_1), \dots, (v_\ell, \Phi_l), \dots, (v_\ell, \Phi_l)))$ 

 $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2), \cdots, (\Phi_n, \Phi_{n+1}), (\Phi_{n+1}, \omega_1)), (\Phi_1 - [I_{2n}, \cdots, I_{2n}, T_1], I_{2n}^{n+2}, \cdots$  $\dots, I_{2n}^{2n}, \dots, \Phi_l \to [I'_{2n}, \dots, I_{2n}^{n+l-1}, T_l^*, I_{2n}^{n+l+1}, \dots, I_{2n}^{2n}], \dots$  $\cdots, \Phi_n \leftrightarrow [I_{2n}, \cdots, I_{2n-1}^{2n-1}, T_n^*], \Phi_{n+1} \leftrightarrow [I_{2n}^{n+1}, I_{2n}^{n+2}, \cdots, I_{2n}^{2n}, I_{2n-1}', I_{2n-1}^n])$  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , where for every  $i \ (1 \le i \le n) \ T_i^* = \operatorname{Adj}(2n - 1)$ -1, Adj  $(2n-2, \cdots, Adj (n, T_1))\cdots)$ .

It is obvious that *i* is *D*-equivalent to *i*.

3) Let us construct subschemes  $\lambda_{r_i}$   $(1 \le i \le p)$  from  $\overline{\lambda}$  generated by  $\{\Phi_{r_i}\}$ . Then every  $\lambda_{r_i}$  will have the form  $(((v_{r_i}, \Phi_{r_i}, \Phi_{r_i}, \omega_{r_i})), (\Phi_{r_i} \leftarrow \cdot)$  $+ \rightarrow [I'_{2n}, \cdots, I^{n+t-1}_{2n}, T^*_{i}, I^{n+t+1}_{2n}, \cdots, I^{2n}_{2n}], (x_1, \cdots, x_n), (x_1, \cdots, x_n))$ 

(except  $i_1$  which contains t initial skeleton terms), where  $v_{r_1}$  and  $\omega_{r_i}$   $(1 \le i \le p)$  are respectively initial and terminal skeleton variables which differ from one another and "from"all the initial and terminal skeleton variables of  $\overline{\lambda}$ .

4) We define F-terms

 $\bar{L}_{r_i} = \begin{cases} L' & \text{if } T_{r_i} = \text{Adj}(s_1, \text{Adj}(s_2, \dots, \text{Adj}(s_c, L')) \cdots) & \text{where} \\ c+1 < 2n \text{ and } L' & \text{does not have the form Adj}(s_{c+1}, L''), \\ T_{r_i} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Anothermore & pastanter P. June 13

a na leve is un

where  $(1 \leq i \leq p)$ .

5) We specify functor skeleton variables  $\varphi_m^{(r_1)}, \dots, \varphi_1^{(r_1)} \ (m > n)$ and predicate skeleton variables  $\pi_1^{(r_i)}$  that differ from one another and from  $\overline{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda_{r_i}$ . Let us construct generalized memory transformation scheme  $\widetilde{\lambda}_{i}$   $(1 \leq i \leq p)$  as follows:

(i) If  $\overline{L}_{r_l}$  has the form Comp  $(s_1, \dots, s_m)$  then  $\overline{\lambda}_{r_l} = (((v_{r_l}, \varphi_1^{(r_l)}),$  $(\varphi_1^{(r_l)}, \varphi_2^{(r_l)}), \cdots, (\varphi_{m-1}^{(r_l)}, \varphi_m^{(r_l)}), (\varphi_m^{(r_l)}, \omega_{r_l})), \varphi_1^{(r_l)} \leftarrow [L_1^{(1)}, \cdots, L_s^{(1)}], \cdots$ 

(ii) If  $\overline{L}_{l}$  has she form  $Br(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ , then  $\lambda_{r_l} = (((v_{r_l}, \pi_1^{\{r_l\}}), (\pi_1^{\{r_l\}}, \varphi_2^{\{r_l\}}), (\varphi_1^{\{r_l\}}, \omega_{r_l}), (\varphi_2^{\{r_l\}}, \omega_{r_l})), (\pi_1^{\{r_l\}} \to S_1^*, \varphi_1^{\{r_l\}} \to [L_1^{(r_l)}, \cdots, L_{2n}^{(r_l)}], (\varphi_2^{\{r_l\}}, \omega_{r_l})), (\pi_1^{(r_l)} \to S_1^*, \varphi_1^{(r_l)} \to [L_1^{(r_l)}, \cdots, L_{2n}^{(r_l)}], (x_1, \cdots, x_n), (x, \cdots, x_n)), where <math>S_1^*, L_{r_l}^{(1)}$  and  $L_{r_l}^{(2)}$  are obtained respectively from  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S_3$  and adjoining all those object variables from  $x_1, \cdots, x_n$  which  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S_3$  does not contain and every other  $L_k^{(j)}((1 \le j \le 2), (1 \le k \le 2n))$  has the form  $I_{n_n}^*$ .

(iii) If  $\overline{L}_{r_l}$  has the form Rep  $(c, S_1, \dots, S_t)$   $(1 \le t \le n+1)$  then  $\overline{\lambda}'_{r_l} = (((v_{r_l}, \pi_1^{(r_l)}), (\pi_1^{(r_l)}, \omega_{r_l}, \varphi_1^{(r_l)}), (\varphi_1^{(r_l)}, \pi_1^{(r_l)})), (\pi_1^{(r_l)} \leftrightarrow S_1^*, \varphi_1^{(r_l)} \leftrightarrow S_1^*, \varphi_1^*, \varphi_$ 

It is easy to see that the generalized memory transformation schemes  $\overline{\lambda}_{r_i}$  and  $\overline{\lambda}_{r_i}'(1 \leq i \leq p)$  are *D*-equivalent. By substituting every  $\overline{\lambda}_{r_i}$   $(1 \leq i < p)$  in  $\overline{\lambda}$  for the subscheme  $\overline{\lambda}_{r_i}$  we obtain the required generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda^*$  with depth  $k_1 \leq k$  and dimension  $q \geq m$ .

For every subscheme  $\lambda$  in  $\Psi$  with depth k, we substitute its *D*-equivalent generalized memory transformation scheme  $\lambda^*$  in  $\Psi$ . We obtain a generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi_1$ , of depth  $k_1$   $(k_1 \leq k)$  and dimension q *D*-equivalent to  $\Psi$ . By repeating the same constructions for  $\Psi_1$ , we construct a generalized transformation scheme  $\Psi_3$  with depth  $k_3$   $(k_2 \leq k_1)$ : By continuing this process h times  $(h \leq k)$ we get our required generalized memory transformation scheme with depth zero and dimension m (m > n), which is *D*-equivalens to the original generalized memory transformation scheme  $\Psi$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

American University of Beirut, Yerevan State University

Received 15.V.1974

3. Ա. ԷԴԻՆՋԻԿԼՅԱՆ. Հիշողություն ունեցող գրաֆ.սխեմաների միջոցով սանմանված ալգոոիրմների որոչ ֆորմալ պաակերացումների մասին *(ամփոփում)* 

Դիտարկվում են Հիշողություն ունեցող գրաֆ-սխեմաների միջոցով որոշված ալգորիթմների պատկերացումները բաղմատեղանի ֆակտորների ու պրեդիկատների կոմպոզիցիայի, Ճյուղավորման և կրկնման գործողությունների վրա Հիմնված որոշ ՀանրաՀաշվական լեզվի միջոցով։ Ապացուցվում է Բեորեմա այն մասին, որ ամեն մի ալգորիթմի Համար, որը ֆորմալ նկարագրված է Հիշողություն ունեցող ինչ-որ մի (ոչ մեկնաբանված) գրաֆ-սխեմայի միջոցով, միշտ Հնարավոր է կառուցել նրան պատկերացնող արտաՀայտությունը՝ նշված լեզվի մեջ։

Цла Выпрылизр шщидпедиих чилир ишчлийцеги է риччирнино ариф-ириблизр. пипифарр և чрибридардаги бъ изищрар ариф-ирыбийьбарр щитцаридий чилидарагдзагыбыру араг итиблирт быргагы.

#### Т. А. ЭДИНДЖИКЛЯН. О некоторых формальных представлениях алгорифмов, определяемых граф-схемами с памятью (резюме)

Рассматриваются представления алгорифиов; определяемых граф-схемами с памятью, в алгебранческом языке, построенном на основе операций композиции, развствления и повторения многоместных функторов и предикатов. Доказывается теорема о том, что для всякого алгорифма, формально описанного некоторой (неинтерпретированной) граф-схемой с памятью, возможно іпостроить представляющее его выражение в указанном языке. Для доказательства этой теоремы вводится понятие обобщенной граф-схемы и устанавливается, возможность представления таких граф-схем в определенных стандартных формах.

# REFERENCES

- 1. E. Ashcroft and Z. Manna. The translation of "GOTO" Programs to "WHILE" Programs, Information Processing '71' North 'Holland publ. company 1972, 150-155.
- 2. A. P. Ershov. Operator algorithms I. Basic notions, Problemy Kibernet, No 3, 1960, 5-48 (Russian).
- 3. A. P. Ershov. Operator algorithms. II. (A description of the fundamental constructions of programming), Problemy Kibernet, No 8, 1962, 211-233 (Russian).
- A. P. Ershov. Operator algorithms. III. (On Ianov's operator-schemes) Problemy Kibernet., № 20, 1968, 191-200 (Russian).
- Ju. I. Ianov. On logical schemes of algorithms, Poblemy Kibernet, No 8, 1962. 235-241 (Russian).
- V. E. Itkin. Logico-Termal equivalence of program schemes, Kibernet, № 1, 1972, 5-27 (Russian).
- L. A. Kaluznin. On the algorithmisation of mathematical problems, Problemy Kibernet., No 2, 1959, 51-67 (Russian).
- 8. A. A. Ljapunov. Logical program-schemes, Problemy Kibernet., No 1, 1958, 46-74 (Russian).
- 9. A. A. Ljapunov. The algebraic treatment of programming Problemy Kibernet., № 8, 1962, 235-241 (Russian).
- 10. D. C. Luckham, D. M. R. Park, and H. S. Paterson. On formalized computer programs, Computer and System Sciences, June 1970.
- 11. R. I. Podlovchenko. On a system of concepts of programming, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 132, 1960, 1287-1290 (Russian).
- 12. R. I. Podlovchenko. Transformations of program schemes and their use in programming, Problemy Kibernet., No 7, 1962, 161-188 (Russian).

- 13. R. I. Podlovchenko, G. N. Petrosstan, V. E. Khatchatrtan. The interpretation of algorithmic schemes and different relations of equivalence between the schemes, Izvestia AN Arm SSR, series Mathematica, vol. VII, Nº 2, 1972. 140-151 (Russian).
- 14. J. D. Rutledge. On lanov's program schemata, J. Assoc. Comp. Mach., 11, 1964, 1-9.
- 15. I. D. Zaslavskii. Graph schemes with memory, translation of Trudy Math. Inst. Steklov, 72, 1964, 99-192. (Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. (2), vol. 98, 1971).

marked by propagation and the standard interest of the standard of the

and a second second

the spectra man de arguna a ser ar a

I to share and and sold in the sold the sold and the sold in the

The Area and Area